EXHIBIT C

Exhibit C Codes for Abbreviated Objections

DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIONS
FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE 401/402	402
(IRRELEVANT)	
LACKS FOUNDATION	lacks foundation
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 802 (HEARSAY)	802
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 403 (UNDULY	403
PREJUDICIAL, CONFUSING OR WASTE OF	
TIME)	
MISLEADING	misleading
BEST EVIDENCE	1002
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 106	incomplete
(INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT)	P
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 105	105
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 501	501
(PRIVILEGE)	
NARRATIVE	narrative
OVERLY BROAD	overbroad
AUTHENTICITY	authenticity
NO SPONSOR OR IMPROPER SPONSOR	lacks sponsoring witness
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 408	408
(COMPROMISE OFFERS AND NEGOTIATIONS)	100
OBJECTION TO TRANSLATION	translation
LACK OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OR	602
COMPETENCY	002
IMPROPER OPINION TESTIMONY BY LAY	701
WITNESS	
IMPROPER TESTIMONY BY EXPERT WITNESS	702/703
IMPROPER INCLUSION OF MULTIPLE	I
DOCUMENTS AS ONE EXHIBIT/ VIOLATES	
COURT'S LIMIT ON NUMBER OF EXHIBITS	
UNTIMELY/NEVER PRODUCED	U
DEMONSTRATIVE/SHOULD NOT BE	D
ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE	
MOTION # 1: EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OR	MIL 1
ARGUMENT NOT TIED TO THE SPECIFIC IP	
RIGHTS CLAIMED BY APPLE IN THIS ACTION	
MOTION #2: EXCLUDE OUT-OF-COURT	MIL 2
THIRD-PARTY STATEMENTS ABOUT	
PURPORTED SIMILARITIES OR PURPORTED	
CONFUSION	
MOTION #3: EXCLUDE ACCUSED DEVICES,	MIL 3
CONTENTIONS, THEORIES, AND WITNESSES	
NOT TIMELY DISCLOSED IN INFRINGEMENT	
CONTENTIONS OR INTERROGATORY	
RESPONSES	

Exhibit C Codes for Abbreviated Objections

DESCRIPTION	OBJECTIONS
MOTION #4: EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO	MIL 4
FINDINGS OR RULINGS IN OTHER	
PROCEEDINGS NOT INVOLVING THE	
PATENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE	
MOTION #5: EXCLUDE DISPUTES AND	MIL 5
RULINGS IN THIS ACTION, INCLUDING	
DISCOVERY DISPUTES AND THE	
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION RULING	
MOTION #6: EXCLUDE GENERALIZATIONS	MIL 6
REGARDING THE OPERATION OF ACCUSED	
SAMSUNG PRODUCTS	
MOTION # 7: EXCLUDE RESIZED OR ALTERED	MIL 7
PHOTOS OF SAMSUNG'S PRODUCTS IN SIDE-	
BY-SIDE PRODUCT COMPARISONS	
MOTION # 8: EXCLUDE ANY EVIDENCE OF	MIL 8
PRE-FILING NOTICE OTHER THAN	
IDENTIFIED IN APPLE'S INTERROGATORY	
RESPONSE AND PROVISIONALLY EXCLUDE	
MR. MUSIKA'S OPINIONS ON PRE-FILING	
DAMAGES UNLESS AND UNTIL APPLE	
MAKES A <i>PRIMA FACIE</i> SHOWING OF	
ENTITLEMENT TO SUCH DAMAGES	
MOTION #9: EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF	MIL 9
SAMSUNG'S OVERALL REVENUES, PROFITS,	
WEALTH AND VALUE AND EVIDENCE OR	
ARGUMENT THAT SAMSUNG HAS PAID	
LOWER TAXES THAN IT SHOULD HAVE	
UNOPPOSED MOTION# 10: EXCLUDE	MIL 10
EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT THAT APPLE IS	
PRESENTLY LICENSED TO THE DECLARED	
ESSENTIAL PATENTS-IN-SUIT	