

EXHIBIT 6

1 HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)
hmcclhinny@mofo.com
2 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
mjacobs@mofo.com
3 JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)
jtaylor@mofo.com
4 ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)
atucher@mofo.com
5 RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)
rhung@mofo.com
6 JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)
jasonbartlett@mofo.com
7 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
8 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: (415) 268-7000
9 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and
Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No.
170151)
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)
Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)
555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, California 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
LLC

11
12
13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN JOSE DIVISION
17

18 APPLE INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., A
22 Korean business entity; SAMSUNG
23 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York
24 corporation; SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company,

25 Defendant.
26
27
28

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING USE OF
REPRESENTATIVE PRODUCTS
AT TRIAL**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to streamline the presentation of evidence in connection with certain issues to be addressed at trial;

WHEREAS, to facilitate the use of representative products at trial, Apple agrees that it will not accuse the ThinkFree Office application, which is on certain accused products, but not others, of infringement;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed to by and between the parties that:

1. For purposes of assessing infringement of the asserted claim of United States Patent No. 7,469,381:

- (a) the Galaxy S II (AT&T) is representative of the Galaxy S II (i9100);
- (b) the Exhibit 4G is representative of the Galaxy Ace;
- (c) the Galaxy S 4G is representative of the Captivate, Continuum, Droid Charge, Epic 4G, Fascinate, Galaxy S (i9000), Indulge, Infuse 4G, Mesmerize, Sidekick, and Vibrant;
- (d) the Nexus S 4G is representative of the Nexus S and Replenish;
- (e) the Galaxy Tab 10.1 is representative of the Galaxy Tab 7.0; and
- (f) the Gem and Prevail will be assessed separately.

2. If Apple proves that a representative product in sections 1(a) through 1(e) infringes the asserted claim of the '381 patent, such proof will constitute proof that all the products identified within that particular section infringe the asserted claim.

3. If Apple fails to prove that a representative product in sections 1(a) through 1(e) infringes the asserted claim of the '381 patent, such failure of proof will constitute proof that all the products identified within that particular section do not infringe the asserted claim.

4. For purposes of assessing infringement of the asserted claim of United States Patent No. 7,864,163:

- (a) the Galaxy S II (AT&T) is representative of the Acclaim, Captivate, Continuum, Droid Charge, Exhibit 4G, Fascinate, Galaxy Ace, Galaxy S (i9000),

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Galaxy S II (i9100), Galaxy S II (T-Mobile), Galaxy S 4G, Gem, Indulge, Infuse 4G, Intercept, Mesmerize, Sidekick, Transform, and Vibrant;

(b) the Nexus S 4G is representative of the Epic 4G, Galaxy Prevail, Nexus S, and Replenish; and

(c) the Galaxy Tab 7.0 and Galaxy Tab 10.1 will be assessed separately.

5. If Apple proves that a representative product in sections 4(a) through 4(b) infringes the asserted claim of the '163 patent, such proof will constitute proof that all the products identified within that particular section infringe the asserted claim.

6. If Apple attempts to prove, but fails to prove, that a representative product in sections 4(a) through 4(b) infringes the asserted claim of the '163 patent, such failure of proof will constitute proof that all the products identified within that particular section do not infringe the asserted claim.

7. For purposes of assessing infringement of the asserted claim of United States Patent No. 7,844,915:

(a) the Galaxy S II (AT&T) is representative of the Acclaim, Captivate, Continuum, Droid Charge, Exhibit 4G, Fascinate, Galaxy Ace, Galaxy S (i9000), Galaxy S II (i9100), Galaxy S II (T-Mobile), Galaxy S 4G, Gem, Indulge, Infuse 4G, Intercept, Mesmerize, Sidekick, Transform, and Vibrant;

(b) the Nexus S 4G is representative of the Epic 4G, Galaxy Prevail, Nexus S, and Replenish; and

(c) the Galaxy Tab 7.0 and Galaxy Tab 10.1 will be assessed separately.

8. If Apple proves that a representative product in sections 7(a) through 7(b) infringes the asserted claim of the '915 patent, such proof will constitute proof that all the products identified within that particular section infringe the asserted claim.

9. If Apple attempts to prove, but fails to prove, that a representative product in sections 7(a) through 7(b) infringes the asserted claim of the '915 patent, such failure of proof will constitute proof that all the products identified within that particular section do not infringe the asserted claim.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 20, 2012

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

By: _____

By: _____

HAROLD J. MCELHINNY
MICHAEL A. JACOBS
JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR
ALISON M. TUCHER
RICHARD S.J. HUNG
JASON R. BARTLETT

CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN
KEVIN P.B. JOHNSON
VICTORIA F. MAROULIS
EDWARD DEFRANCO
MICHAEL T. ZELLER

Attorneys for APPLE INC.

Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
CO. LTD, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., AND SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
LLC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Based on the foregoing stipulation,
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE

I, Michael A. Jacobs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Victoria Maroulis has concurred in this filing.

Dated: July __, 2012

/s/ _____
Michael A. Jacobs