## **EXHIBIT 11**

Highly Confidential	- Attorneys'	Eyes	Only
---------------------	--------------	------	------

Page 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN JOSE DIVISION 4 APPLE INC., a California corporation, 5 Plaintiff, Case No. 6 11-CV-01846-LHK VS. 7 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., 8 a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, 9 INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS 10 AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 15 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 16 17 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RICHARD HOWARTH 18 San Francisco, California 19 Monday, October 31, 2011 20 21 22 23 **REPORTED BY:** 24 CYNTHIA MANNING, CSR No. 7645, CLR, CCRR 25 JOB NO. 43007

```
Page 102
1
    your understanding.
2
              THE WITNESS: My understanding is that's
3
    what that is trying to represent.
4
    BY MR. ZELLER:
5
              It is perspective, but you're not
          Ο.
6
    certain?
7
              MR. MONACH: Object to the form of the
8
    question.
9
              THE WITNESS: It's possible that that's
10
    what that represents.
11
    BY MR. ZELLER:
12
              But, again, you can't say with certainty
          Ο.
13
    whether or not that's -- that tapering is because
14
    of perspective, as opposed to whether or not the
15
    design is actually showing that there is some kind
16
    of tapering?
17
              MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of
18
                  Objection, to the extent it calls for
    foundation.
19
    a legal conclusion; asked and answered.
20
              You can do it again.
21
              THE WITNESS: In my opinion, as an
22
    industrial designer and not a patent lawyer, I
23
    think that that looks like it is an object with
24
    perspective and not a tapering geometry.
25
    //
```

Page 103 1 BY MR. ZELLER: 2 Ο. And from your perspective, is that -- is 3 that an accurate depiction of perspective? 4 MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of 5 foundation, incomplete hypothetical. Objection; 6 vaque. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, it could be. 8 BY MR. ZELLER: 9 Can you say with any certainty if it is? Ο. 10 MR. MONACH: Same objection. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't say with any 12 certainty without -- whether that's an absolutely 13 accurate perspective view. But it looks okay. It 14 looks possible. 15 BY MR. ZELLER: 16 Q. You'll see also in Figure 9 that there is 17 a portion of it that has a thicker, darker line 18 that runs around the perimeter of the front. 19 Do you see that? 20 Α. Yes, I see that. 21 What does that depict? Q. 22 MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of 23 Object, to the extent it calls for a foundation. 24 legal conclusion. 25 THE WITNESS: It's unclear to me exactly

	Page 104
1	what that is trying to depict.
2	BY MR. ZELLER:
3	Q. Does it depict a gap or a groove?
4	MR. MONACH: Same objection; lack of
5	foundation. Objection, to the extent it calls for
6	a legal conclusion.
7	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what that
8	precise detail is trying to depict. But it looks
9	like the separation between two parts to me. Not
10	the separation; it looks like the joint between
11	two parts.
12	BY MR. ZELLER:
13	Q. And directing your attention to Figure 1,
14	you'll see that also at least on part of the
15	perimeter of this front surface there is a darker
16	line there as well, darker, thicker line?
17	A. I see that.
18	Q. And do you have an understanding as to
19	what that's depicting?
20	MR. MONACH: Objection; lack of
21	foundation. Object, to the extent it calls for a
22	legal conclusion.
23	THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell you exactly
24	what that's trying to depict.
25	//

Page 105 1 BY MR. ZELLER: 2 Is that darker, thicker line depicting a Ο. 3 gap or a groove? 4 MR. MONACH: Same objection. Lack of 5 foundation, calls for a legal conclusion. 6 THE WITNESS: In my opinion as an industrial designer, it doesn't look like that's 7 8 trying to depict a groove or a gap. It looks like 9 it's perhaps trying to show a radius or an 10 intersection of the rear housing, perhaps. 11 BY MR. ZELLER: 12 But you're not certain? Ο. 13 MR. MONACH: Same objection. Asked and 14 answered. 15 THE WITNESS: I'm not certain. 16 BY MR. ZELLER: 17 Ο. You'll see that the date of this design 18 patent for filing -- and this is on the first 19 page --20 Α. Okay. 21 Q. -- in the middle of the first column, 22 March 17th, 2004. 23 Do you see that date there? 24 Α. Yes, I do. 25 Prior to March 17th, 2004, which is the Q.