## **EXHIBIT 11**

```
Page 1
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
    APPLE INC., a California
    corporation,
5
               Plaintiff,
                                         Case No.
                                          11-CV-01846-LHK
      VS.
7
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
    a Korean business entity;
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
    INC., a New York corporation;
    SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
10
    AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware
    limited liability company,
11
               Defendants.
12
13
14
15
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
16
17
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RICHARD HOWARTH
18
                 San Francisco, California
19
                  Monday, October 31, 2011
20
21
22
23
    REPORTED BY:
24
    CYNTHIA MANNING, CSR No. 7645, CLR, CCRR
25
    JOB NO. 43007
```

```
your understanding.
```

- THE WITNESS: My understanding is that's
- what that is trying to represent.
- <sup>4</sup> BY MR. ZELLER:
- <sup>5</sup> Q. It is perspective, but you're not
- 6 certain?
- MR. MONACH: Object to the form of the
- 8 question.
- 9 THE WITNESS: It's possible that that's
- what that represents.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. But, again, you can't say with certainty
- whether or not that's -- that tapering is because
- $^{14}$  of perspective, as opposed to whether or not the
- design is actually showing that there is some kind
- of tapering?
- MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of
- foundation. Objection, to the extent it calls for
- <sup>19</sup> a legal conclusion; asked and answered.
- You can do it again.
- THE WITNESS: In my opinion, as an
- industrial designer and not a patent lawyer, I
- think that that looks like it is an object with
- perspective and not a tapering geometry.
- 25 //

- 1 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. And from your perspective, is that -- is
- that an accurate depiction of perspective?
- MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of
- foundation, incomplete hypothetical. Objection;
- <sup>6</sup> vague.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, it could be.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLER:
- $^9$  Q. Can you say with any certainty if it is?
- MR. MONACH: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: I can't say with any
- certainty without -- whether that's an absolutely
- accurate perspective view. But it looks okay. It
- looks possible.
- <sup>15</sup> BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. You'll see also in Figure 9 that there is
- a portion of it that has a thicker, darker line
- $^{18}$  that runs around the perimeter of the front.
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. What does that depict?
- MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of
- foundation. Object, to the extent it calls for a
- legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: It's unclear to me exactly

- what that is trying to depict.
- <sup>2</sup> BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Does it depict a gap or a groove?
- 4 MR. MONACH: Same objection; lack of
- $^{5}$  foundation. Objection, to the extent it calls for
- 6 a legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what that
- 8 precise detail is trying to depict. But it looks
- 9 like the separation between two parts to me. Not
- the separation; it looks like the joint between
- two parts.
- 12 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. And directing your attention to Figure 1,
- you'll see that also at least on part of the
- perimeter of this front surface there is a darker
- line there as well, darker, thicker line?
- A. I see that.
- Q. And do you have an understanding as to
- what that's depicting?
- MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of
- foundation. Object, to the extent it calls for a
- legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell you exactly
- what that's trying to depict.
- 25 //

- <sup>1</sup> BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Is that darker, thicker line depicting a
- gap or a groove?
- 4 MR. MONACH: Same objection. Lack of
- foundation, calls for a legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: In my opinion as an
- industrial designer, it doesn't look like that's
- 8 trying to depict a groove or a gap. It looks like
- 9 it's perhaps trying to show a radius or an
- intersection of the rear housing, perhaps.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. But you're not certain?
- MR. MONACH: Same objection. Asked and
- answered.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not certain.
- 16 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. You'll see that the date of this design
- patent for filing -- and this is on the first
- <sup>19</sup> page --
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. -- in the middle of the first column,
- <sup>22</sup> March 17th, 2004.
- Do you see that date there?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Prior to March 17th, 2004, which is the