Doc. 1349 Att. 2

Exhibit 2

```
Page 1
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
    APPLE INC., a California
    corporation,
5
               Plaintiff,
7
                                 Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
    VS.
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
    a Korean business entity;
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
    INC., a New York corporation;
10
    SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
    AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware
11
    limited liability company,
12
              Defendants.
     -----/
13
14
15
                    HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16
                     ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
17
                       OUTSIDE COUNSEL
18
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANDREW BRIGHT, Ph.D.
                  San Francisco, California
19
                    Tuesday, July 17, 2012
20
21
22
    Reported by:
    LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR No. 10523
23
                        RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
24
    JOB NO. 51741
25
```

	Page 74		Page 75
1	hypothetical. 06:24	1	BY MR. KIDMAN: 06:25
2	BY MR. BARQUIST: 06:24	2	Q. And when you say it has a sharp edge, what 06:25
3	Q. Is the shape 06:24	3	are you referring to? 06:25
4	MR. KIDMAN: Vague and ambiguous. Lacks 06:24	4	A. The edge between front of the device and 06:25
5	foundation. 06:24	5	the top of the device. 06:25
6	BY MR. BARQUIST: 06:24	6	Q. And can are you referring to well, 06:25
7	Q. Is the shape of the receiver opening on the 06:24	7	strike that. 06:25
8	iPhone 3 and iPhone 4 the optimum shape? 06:24	8	Can you can you show me what you're 06:25
9	MR. KIDMAN: Same objections. 06:24	9	referring to? 06:25
10	THE WITNESS: No. 06:24	10	A. So this edge here (indicating). 06:25
11	MR. BARQUIST: That's all I have. Thank 06:24	11	Q. Okay. And when you said that the iPhone 06:25
12	you. 06:24	12	has one of the sharper edges of products on the 06:25
13	MR. KIDMAN: Let me just ask a follow-up 06:24	13	market, were you referring to the iPhone 4? 06:25
14	question or two. 06:24	14	A. Yes. 06:25
15	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. KIDMAN 01:14	15	Q. And how would you would you describe the 06:25
16	BY MR. KIDMAN: 06:24	16	edge of the iPhone 3 as as being a round edge or 06:25
17	Q. Is is the edge on the iPhone what 06:24	17	a sharp edge? 06:25
18	we what you would refer to as a rounded edge or a 06:24	18	A. Also a sharp edge. 06:25
19	sharp edge? 06:24	19	Q. And can you show me what you're referring 06:25
20	MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Vague and 06:24	20	to? 06:25
21	ambiguous. 06:24	21	A. So this edge here (indicating). 06:25
22	THE WITNESS: There are shades of gray, but 06:24	22	Q. And you're referring to the the edge of 06:25
23	it's it is one of the more one of the sharper 06:24	23	what's called the "bezel"? 06:25
24 25	edges that exists in the market for mobile phones. 06:24	24	A. Some inside of Apple do call that the 06:25
25		25	bezel, yes. 06:25
	Page 76		Page 77
1	MR. KIDMAN: No further questions. 06:26	1	CERTIFICATE
2	MR. BARQUIST: Nothing further. Thank you. 06:26 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of 06:26	2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	Disk No. 1, Volume I, and also concludes today's 06:26	3	: SS
5	deposition given by Andrew Bright. The time is now 06:26		COUNTY OF SONOMA)
6	6:26 p.m., and we are off the record. 06:26	4	·
7	(Time noted: 6:26 p.m.) 06:26	5	I, Lorrie L. Marchant, a Certified Shorthand
8	oOo	6	Reporter, a Registered Professional Reporter, a
9 10		7 8	Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Certified Realtime Professional within and for the State of
11		9	California, do hereby certify:
	ANDREW BRIGHT, Ph.D.	10	That ANDREW BRIGHT, Ph.D., the witness whose
12		11	deposition is herein set forth, was duly
13	Subscribed and sworn to	12	sworn/affirmed by me and that such deposition is a
1.4	before me this day	13	true record of the testimony given by such witness.
14 15	of 2012.	14 15	I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage
12		16	and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
16		17	this matter.
17		18	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
18		19	this 17th day of July, 2012.
19		20	
20		21	
21 22		22 23	
23		23	LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR, RPR, CRR, CLR, CCRR
24		24	CSR No. 10523
		25	

	-	70		D = =:	7.0
	Page	78		Page	79
1	INDEX		1 NAME OF CASE: Apple v. Samsung 2 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 7/17/2012		
2 3	INDEX OF EXAMINATION PAGE		3 NAME OF WITNESS: Andrew Bright, Ph.D.		
4	MR. KIDMAN 5		4 Reason Codes: 5 1. To clarify the record.		
	MR. BARQUIST 71		2. To conform to the facts.		
5	MR. KIDMAN 74		6 3. To correct transcription errors. 7 Page Line Reason		
6	000		7 Page Line Reason From to		
7 8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION PAGE		8		
9	Exhibit 1 Color copy of photograph of HTC 36		Page Line Reason 9 From to		
	Google Nexus One phone		9 From to 10 Page Line Reason		
10			Fromto		
11 12			Page Line Reason		
13	PREVIOUSLY-MARKED EXHIBITS Exhibit 579 e-mail string amongst Andrew Bright,		12 From to 13 Page Line Reason		
13	David Tupmand and others, subject:		From to		
14	receiver up high in Y (Production Nos.		14 Page Line Reason		
	APLNDC0002326562 - APLNDC0002326563)		15 From to		
15	Errhikit 2517 iDhana 2CC		16		
16	Exhibit 3517 iPhone 3GS		17		
- ŭ	Exhibit 8 iPhone 4		Page Line Reason 18 From to		
17			19 Page Line Reason		
18	000		From to		
19 20			Page Line Reason		
21			21 From to 22 Page Line Reason		
22			From to		
23			23		
24 25			24 ANDREW BRIGHT, Ph.D.		