

Exhibit 25

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 09:03

2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 09:03

3 09:03

4 APPLE, INC.,) 09:03

) 09:03

5 Plaintiff) 09:03

) No. 11-CV-01846-LHK 09:03

6 vs.) 09:03

) 09:03

7 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, LTD.) 09:03

et al.,) 09:03

8) 09:03

Defendants) 09:03

9 -----) 09:03

10 09:03

11 *** 09:03

12 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 09:03

13 PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER 09:03

14 *** 09:03

15 09:03

16 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SEAN ROARTY 09:03

17 New York, New York 09:03

18 Wednesday, March 7, 2012 09:03

19 09:03

20 09:03

21 09:03

22 Reported by: 09:03

23 THOMAS A. FERNICOLA, RPR 09:03

24 JOB NO. 47239 09:03

25

Page 2	Page 3
<p>1 09:03</p> <p>2 09:03</p> <p>3 09:03</p> <p>4 March 7, 2012 09:03</p> <p>5 9:07 a.m. 09:03</p> <p>6 09:03</p> <p>7 09:03</p> <p>8 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of SEAN ROARTY, held 09:03</p> <p>9 at the Offices of Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP, 09:03</p> <p>10 787 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York,</p> <p>11 before Thomas A. Fernicola, a Registered 09:03</p> <p>12 Professional Reporter and Notary Public of the 09:03</p> <p>13 State of New York. 09:03</p> <p>14 09:03</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 09:03</p> <p>2 09:03</p> <p>3 09:03</p> <p>4 MORRISON & FOERSTER</p> <p>5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 09:03</p> <p>6 425 Market Street 09:03</p> <p>7 San Francisco, California 94105</p> <p>8</p> <p>9 BY: PATRICK ZHANG, ESQ.</p> <p>10 09:03</p> <p>11 09:03</p> <p>12 09:03</p> <p>13 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN</p> <p>14 Attorneys for Samsung 09:03</p> <p>15 865 South Figueroa St.</p> <p>16 Los Angeles, California 90017 09:03</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 BY: CURRAN M. WALKER, ESQ. 09:03</p> <p>19 09:03</p> <p>20 09:03</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
Page 4	Page 5
<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued): 09:03</p> <p>2 09:03</p> <p>3 09:03</p> <p>4 WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER</p> <p>5 Attorneys for Bloomberg and the Witness 09:03</p> <p>6 787 Seventh Avenue 09:03</p> <p>7 New York, New York 10019</p> <p>8</p> <p>9 BY: DEIRDRE N. HYKAL, ESQ. 09:03</p> <p>10 09:03</p> <p>11 09:03</p> <p>12 ALSO PRESENT: 09:03</p> <p>13 MATTHEW SMITH, Videographer 09:03</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p>1 S T I P U L A T I O N S 09:03</p> <p>2 09:03</p> <p>3 I T I S H E R E B Y S T I P U L A T E D A N D A G R E E D b y a n d b e t w e e n 09:03</p> <p>4 the attorneys for the respective parties herein 09:03</p> <p>5 that this examination may be sworn to before any 09:03</p> <p>6 Notary Public. 09:03</p> <p>7 09:03</p> <p>8 I T I S F U R T H E R S T I P U L A T E D A N D A G R E E D t h a t t h e 09:03</p> <p>9 filing and certification of the said examination 09:03</p> <p>10 shall be waived. 09:03</p> <p>11 09:03</p> <p>12 I T I S F U R T H E R S T I P U L A T E D A N D A G R E E D t h a t a l l 09:56</p> <p>13 objections to questions, except as to the form of 09:56</p> <p>14 the question, shall be reserved for the time of 09:56</p> <p>15 trial. 09:56</p> <p>16 09:57</p> <p>17 T h i s e x a m i n a t i o n s h a l l b e f u r n i s h e d t o t h e 09:58</p> <p>18 attorney for the witness being examined without 09:58</p> <p>19 charge. 09:58</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>

Page 150			Page 151		
1	other separate person.	12:49	1	did not have a translucent covering over the	12:52
2	A. Right.	12:49	2	LCD display, was a different design than the	12:52
3	Q. Did you speak to Mr. Masamichi in	12:49	3	design that was in consideration earlier in the	12:52
4	preparation for today's testimony?	12:50	4	development process which had a clear covering	12:52
5	A. No.	12:50	5	over the entire front surface?	12:52
6	Q. And is it correct that none of	12:50	6	MS. HYKAL: Objection.	12:52
7	Bloomberg's LCD terminals are touch screens?	12:50	7	A. So can you -- to paraphrase it, are	12:52
8	A. That's correct.	12:50	8	you asking me if in my opinion if there are two	12:52
9	Q. So none of the designs that we spoke	12:50	9	different designs?	12:52
10	about today were designed to be touch screen	12:50	10	Q. Yes.	12:53
11	monitors; is that correct?	12:50	11	A. I would say they weren't two	12:53
12	A. That's correct.	12:50	12	different designs. It was an iteration of the	12:53
13	Q. And do you have an understanding as	12:50	13	original design concept. It wasn't markedly	12:53
14	to whether different design concepts were	12:51	14	different. It was an element changed, but	12:53
15	considered in the development of the Bloomberg	12:51	15	there are elements changed, too, in terms of	12:53
16	flat panel display -- actually, let me ask a	12:51	16	the draft angle might be specified at a certain	12:53
17	different question.	12:51	17	degree and then it might get changed by a	12:53
18	Is it your understanding that two	12:51	18	couple of degrees or the base thickness or the	12:53
19	different designs were considered during the	12:51	19	footprint might change and grow.	12:53
20	development of the Bloomberg flat panel	12:51	20	But overall -- the overall appearance	12:53
21	display?	12:52	21	and the overall approach, in my opinion, was	12:53
22	MS. HYKAL: Objection.	12:52	22	the same or mostly similar.	12:53
23	A. Well, I don't think so.	12:52	23	Q. I believe you testified earlier today	12:53
24	Q. Is it your opinion that the design	12:52	24	that the clear covering over the commercially	12:53
25	that was eventually commercially released which	12:52	25	released version of the panel was removed for a	12:53
Page 152			Page 153		
1	utilitarian use; is that correct?	12:53	1	in terms of making it in a number of different	12:55
2	MS. HYKAL: Objection.	12:53	2	ways if it wanted to?	12:55
3	A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure if I'm	12:54	3	A. Yes.	12:55
4	understanding you when you say "utilitarian."	12:54	4	Q. And so there's no particular	12:55
5	Q. Sure.	12:54	5	functional reason that mandated this particular	12:55
6	Do you recall giving a reason for why	12:54	6	design of the Bloomberg terminal; is that	12:55
7	the clear panel was removed from the	12:54	7	correct?	12:55
8	commercially released product earlier today?	12:54	8	A. Correct. No functional. Sorry,	12:55
9	A. Yes, I do.	12:54	9	reasoning.	12:55
10	Q. And what was that reason?	12:54	10	Q. And is it correct that the Bloomberg	12:55
11	A. People reacted negatively to the	12:54	11	LCD displays were always sold in pairs? And,	12:55
12	mirror or the glare effect, the diminished	12:54	12	I'm sorry, actually, I should rephrase.	12:55
13	resolution, in their opinion. To them it was	12:54	13	And is it correct that the Bloomberg	12:55
14	they preferred to see the screen directly.	12:54	14	LCD displays were always made and provided to	12:55
15	Q. Do you recall whether a design having	12:54	15	others in pairs?	12:55
16	fully translucent front surface was more	12:54	16	A. Provided to customers in pairs.	12:55
17	expensive to manufacture than the design that	12:54	17	Typically provided internally in pairs,	12:55
18	did not have the fully translucent front	12:54	18	although we did allow ourselves the luxury of	12:55
19	surface?	12:54	19	installing a single head as opposed to on a	12:55
20	A. Not specifically. But I would	12:54	20	stand.	12:56
21	imagine it would be, just by nature of more	12:54	21	Q. Are you aware of any instances where	12:56
22	material.	12:54	22	the Bloomberg LCD terminals were made available	12:56
23	Q. And was it your understanding that	12:54	23	to customers in a single head configuration?	12:56
24	Bloomberg had a lot of freedom in terms of the	12:54	24	A. No.	12:56
25	industrial design for its flat panel displays	12:55	25	MR. ZHANG: I don't have anything	12:56

Page 158			Page 159		
1	(The exhibits were retained by the	12:06	1		12:06
2	court reporter to be attached to the	12:06	2	CERTIFICATE	12:06
3	transcript.)	12:06	3		12:06
4		12:06	4	STATE OF NEW YORK)	12:06
5		12:06	5) ss.:	12:06
6		12:06	6	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	12:06
7		12:06	7		12:06
8		12:06	8	I, THOMAS A. FERNICOLA, Registered	12:06
9		12:06	9	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	12:06
10		12:06	10	the State of New York, do hereby certify	12:06
11		12:06	11	that the within is a true and accurate	12:06
12		12:06	12	transcript of the proceedings held on	12:06
13		12:06	13	March 7, 2012.	12:06
14		12:06	14	That I am not related to any of the	12:06
15		12:06	15	parties to this action by blood or	12:06
16		12:06	16	marriage; and that I am in no way	12:06
17		12:06	17	interested in the outcome of this matter.	12:06
18	SEAN ROARTY	12:06	18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto	12:06
19		12:06	19	set my hand this 7th day of March 2012.	12:06
20	Subscribed and sworn to before me	12:06	20		12:06
21	this day of 2012.	12:06	21		12:06
22		12:06	22	THOMAS A. FERNICOLA, RPR	12:06
23		12:06	23		
24			24		
25			25		

Page 160			Page 161		
1	----- INDEX -----	12:06	1	----- EXHIBITS -----	12:06
2	ATTORNEY	PAGE 12:06	2	S. ROARTY'S	12:06
3	Mr. Walker	7 12:06	3	DESCRIPTION	PAGE LINE 12:06
4	Mr. Zhang	135 12:06	4	Exhibit 1 Letter from Scott Hall	14 16 12:06
5	Mr. Walker	154 12:06	5	to Thomas Golden dated February 1,	12:06
6			6	2012,	12:06
7			7	Exhibit 2 Artist/Designer	49 3 12:06
8			8	Renderings,	12:06
9			9	Exhibit 2A Enhanced Version of	125 19 12:06
10			10	Exhibit 2,	12:06
11			11	Exhibit 3 Series of E-Mails, Bates	65 18 12:06
12			12	No. BLPAS00037 through 39,	12:06
13			13	Exhibit 4 Renderings of 17 and	78 8 12:06
14			14	19-inch flat panels,	12:06
15			15	Exhibit 4A Enhance Version of	125 22 12:06
16			16	Exhibit 4,	12:06
17			17	Exhibit 5 Documents re: Bloomberg	86 9 12:06
18			18	flat panels,	12:06
19			19	Exhibit 5A Enhance Version of	125 25 12:06
20			20	Exhibit 5,	12:06
21			21	Exhibit 6 E-Mail from Alex Chong	96 13 12:06
22			22	to Mari Ozolins dated 8/28/02,	12:06
23			23	Exhibit 7 Copy of Fax,	100 22 12:06
24			24		
25			25		