1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 12 APPLE INC., a California corporation, CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) 13 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 14 SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO CLARIFY VS. PORTIONS OF THE COURT'S JUNE 30, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a 15 2012 ORDER DENYING SAMSUNG'S Korean business entity; SAMSUNG MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 16 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG 17 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung 21 Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") have filed a Motion to Clarify 22 Portions of the Court's June 30, 2012 Order Denying Samsung's Motion for Summary Judgment 23 ("Motion to Clarify"). 24 Having considered the arguments of the parties and the papers submitted, the Court 25 GRANTS Samsung's Motion to Clarify. The Court clarifies that the Order Granting-in-Part and 26 Denying-in-Part Motions to Strike Expert Reports (Dkt. No. 1144) is limited to certain opinions 27 28 Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK-PSG

1	proffered by Samsung's experts,	but does not exclude the use of prior art references contained in
2	Samsung's experts' reports for a	ny other purpose.
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	DATED:	, 2012
7		
8		
9		HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH United States District Court Judge
10		Ç
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28		2 Case No. 11-cv-01846-I HK-PSG

02198.5185