

EXHIBIT 5

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN JOSE DIVISION
4

5 APPLE INC., a California
6 corporation,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK

9 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
10 LTD., a Korean business
11 entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
12 AMERICA, INC., a New York
13 corporation; SAMSUNG
14 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
15 LLC, a Delaware limited
16 liability company,
17 Defendants.

18 _____/

19 H I G H L Y C O N F I D E N T I A L
20 A T T O R N E Y S ' E Y E S O N L Y

21 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RICHARD WILLIAMSON
22 REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA
23 FRIDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2011

24 BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, RPR, CCRR, CLR
25 CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
JOB NO. 42876

1 Yahoo!

2 Q Are you aware if that was the case for all
3 other sites as well?

4 A I'm not sure if that's true or not. I don't
5 know.

6 Q Okay. Was that part of the general algorithm
7 for the double tap to zoom feature as of writing this
8 e-mail?

9 MR. BARQUIST: Objection; lacks foundation.

10 THE WITNESS: Like I said, I -- I wouldn't
11 want to talk about the specifics at this point in time
12 of what was and wasn't the case.

13 MR. MACK: Okay.

14 Q So but -- so it's your recollection that --
15 that the double tap to zoom feature used more than one
16 algorithm to determine which block or image to zoom in
17 to?

18 MR. BARQUIST: Objection; mischaracterizes
19 testimony.

20 THE WITNESS: No. I mean, why would I --
21 double tap is all about finding strategically
22 interesting boxes on a web page or any other document
23 that has structure; and, you know, we certainly refine
24 that over a period of time to make it -- make it
25 better.