1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
6	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
7	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
8	Plaintiff,	DECLADATION OF DETED W
9	V.	BRESSLER, FIDSA, IN SUPPORT
10	Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	SAMSUNG'S OPENING
11	corporation; SAMSUNG	MEMORANDUM REGARDING DESIGN PATENT CLAIM
12	Delaware limited liability company,	CUNSTRUCTION
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	PUBLIC REDACTI	ED VERSION
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S R Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899	ESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST.

1 2 I, Peter W. Bressler, FIDSA, hereby declare as follows:

I.

INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

I have been retained by counsel for Apple Inc. (Apple) in the above-captioned
 patent litigation matter against Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,
 and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, Samsung). I give this declaration
 in that capacity, and the matters stated herein are of my own personal knowledge or are my
 professional opinions. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to them.

8 2. I am currently a product design consultant and an Adjunct Associate Professor in
9 the Integrated Product Design Program at the University of Pennsylvania.

My curriculum vitae, which includes a listing of papers, patents, and other
 materials which I have authored within the last ten (10) years, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
 My CV also includes a listing of the cases in which I have testified as an expert at trial or by
 deposition within the last four (4) years. It also includes a history of the positions that I have held
 at the national level of the Industrial Designers Society of America (IDSA). Also, it lists my
 educational background, which includes a Bachelor of Fine Arts degree in Industrial Design from
 Rhode Island School of Design in 1968.

In 2010, I received my profession's highest award, the IDSA Personal Recognition
Award, which had been bestowed upon only 25 others in the history of the profession before my
receipt of the award.

5. I am the founder and formerly the Board Chair at Bresslergroup, Inc., a design
research, strategic product planning, industrial design, product development, and engineering
consulting firm. As the founder of Bresslergroup, Inc., I have been involved with over 700
clients and over 3,000 product design and development projects.

Several of my projects include industrial designs for telephone handsets for IMM,
 cell phones for Motorola, video phones for Worldgate, audio products for Polk Audio, tablet
 computers for Telepad, digital tire gauges for MSI International, and touchscreen video gaming
 devices for Merit Industries.

7. I have been awarded over 70 United States patents for physical products. These
 patents are divided roughly equally between utility and design patents, a listing of which is
 provided in my CV.

8. In order to create attractive and successful designs, an industrial designer must
have an understanding of what the consumer will see and appreciate in a particular design. Such
an understanding of the ordinary consumer's visual impressions is built up over years of
experience in industrial design, and in the process of critiquing, testing, and reiterating one's
designs. From my over 40 years of industrial design work and design experience with consumer
electronics, I have developed extensive experience regarding how ordinary consumers see,
recognize, and understand the industrial design of consumer electronics.

9. Over the course of my career, I have also spent considerable time participating in
 consumer testing that involves determining consumers' visual understanding of various products,
 including consumer electronics products.

14 10. I have also been trained in Synectics, which is a process for facilitating group
15 interaction that encourages the exchange of information, creativity, and innovation. This training
16 has allowed me to more effectively communicate with, and gather information from, consumers
17 in the course of my research.

18 11. During my career, I have participated in well over one hundred and fifty consumer
 or user research projects employing a wide range of techniques, including focus groups, consumer
 preference studies, point of sale observations, ethnographic analyses, personal interviews, mall
 intercept surveys, and product usability testing. Examples of such projects include:

22

a. Point of sale observation of mobile phone purchasers;

b. Consumer preference interviews regarding audio speakers at the Consumer
Electronics Show;

- 25 26
- 27

d. Hidden and participatory consumer group creativity sessions and

Consumer preference focus groups for selection of DVD camcorder

28 preference testing for kitchen appliances; and

c.

concepts;

DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899

Ethnographic in-home interviews and observations to provide generative e. concept development for home office products.

3 12. There are a number of common elements in my research experience involving 4 consumer electronics designs. First, my work has involved the observation of ordinary 5 consumers as they make visual assessments of consumer electronics designs, including at the 6 point of purchase. Second, it has involved interviewing ordinary consumers on the aesthetic 7 features, visual effects, and visual impressions that they observe and experience in relation to 8 consumer electronics designs. Third, it has involved interviewing ordinary consumers on the 9 aesthetic features, visual effects, and visual impressions that they use to identify, distinguish, and 10 evaluate consumer electronics designs.

11 13. Through all of these experiences, I have gained an understanding of the level of 12 observation and visual acuity brought to bear by an ordinary consumer when purchasing consumer electronics. I have also gained an understanding of how ordinary observers perceive 13 14 consumer electronics designs: for example, how strong a visual effect must be before attracting 15 the notice of the ordinary consumer, and how much weight an ordinary consumer gives to strong 16 visual effects or themes when identifying or comparing designs.

17

1

2

BACKGROUND

II.

18 14. I have been asked to provide my opinion with respect to United States Patent Nos. 19 D504,889, D593,087, and 618,677 (the D'889 Patent, D'087 Patent, and D'677 Patent, 20 respectively). Specifically, I have been asked to provide this Declaration to address whether any 21 visual element claimed in the D'889, D'087, and D'677 Patents is dictated by function.

22

III. **MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW**

23 15. I have been informed by Apple's counsel that "[t]o qualify for protection, a 24 design must present an aesthetically pleasing appearance that is not dictated by function alone." 25 Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc., 489 U.S. 141, 148 (1989). 26 16. On the other hand, I understand that "[a] design patent is directed to the

27 appearance of an article of manufacture," which "necessarily serves a utilitarian purpose." L.A.

28 Gear, Inc., 988 F.2d at 1123. Notwithstanding the fact that these are articles of manufacture that DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899

serve a utilitarian purpose, I understand that "the design of a useful article is deemed to be
functional when the appearance of the claimed design is 'dictated by' the use or purpose of the
article." *Id.* (citation omitted). "If the particular design is essential to the use of the article, it
cannot be the subject of a design patent." *Id.* I also understand that a design patent may include
"ornamental designs of all kinds including surface ornamentation as well as configuration of
goods." *In re Zahn*, 617 F.2d 261, 268 (C.C.P.A. 1980)

- 17. I have been informed by Apple's counsel that, "[i]n determining whether a design
 is primarily functional or primarily ornamental the claimed design is viewed in its entirety, for the
 ultimate question is not the functional or decorative aspect of each separate feature, but the
 overall appearance of the article, in determining whether the claimed design is dictated by the
 utilitarian purpose of the article." *L.A. Gear, Inc.*, 988 F.2d at 1123. I understand that the fact
 that an element of a design serves a functional purpose does not mean that the specific design of
 the element is dictated by functional considerations. *Id*.
- 14 18. I understand that a functionality analysis must address "the article in the claimed
 15 design," that is, "the article and its configuration as shown in the drawings," rather than "the
 16 commercial embodiment of the underlying article of manufacture." *Berry Sterling Corp. v.*17 *Prescor Plastics, Inc.*, 122 F.3d 1452, 1455 (Fed. Cir. 1997).
- 18 19. I further understand that "[a] design is not dictated solely by its function when 19 alternative designs for the article of manufacture are available." Best Lock Corp. v. Ilco Unican 20 Corp., 94 F.3d 1563, 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1996) (citation omitted). "When there are several ways to 21 achieve the function of an article of manufacture, the design of the article is more likely to serve a 22 primarily ornamental purpose." L.A. Gear, 988 F.2d at 1123. And "if other designs could 23 produce the same or similar functional capabilities, the design of the article in question is likely 24 ornamental, not functional." Rosco, Inc. v. Mirror Lite Co., 304 F.3d 1373, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 25 2002).
- 26

IV. NO ELEMENT OF THE D'889 PATENT IS DICTATED BY FUNCTION

27

20. It is my experience as a designer that practical considerations such as the physical

28 properties of objects, manufacturing costs and processes, and the intended use of the product, do DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899

not eliminate the potential for innovative industrial design. Although such practical 1 2 considerations help to focus the work of the designer, they invariably leave significant space for 3 creative and aesthetic design choices. The industrial designer's job is to use practical 4 considerations as a creative springboard to design beautiful and appealing products that perform the functions required of them. 5

6	21.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	22. Furthermore, numerous alternative designs to the patented D'889 design were and
18	are commercially available. Because these alternative designs were commercially released, they
19	show that the D'889 design is not required for a tablet, and that there are multiple designs for a
20	functioning tablet. Some of these alternative designs are shown below: ²
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	¹ Apple Tablet Protos 848, 874, 1051, 1202 & 1216 respectively.
27 28	² From top row, from left to right: Sony Tablet S, Barnes & Noble Nook Tablet, Coby Kyros, Acer Iconia A500, Sony Tablet P, and Vinci Tablet. (<i>See</i> Ex. 12.) These tablets do not constitute an exhaustive list of alternative designs that may be relevant; they are merely representative of some alternatives that have been commercialized.
I	DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899





1 dictated by function alone, Samsung and other manufacturers would be incapable of making a 2 tablet look different from Apple's iPad 2, which is clearly not the case. The availability of so 3 many different design choices, including for touchscreen tablets with the same basic capabilities 4 and features as the iPad 2, confirms my opinion that any alleged function assigned to the 5 individual elements of the D'889 patents is capable of being provided by alternative designs. 6 1. **Tablets Need Not Have a Rectangular Shape** 7 30. Tablets can come in many different shapes. For instance, as described above, the 8 Sony Tablet S has the appearance of a "folded" shape and the Vinci tablet has an octagonal 9 shape. (Ex. 21.) Moreover, as described below, smartphones, which often have rectangular 10 display screens, also come in many different non-rectangular shapes. Tablets can also come with 11 a handle, such as the Panasonic Toughbook tablet. (Ex. 22.) It can also have a hinged design 12 like the Sony Tablet P, so that the tablet can fold up and close, taking less space in transport. 13 (Ex. 23.) 14 2. The Corners on Tablets Need Not Be a Specified Shape. 31. 15 Many alternative tablet designs with non-rounded corners can function as a 16 tablet. For instance, the Sony Tablet S, pictured below left, has been manufactured with sharper, 17 almost 90 degree corners as viewed from the front. The Sony Reader, pictured below right, also 18 has two corners that appear close to 90 degrees as viewed from the front. 19 20 21 22 23 32. 24 Moreover, other alternative designs have other differently shaped corners that are 25 neither round nor sharp and can still perform the functions of a tablet. For instance, as described 26 above, the Vinci tablet, shown below on the left, has chamfered corners and a rubberized 27 "protective ring" to help ensure durability. The Nook tablet, shown below on the right, has a 28 distinctive "loop" at one corner, with the result that it does not have four evenly rounded corners. DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899 8



1	36. Also, there are numerous iPad, iPad 2 and Galaxy Tab 10.1 covers and cases on
2	the market that increase the comfort, durability, safety, and ease of use of the devices, despite
3	their rounded corners. ³ This further belies any argument that the rounded corners are functional.
4	3. Tablets Need Not Have a Flat Clear Surface Without Ornamentation
5	37. Many commercially available tablet designs do not have a flat and clear surface
6	without ornamentation, including Samsung's own tablet computer that was available before
7	Apple's iPad.
8	38. Samsung's Q1 tablet had a recessed screen surrounded by a raised frame, rather
9	than a flat surface. (Ex. 19.) Moreover, the Q1 tablet had an opaque frame with buttons
10	surrounding the display screen instead of a completely clear front surface as in the D'889 design.
11	The Q1 was praised for its "beautiful, featherweight design" with a "sleek case" and that the
12	"[b]uttons around the screen also help [the user] navigate." ⁴ Many users prefer physical buttons
13 14	as they provide tactile feedback.
15	39. Furthermore, many other third-party tablet devices have an opaque frame
16	surrounding the display and thus do not have a completely clear front surface. For instance, the
10	Nook tablet has a gray opaque frame that has a "textured finish" that makes it "feel a little better
18	in your hand." ⁵ Likewise, the Coby Kyros has an opaque plastic housing that makes the device
19	"sturdy." ⁶ (Ex. 24.) The Acer Iconia A500 also has a distinctive opaque aluminum casing that
20	wraps around to the front surface. (Ex. 25.)
21	40. The border around the screen shown in the D'889 is also not functional. To the
22	extent the border is used to hide components and wiring, this is an aesthetic—not a functional—
23	³ Ex. 26 (http://store.apple.com/us/browse/home/shop_ipad/ipad_accessories/cases; see also http://www.samsung.com/us/mobile/galaxy-tab-accessories#container) (APLNDC-Y0000238871-74).
24 25	⁴ Ex. 27 (CNET, "Samsung Q1 Ultramobile PC," http://reviews.cnet.com/laptops/samsung-q1- ultramobile-pc/4505-3121_7-31781057.html#reviewPage1).
23 26	⁵ Ex. 28 (CNET, "Barnes & Noble Nook Tablet," http://reviews.cnet.com/tablets/barnes-noble- nook-tablet/4505-3126_7-35059751.html#reviewPage1).
27 28	⁶ Ex. 29 (William Harrel, "Coby Kyros Internet 8" Touch Screen Tablet Review & Ratings," Computer Shopper, http://computershopper.com/tablets/reviews/coby-kyros-internet-8-touchscreen-tablet-mid8024/%28page%29/).
_	DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899 10





sf-3156899











1	element of its phones and undercut any contention that utilitarian or functional considerations
2	dictated the iPhone design or Samsung's infringing designs. (See Ex. 38.)
3	58. The fact that Samsung and other manufacturers have commercially released
4	phones with different-looking, alternative designs shows that Samsung had numerous design
5	options for offering equivalent or similar functionality for the end user. These alternative designs
6	belie any suggestion that functional considerations dictated the iPhone design or the design of
7	Samsung's accused phones.
8	3. The Individual Elements of the Designs in the D'677 and D'087 Patents are Not Dictated by Function
9	59. No visual element of the D'677 and D'087 designs is required by the function of
10	an electronic device or smartphone.
11	60. For the reasons discussed above and below, it is my opinion that none of the
12	claimed elements of the D'677 and D'087 patents is dictated by function alone. Similarly, the
13	counterpart elements of Samsung's accused devices cannot be explained by function alone. If the
14	elements were dictated by function alone, Samsung and other smartphone manufacturers would
15	be incapable of making a smartphone look different from Apple's iPhone, which is clearly not the
10	case. The availability of so many different design choices, including for touchscreen
18	smartphones with the same basic capabilities and features as the iPhone, confirms my opinion
19	that any alleged function assigned to the individual elements of the D'677and D'087 patents is
20	capable of being provided by alternative designs.
21	a. The Front Surface of a Smartphone or Media Player Need Not Be Completely Flat or Completely Transparent
22	61. Smartphone or media player designers have many design choices for the front
23	surface of a smartphone other than a completely flat transparent front surface. For instance, at
24	least the G'zOne Commando and Optimus T have raised protective surroundings around the
25	display screen such that the front surface is not completely flat or completely transparent. (Exs.
20	50-51 .)
21	
28	DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST.
	Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899 18







sf-3156899

b. The Corners on a Smartphone or Media Player Need Not Be a Specified Shape

71. A number of commercially released smartphone designs have been manufactured with sharper, almost 90 degree corners as viewed from the front, for example, Lumia 800, the Xperia Arc S, Xperia X10, Xperia Mini X10, and the Nokia X5-01, as shown below.



72. These phones have received positive industry reviews with respect to their 13 comfort. For instance, as mentioned above, the Nokia Lumia 800 design has been praised on the 14 ground that "[i]t's natural and pleasant to the touch, with great ergonomics and weight balance-15 the diametric opposite of the cold and impersonal appearance of most modern technology."¹⁷ 16 Others have commented that the Lumia 800 "is a dream to observe and handle, with its smooth 17 curves fitting snugly to the hand."¹⁸ Likewise, the Xperia Arc S has been described as 18 "surprisingly comfortable"¹⁹ and that a user can wrap their hands "around the phone 19 comfortably."²⁰ The Nokia X5-01 has a "comfy hold."²¹ Hence, there are ways to execute a 20 21 22 ¹⁷ Ex. 66 (Vlad Savov, "Nokia Lumia 800 Review," The Verge, Nov. 3, 2011, http://www.theverge.com/2011/11/3/2534861/nokia-lumia-800-review.) 23 ¹⁸ Ex. 67 (TechRadar, "Nokia Lumia 800 Review," Mar. 8, 2012, http://www.techradar.com/reviews/phones/mobile-phones/nokia-lumia-800-1039101/review.) 24 ¹⁹ Ex. 68 (TheTechTonic.com, Sony Ericsson Xperia Arc S Review, 25 http://www.thetechtonic.com/sony-ericsson-xperia-arc-s-review.html.) ²⁰ Ex. 69 (CNET, Sony Ericsson Xperia Arc S, http://reviews.cnet.com/smartphones/sony-26 ericsson-xperia-arc/4505-6452_7-35026937.html#reviewPage1.) 27 ²¹ Ex. 70 (GSMArena, Nokia X5-01 Review: Round the Square, Nov. 1, 2010, http://www.gsmarena.com/nokia x5 01-review-529.php.) 28 DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

11

design with sharper corners as viewed from the front that still provide an acceptable level of comfort, durability, and ease of manufacture.

73. Furthermore, many other alternative designs do not have either round or sharp corners. For example, the Pantech Crossover, as shown below, is designed with "angled" corners and has been praised on the ground that the angled corners actually improve comfort. As mentioned above, reviewers have commented that the "angled" corners "actually make[] a huge difference, offering more places to easily grip the phone,"²² and that the phone "feel[s] like it naturally belongs nestled in the palm of [one's] hand."²³







74. Many of Samsung's own smartphones also do not have corners at all, let alone rounded corners. For instance, the top and bottom sides of the Samsung's Sunburst, Beat DJ and Gravity Touch, as shown below, are rounded to such a degree that no discernable corners exist.

²² Ex. 71 (Brad Molen, "Pantech Crossover Review," Engadget, June 7, 2011, http://mobile.engadget.com/2011/06/07/pantech-crossover-review/.) 23 *Id*.

DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899





Borders Need Not Be Narrow on the Sides of the Display e. and Wider Above and Below



f. The Speaker Slot on a Smartphone Need Not be Lozenge-Shaped

83. The shape of the speaker slot is not dictated by function because "some options" exist for styling the [speaker slot] and selecting its length and width." (Bartlett Decl. Ex. 15 at 101.) Although this admission is sufficient to establish that the shape of the speaker slot in the D'677 and D'087 patented design is not dictated by function, the alternative designs for speaker slots are numerous.

84. For example, Samsung's own alternative speaker slot shapes belie the functionality of a lozenge-shaped speaker slot. For instance, the Gravity Touch has a speaker slot comprised of a series of holes in a rectangular shape that forms a part of a wide arch that spans nearly the entire width of the phone. Samsung's Gem has a speaker slot that is generally triangular shape, and the Samsung Beat DJ has a speaker slot that is curved. The Samsung I700 had an oval shaped speaker slot covered by another element. (See below, clockwise from upper left.)



85. Third-party phones also show alternative designs for functioning speaker slots. 24 For instance, Sony Ericsson's Xperia Arc S has an asymmetrical speaker slot that is narrower on 25 one side and wider on the other, and the Casio G'zOne Commando has a vertically oriented 26 rectangular speaker slot (visible in the red portion of the phone depicted below). The HTC 27 MyTouch 4G phone has a speaker slot that appears to have three compartments. The HTC Touch 28 DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899 27

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Dual has a speaker slot shaped like a rounded square. The Xperia X10 has speaker slot shaped
 almost like a semi-circle, and the Blackberry 8700g's speaker slot is a row of three dots. (See
 below.)



Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899







sf-3156899

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	9 atat
8	
9	
10	96. Accordingly, I conclude that there is no element in the design of the D'087 Patent
11	that is dictated by function.
12	97. Accordingly, I conclude that there is no element in the design of the D'677 Patent
13	that is dictated by function.
14	
15	Dated: June 26, 2012 /s/ Peter W. Bressler Peter W. Bressler
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
U.	DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK sf-3156899 32

1	ATTESTATION	
2	I, Michael A. Jacobs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this	
3	Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Peter W. Bressler	
4	has concurred in this filing.	
5		
6	Dated: June 26, 2012/s/ Michael A. JacobsMichael A. Jacobs	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
•	DECLARATION OF PETER W. BRESSLER, FIDSA ISO APPLE'S RESPONSE RE DESIGN PATENT CLAIM CONST. Case No. 11 cv-01846-LHK	