1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
2	hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) mjacobs@mofo.com	SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
3	JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368) jtaylor@mofo.com	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
4	ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363) atucher@mofo.com	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
5	RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425) rhung@mofo.com	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129) Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)
6	JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530) jasonbartlett@mofo.com	555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065
7	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
8	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
9	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
11		Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
12		CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG
13		TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN JOSE D	
18	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)
19	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND REQUEST FOR
20	V.	CLARIFICATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PEGARDING PRE TRIAL
21	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; and	ŘEGARDINĞ PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES
22	SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability	
23	company,	
24	Defendants.	
25		」
26		
2627		

WHEREAS, the Court's May 2, 2012 Case Management Order provides that the parties shall file their Rule 26(a)(3) pretrial disclosures on June 29, 2012. (Dkt. No. 901.) Rule 26(a)(3)(A)(ii) provides that the parties shall disclose "the designation of those witnesses whose testimony the party expects to present by deposition...."

WHEREAS, the May 2, 2012 Case Management Order further provides that July 13, 2012 is the "[1]ast day to file objections to the use of a deposition," and July 16, 2012 is the "[1]ast day to file excerpts of deposition testimony or other discovery to be offered at trial other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal." (Dkt. No. 901.)

WHEREAS, the Court also has a Standing Jury Pretrial Order that provides for the timing of deposition designations and objections/counterdesignations as follows: "Unless otherwise ordered, at least 14 days before the commencement of trial [here, July 16, 2012], the parties shall file and serve any excerpts of deposition testimony or other discovery to be offered at trial, other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal. (A hard copy of the designated deposition testimony with page and line references, or the interrogatory response or admission shall be provided.) Any objections to the use of designated excerpts and any counterdesignations of deposition testimony shall be filed and served at least 10 days prior to the commencement of trial." (emphasis added);

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to resolve questions arising from these and other portions of the May 2, 2012 Case Management Order and Standing Jury Pretrial Order;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties, for the purpose of clarifying the timing of pre-trial disclosures and filings, as follows:

- 1. The Parties shall file and serve any excerpts of deposition testimony, other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal, on July 16, 2012.
- 2. The Parties shall file and serve objections to the use of designated excerpts and any counterdesignations of deposition testimony on July 20, 2012. The parties shall file and serve objections to counterdesignations on July 25, 2012.
- 3. Rule 26(a)(3) pretrial disclosures shall be exchanged by the parties on June 29, 2012 and filed in connection with the joint pretrial statement on July 3, 2012.
 - 4. The parties disagree about the meaning of the Court's instruction to include in the

July 3, 2012 exhibit list 125 exhibits to be used other than solely for "impeachment or rebuttal."

- Apple's understanding is that the Parties are required to include on the list a. any evidence that they reasonably anticipate introducing at trial. The lists would include, for example, any evidence on which the Parties reasonably anticipate relying in opposing claims of infringement or in opposing claims of invalidity such as, for example, secondary indicia of non-obviousness.
- Samsung's understanding is that the Parties should include only exhibits b. that they intend to introduce in connection with their case-in-chief. infringement or secondary indicia documents, for example, would be excluded from the list.

For the avoidance of doubt, the Parties request that the Court clarify its instruction.

- 5. The Parties request that they be allowed to mark a reasonable number of undisputed "Joint" exhibits, including the patents and trade dress registrations in suit, prosecution histories, and other documents and things central to the dispute. The Parties request that such joint exhibits not count against the numerical limit that applies to Party-designated exhibits.
- 6. The Parties understand that the May 2, 2012 Minute Order and Case Management Order, page 2 lines 6-7 requiring disclosure of "exhibits, summaries, charts, and diagrams" does not apply to demonstrative exhibits not to be entered into evidence. The Parties agree that certain demonstrative exhibits not to be entered into evidence must be exchanged before they are used in Court. The Parties shall establish a deadline for exchange of such demonstrative exhibits in a subsequent stipulation or, if necessary, request that the Court set a deadline.
 - 7. No other dates or deadlines shall be modified by this stipulation and order.

26

27

1 2	Dated: June 28, 2012	Dated: June 28, 2012
3	//36.1.14.7.1	/a/Varia D. Jahnaan
4	/s/ Michael A. Jacobs Harold J. McElhinny	/s/ Kevin P. Johnson QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
5	Michael A. Jacobs Richard S.J. Hung	SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)
6	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
7	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
8	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Facsimile: (415) 857-6700
9	William F. Lee WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
10	HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street	Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603) victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com
10	Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000	555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065
12	Facsimile: (617) 526-5000	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
	Mark D. Selwyn WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
13	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road	michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
14	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
15	Facsimile: (650) 858-6100	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
16	Counsel for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-	Counsel for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
17	Defendant Apple Inc.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
18		
19		
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	Dated:, 2012	
23		Honorable Lucy H. Koh
24		United States District Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE I, Michael A. Jacobs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Kevin P. Johnson has concurred in this filing. Dated: June 28, 2012 /s/ Michael A. Jacobs Michael A. Jacobs