Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al

Exhibit 39

Dockets.Justia.com

| | <u>Apple v. San</u> Confidential – Attorne | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DI | STRICT COURT | |
| 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | APPLE INC., a California corporation, | Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK | |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | EXPERT REPORT OF RAVIN BALAKRISHNAN, PH.D. | |
| 14 | V. | REGARDING INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,469,381 | |
| 15 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., A Korean business entity; SAMSUNG | | |
| 16 | ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG | | |
| 17 18 | TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, | | |
| 10 | Defendants. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | <u>**CONFIDENTIAL – CONTAINS MATI CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS</u> | ERIAL DESIGNATED AS HIGHLY | |
| 22 | <u>CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNET</u> <u>TO A PROTECTIV</u> | VE ORDER** | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

<u>Apple v. Samsung</u> Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only

claims or, put otherwise, is not a staple article of commerce that has substantial non-infringing
 uses.

3

V. DETAILED OPINION REGARDING THE '381 PATENT

4 36. I understand that in this lawsuit, Apple has accused Samsung of infringing the
5 '381 patent. In particular, Apple alleges that Samsung infringes claims 1-11 and 13-20 of the
6 '381 patent (collectively, the "Asserted Claims").

37. Specifically, I understand Apple has alleged that certain products that are made,
manufactured, produced, or sold by Samsung infringe the '381 patent, including at least the
Captivate; Continuum; Droid Charge; Epic 4G; Exhibit 4G; Fascinate; Galaxy Ace; Galaxy
Prevail; Galaxy S (i9000); Galaxy S II (i9100, AT&T, and Epic 4G Touch variants); Galaxy S
4G; Galaxy S Showcase (i500); Galaxy Tab 7.0; Galaxy Tab 10.1; Gravity Smart; Gem; Indulge;
Infuse 4G; Intercept; Mesmerize; Nexus S; Nexus S 4G; Replenish; Sidekick; and Vibrant. I will
refer to these products individually by their names, or in the following collective forms:

- "Accused Products (Gallery)" mean the Captivate; Continuum; Droid Charge; Epic
 4G; Exhibit 4G; Fascinate; Galaxy Ace; Galaxy Prevail; Galaxy S (i9000); Galaxy S II
 (i9100, AT&T, and Epic 4G Touch variants); Galaxy S 4G; Galaxy S Showcase;
 Galaxy Tab 7.0; Galaxy Tab 10.1; Gravity Smart; Indulge; Infuse 4G; Mesmerize;
 Nexus S; Nexus S 4G; Replenish; Sidekick; and Vibrant.
- "Accused Products (Contacts)" mean the Captivate; Continuum; Droid Charge; Epic
 4G; Exhibit 4G; Fascinate; Galaxy Ace; Galaxy S (i9000); Galaxy S II (i9100, AT&T,
 and Epic 4G Touch variants); Galaxy S 4G; Galaxy S Showcase (i500); Gem; Gravity
 Smart; Indulge; Infuse 4G; Mesmerize; Sidekick; and Vibrant.
- "Accused Products (Browser)" mean the Exhibit 4G; Galaxy Ace; Galaxy S II (i9100,
 AT&T, and Epic 4G Touch variants); Galaxy Tab 7.0; Galaxy Tab 10.1; and Gravity
 Smart.
 - "Accused Products (ThinkFree Office)" mean the Continuum, Droid Charge, Epic 4G, Exhibit 4G, Fascinate, Galaxy Ace, Galaxy Prevail, Galaxy S (i9000), Galaxy S 4G,
- 28

27

26

| | <u>Apple v. Samsung</u> Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | Galaxy S Showcase, Galaxy Tab 7.0, Indulge, Intercept, Mesmerize, Replenish, and | | |
| 2 | Sidekick. | | |
| 3 | 38. I understand that other Samsung products contain similar features and functions, | | |
| 4 | and therefore also infringe the '381 patent. | | |
| 5 | 39. If I am called as an expert witness, I expect to testify regarding general | | |
| 6 | background and technical matters relating to the subject matter of the '381 patent and its claims, | | |
| 7 | including the operation of graphical user interfaces. I further expect to testify regarding matters | | |
| 8 | pertaining to Samsung's infringement of the '381 patent. I have been asked to analyze the '381 | | |
| 9 | patent and the Samsung devices and provide technical teaching and opinions regarding that | | |
| 10 | patent. | | |
| 11 | 40. The explanation of my opinion regarding infringement of the Asserted Claims of | | |
| 12 | the '381 patent includes (1) the claim chart attached hereto as Exhibit 3, and (2) the videos on the | | |
| 13 | produced herewith as Exhibits V1-V9, which are an integral part of my report, and which provide | | |
| 14 | documentary and demonstrative proof of infringement of each of the Asserted Claims. (Exhibit | | |
| 15 | V1 – Captivate gallery video; Exhibit V2 – Captivate contacts list video; Exhibit V3 – Vibrant | | |
| 16 | gallery video; Exhibit V4 – Vibrant contacts list video; Exhibit V5 – Exhibit 4G phone gallery | | |
| 17 | video; Exhibit V6 – Exhibit 4G phone contacts list video; Exhibit V7 – Exhibit 4G phone | | |
| 18 | ThinkFree Office video; Exhibit V8 – Galaxy Tab 10.1 gallery video; Exhibit V9 – Galaxy Tab | | |
| 19 | 10.1 web browser video.) These exhibits are intended to be exemplary and not exhaustive. The | | |
| 20 | discussion below concerning infringement is meant to be read together with the material in | | |
| 21 | Exhibits 3 and V1-V9. I also incorporate by reference my declaration in support of Apple's | | |
| 22 | Motion for a Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. No. 91), as well as the exhibits thereto. | | |
| 23 | 41. It is my opinion that the Samsung devices infringe the asserted apparatus claims of | | |
| 24 | the '381 patent, and that any user operating the Samsung devices for their intended purpose, | | |
| 25 | including to view electronic documents, would infringe the method claims. | | |
| 26 | A. The '381 Patent | | |
| 27 | 42. U.S. Patent no. 7,469,381 C1 is titled List Scrolling and Document Translation, | | |
| 28 | Scaling and Rotation on a Touch-Screen Display. The filing date of the patent application | | |

| | <u>Apple v. Samsung</u> Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | AA. | Supplementation | |
| 3 | 267. | I reserve the right to supplement this report with new information and/or | |
| 4 | documents that may be discovered or produced in this case, or to address any new claim | | |
| 5 | constructions offered by Samsung or ordered by the Court. | | |
| 6 | 268. | In connection with my anticipated testimony in this action, I may use as exhibits | |
| 7 | various documents produced in this case that refer or relate to the matters discussed in this | | |
| 8 | report. In addition, I may have demonstrative exhibits prepared to assist in the presentation of my | | |
| 9 | testimony and opinions as set forth or cited in my report. | | |
| 10 | | \wedge | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Dated: March | h 22, 2012 RAVIN BALAKRISHNAN | |
| 13 | | RAVIN BACAKRISHNAN | |
| 14 | | v | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |