

1 HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)  
 hmcclhinny@mofo.com  
 2 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)  
 mjacobs@mofo.com  
 3 JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)  
 jtaylor@mofo.com  
 4 ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)  
 atucher@mofo.com  
 5 RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)  
 rhung@mofo.com  
 6 JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)  
 jasonbartlett@mofo.com  
 7 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
 425 Market Street  
 8 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
 Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
 9 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

WILLIAM F. LEE  
 william.lee@wilmerhale.com  
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
 HALE AND DORR LLP  
 60 State Street  
 Boston, MA 02109  
 Telephone: (617) 526-6000  
 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000

MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)  
 mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com  
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
 HALE AND DORR LLP  
 950 Page Mill Road  
 Palo Alto, California 94304  
 Telephone: (650) 858-6000  
 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

10  
 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff and  
 Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.

12  
 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 15 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 APPLE INC., a California corporation,  
 17 Plaintiff,  
 18 v.  
 19 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a  
 Korean corporation; SAMSUNG  
 20 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New  
 York corporation; and SAMSUNG  
 21 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,  
 22 Defendants.  
 23

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)

**ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
 FILE UNDER SEAL DOCUMENTS  
 REGARDING APPLE'S  
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR  
 LEAVE TO FILE OBJECTION TO  
 REPLY EVIDENCE**

24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 In accordance with Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, and General Order No. 62, Apple Inc.  
2 (“Apple”) submits this motion for an order to seal the following document or portions thereof:

- 3 1. The confidential, unredacted Objection to Reply Evidence (Exhibit A to Apple’s  
4 Administrative Motion for Leave to File Objection to Reply Evidence), which has  
5 been designated confidential as set forth below.

6 The Objection to Reply Evidence contains information that Samsung has represented was  
7 designated “under the protective order” by third party MERL, and which Samsung designated  
8 “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” until it could confer with third Party MERL about  
9 the appropriate designation. Apple expects that, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), either  
10 Samsung or third party MERL will file a declaration seeking to establish good cause to permit the  
11 sealing of these materials. A copy of this motion will concurrently be sent to third party MERL.

12 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(c), Apple will lodge with the Clerk the documents at  
13 issue.

14 Dated: June 22, 2012

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

15  
16 By: /s/ Michael A. Jacobs  
MICHAEL A. JACOBS

17  
18 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
APPLE INC.