

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)
2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
3 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)
kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
6 Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)
victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com
7 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, California 94065
8 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

9 Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
10 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com
865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
11 Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
12 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

13 Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and
14 Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 APPLE INC., a California corporation,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
21 Korean business entity; SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New
22 York corporation; SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
23 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

24 Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
APPLE'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED
TRIAL ON ITS CLAIMS AND FOR
EARLY CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE**

Honorable Lucy H. Koh
United States District Judge

25
26
27
28 Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING APPLE'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED TRIAL ON ITS CLAIMS AND
FOR EARLY CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Apple Inc.'s ("Apple's") Motion For Expedited Trial On Its Claims And For Early Case Management Conference (the "Motion") (D.N. 83), the declaration cited therein, and all pleadings and other papers in support thereof; and the Opposition filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively "Samsung"), the declaration cited therein, and all pleadings and other papers in support thereof; the Court concludes that good cause does not exist for Apple's Motion. The Court therefore DENIES Apple's Motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2011

LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge