EXHIBIT 7 FILED UNDER SEAL

```
Page 1
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
                            --000--
    APPLE, INC., A CALIFORNIA
    CORPORATION,
                    PLAINTIFF,
                                   ) No. 11-CV-01846-LHK
          VS.
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
10
    LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS
11
    ENTITY; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
12
    AMERICA, INC., A NEW YORK
13
    CORPORATION: SAMSUNG
14
    TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
15
    LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED
16
    LIABILITY COMPANY,
17
                    DEFENDANTS.
18
19
20
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TOT KIM LAM
21
          HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
22
                  San Francisco, California
23
                   Thursday, March 8, 2012
24
      Reported By:
      KATHLEEN WILKINS, CSR #10068, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
25
       JOB NO. 47476
```

		Page 5
1	& Foerster representing Apple.	10:02
2	MR. BRIGGS: Todd Briggs from Quinn	10:02
3	Emanuel representing Samsung and the witness	10:02
4	Mr. Lam, and also with me is Michelle Yang from	10:02
5	Samsung.	10:02
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court	10:02
7	reporter please swear in the witness.	10:02
8	IOI KIM LAM,	10:02
9	having been duly sworn,	10:02
10	was examined and testified as follows:	10:02
11	000	10:02
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please begin.	10:02
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. MONACH	10:02
14	BY MR. MONACH:	10:02
15	Q. Good morning, Mr. Lam.	10:02
16	A. Good morning.	10:02
17	Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken	10:02
18	before?	10:03
19	A. No.	10:03
20	Q. My name is Andrew Monach. I represent	10:03
21	Apple in a lawsuit against Samsung. And I'm here	10:03
22	to ask you some questions that will be	10:03
23	transcribed.	10:03
24	Do you understand that?	10:03
25	A. Yes.	10:03

		Page 115
1	A. That's what the log says.	01:54
2	Q. Okay. And these logs are supposed to be	01:54
3	accurate so that you have a record of what changes	01:55
4	have been made to the source code, right?	01:55
5	A. As I mentioned to you before, we have	01:55
6	very we are not very organized in terms of	01:55
7	maintaining the logs. I write a better log. So	01:55
8	you see the prettiest e-mail, I had more detailed	01:55
9	log. That's a few paragraphs. This is a single	01:55
10	liner, so that was done by an engineer that's not	01:55
11	following the the common practices of recording	01:55
12	more detailed information.	01:55
13	Q. Okay. But you understand what changing	01:55
14	the bounce speed and browser to match iPad means,	01:55
15	right?	01:55
16	A. Speed has many meanings. Speed could	01:55
17	mean performance, and I usually mean it could mean	01:55
18	the number of frames that are taken that could be	01:55
19	rendered per second. So this, although it has	01:55
20	speed, it could mean smoothness.	01:55
21	Q. So whether it means speed or smoothness,	01:56
22	you'd agree this is indicating a change on the	01:56
23	bounce feature in the browser to match what the	01:56
24	iPad does, right?	01:56
25	A. It seems to indicate that he's he	01:56

		Page 116
1	might have measured the frames per second in how	01:56
2	many times the the browser could render to the	01:56
3	screen. At that point, he's rendering speed,	01:56
4	seems to be close or around what the iPad could	01:56
5	render.	01:56
6	Q. Okay. Do you recall any instances of	01:56
7	engineers in the Samsung lab changing the bounce	01:56
8	speed in the Samsung browser to make it more	01:56
9	similar to the iPad?	01:56
10	A. I don't remember seeing that. As I	01:57
11	mention to you, our group is focused on	01:57
12	performance, so we are more focused on frames per	01:57
13	second, how many frames the application can render	01:57
14	to the screen and not how fast things moves on the	01:57
15	screen as appear to the user.	01:57
16	Q. In the Android code that you were using	01:57
17	when you got frustrated by the let me rephrase	01:57
18	that.	01:57
19	You testified earlier today about	01:57
20	Android code that did not have what you described	01:58
21	as the elastic bounce effect.	01:58
22	Do you recall that?	01:58
23	A. Yes.	01:58
24	Q. When you pulled a web page to its to	01:58
25	its end using that Android code by itself, did the	01:58

		Page 117
1	device glow at the edge or have any indication	01:58
2	that you had reached the end of the web page other	01:58
3	than freezing?	01:58
4	A. Which version of Android?	01:58
5	Q. Whatever version you were talking about	01:58
6	when you testified earlier today.	01:58
7	A. There were different versions. I think	01:58
8	the first version that I looked at, the indication	01:58
9	was that the page stops moving.	01:58
10	Q. And was there a later version of with	01:58
11	no glow of any kind; is that what you're saying?	01:58
12	A. What do you mean by glow?	01:59
13	Q. Do you understand the word "glow"?	01:59
14	A. Glow. So	01:59
15	Q. Any	01:59
16	A. Glow	01:59
17	Q. Any emission of light that wasn't there	01:59
18	before you reached the end of the web page?	01:59
19	A. So on earlier versions of Android, there	01:59
20	is a shadow at the top of the page. And when you	01:59
21	scroll to the top of the page, you will see a	01:59
22	shadow and that could be interpreted by someone as	01:59
23	a glow.	01:59
24	Q. Have you ever seen in a version of	01:59
25	Android a different kind of indication of reaching	01:59
1		

		Page 118
1	the end of a web page where there was actually a	01:59
2	glow of some kind of color, whether it be orange	01:59
3	or blue?	01:59
4	A. Yes.	01:59
5	Q. And what versions of Android had that	01:59
6	glow feature?	01:59
7	A. I don't remember the version. It could	02:00
8	be 2.2 or 2.3.	02:00
9	Q. Do you know whether any Samsung	02:00
10	commercial products use that glow feature instead	02:00
11	of the elastic bounce that you described?	02:00
12	A. Commercial products? As I mentioned to	02:00
13	you, I am not familiar with the commercial	02:00
14	business. I've been designated as a witness for	02:00
15	the lab which is producing internally-used source	02:00
16	code, so I do not know one way or the other.	02:00
17	MR. MONACH: Okay. Let's mark as next	02:00
18	in order an e-mail dated June 9th, 2011, Bates	02:00
19	number SAMNDCA525379.	02:00
20	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 2404	02:01
21	was marked for identification.)	02:01
22	MR. MONACH: Which number are we on now?	02:01
23	THE REPORTER: 2404.	02:01
24	BY MR. MONACH:	02:01
25	Q. Mr. Lam, do you have what's been marked	02:01

		Page 119
1	as Exhibit 2404 in front of you?	02:01
2	A. Yes.	02:01
3	Q. Is this a copy of an e-mail that you	02:01
4	sent to Jaegwan Shin and Qi Ling on or around	02:01
5	June 9th, 2011?	02:01
6	A. Yeah, that seems to be the case.	02:01
7	Q. All right. It responds you're	02:01
8	responding to an e-mail from Mr. Shin dated that	02:01
9	same day, June 9th, 2011 right?	02:01
10	A. Yes.	02:02
11	Q. And there's a reference to scrolling and	02:02
12	the iPad not doing horizontal scroll once vertical	02:02
13	scroll is starting while P4 is doing	02:02
14	horizontal/vertical scroll at the same time.	02:02
15	Do you see that?	02:02
16	A. One vertical scroll yes, I see that	02:02
17	sentence.	02:02
18	Q. And Mr. Shin is writing to you saying	02:02
19	this can cause unintentional horizontal scrolling	02:02
20	during vertical scrolling, right?	02:02
21	A. Yes.	02:02
22	Q. And you respond, "Hi Mr. Shin, Now I	02:02
23	understand. Will try to fix this one."	02:02
24	Do you see that?	02:02
25	A. Yes.	02:02