# **EXHIBIT 15**

```
Page 1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN
    DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION
    APPLE INC., a California corporation
3
4
                                PLAINTIFF,
             -against-
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CP., LTD., a Korean
    business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
    AMERICAN, INC., A New York Corporation;
    SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,
    a Delaware limited liability company,
                                DEFENDANTS.
10
11
12
             ***CONFIDENTIAL***
13
14
15
      VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RUSSELL WINER
16
             New York, New York
17
             Friday, April 27, 2012
18
19
20
21
22
23
    Reported by:
    Rebecca Schaumloffel, RPR, CLR
25
    JOB NO. 48805
```

		Page 343
1	could have been stronger without the	05:10PM
2	infringement.	05:10PM
3	The second point is, we don't	05:10PM
4	know what the long-term implication could be	05:10PM
5	from the loss of the stickiness of the Apple	05:10PM
6	brand due to Samsung's infringements, which	05:10PM
7	could have even more serious long-term	05:10PM
8	implications for the Apple brand.	05:10PM
9	Q. Based on all the work that you	05:10PM
10	have done up until now, and based on	05:10PM
11	everything that has happened up until now, do	05:10PM
12	you have any hard data or empirical evidence	05:10PM
13	that you can point to specifically that shows	05:10PM
14	that Apple has lost any market share as a	05:10PM
15	result of what you say are the similarities	05:10PM
16	between Samsung's devices and Apple's claimed	05:10PM
17	trade dress?	05:10PM
18	MS. HAGBERG: Same objections	05:10PM
19	and asked and answered.	05:10PM
20	A. It is my professional opinion	05:10PM
21	that sales, current sales could well have	05:10PM
22	been lost, and that future sales are at risk	05:10PM
23	because of the infringement.	05:11PM
24	Q. What data do you have	05:11PM
25	specifically, what empirical evidence?	05:11PM

		Page 344
1	A. I don't have any.	05:11PM
2	Q. Do you have any quantification?	05:11PM
3	A. I don't.	05:11PM
4	Q. Do you have any empirical	05:11PM
5	evidence or hard data to show that anything	05:11PM
6	Samsung has done has diluted Apple's brand?	05:11PM
7	A. I think if you take the research	05:11PM
8	reports together, the Poret report with the	05:11PM
9	Van Liere report, and the actions that	05:11PM
10	Samsung has taken with the infringing	05:11PM
11	products, that Apple's brand is at risk both	05:11PM
12	at the present time and in the future from	05:11PM
13	potential confusion in the marketplace that	05:11PM
14	could affect consumer purchasing, in the	05:12PM
15	short run and the long run.	05:12PM
16	Q. My question is, do you have any	05:12PM
17	empirical evidence or hard data to show that	05:12PM
18	Samsung's actions has diluted Apple's brand?	05:12PM
19	MS. HAGBERG: Objection. Asked	05:12PM
20	and answered.	05:12PM
21	A. No.	05:12PM
22	Q. Do you have any quantification of	05:12PM
23	any harm or dilution or loss of any kind to	05:12PM
24	Apple as a result of Samsung's actions?	05:12PM
25	MS. HAGBERG: Objection. Vague.	05:12PM

		Page 345
1	Compound.	05:12PM
2	A. No.	05:12PM
3	MS. HAGBERG: Asked and	05:12PM
4	answered.	05:12PM
5	Q. Do you have any evidence or data	05:12PM
6	to distinguish between harm that Apple's	05:12PM
7	brand has suffered as a result of negative	05:12PM
8	publicity from labor abuses or price fixing	05:12PM
9	or other conduct that's been alleged in the	05:13PM
10	media as compared to any actions that	05:13PM
11	Apple excuse me, that Samsung has	05:13PM
12	undertaken?	05:13PM
13	MS. HAGBERG: Objection;	05:13PM
14	compound. Lack of foundation.	05:13PM
15	A. No.	05:13PM
16	Q. Have you undertaken any kind of	05:13PM
17	study to determine whether any dilution that	05:13PM
18	Apple's brand is at risk for is the result of	05:13PM
19	negative publicity such as the exploitation	05:13PM
20	of labor, or price fixing, or the other kinds	05:13PM
21	of negative press that Apple has received?	05:13PM
22	MS. HAGBERG: Same objection.	05:13PM
23	Q. When compared to Samsung's	05:13PM
24	activities?	05:13PM
25	MS. HAGBERG: Same objections.	05:13PM

		Page 346
1	And compound.	05:13PM
2	A. No.	05:13PM
3	MS. HAGBERG: Can I get a	05:13PM
4	reading on our time, please.	05:13PM
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are at	05:13PM
6	seven hours.	05:13PM
7	MS. HAGBERG: Are you done?	05:13PM
8	MR. ZELLER: Could I just make	05:13PM
9	sure I have a clear answer, because I	05:13PM
10	think it got cut up a little bit.	05:14PM
11	MS. HAGBERG: He said no.	05:14PM
12	MR. ZELLER: Let me just see.	05:14PM
13	BY MR. ZELLER:	05:14PM
14	Q. And you understood my last	05:14PM
15	question, I was asking whether you could	05:14PM
16	distinguish any loss or dilution, or any	05:14PM
17	potential dilution or loss to Apple's brand	05:14PM
18	as a result of this negative kind of	05:14PM
19	publicity we have talked about as opposed to	05:14PM
20	Apple's actions or excuse me, Samsung's	05:14PM
21	actions?	05:14PM
22	MS. HAGBERG: Objection.	05:14PM
23	A. I haven't done such a study, no.	05:14PM
24	Q. Okay. I am sorry. I think this	05:14PM
25	got cut up a little bit, so let me try to ask	05:14PM