## **EXHIBIT 65 FILED UNDER SEAL**

```
Page 1
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                        SAN JOSE DIVISION
    APPLE INC., a California
    corporation,
5
                       Plaintiff,
6
                                         No: 11-CV-01846-LHK
             VS.
7
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD,
    a Korean business entity;
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
    INC., a New York corporation;
    SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
10
    AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware
    limited liability company
11
                       Defendants.
12
13
14
        **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**
15
16
                 DEPOSITION OF FREDDY ANZURES
17
                  Redwood Shores, California
18
                   Tuesday, October 18, 2011
19
20
21
22
23
    Reported By:
24
    LINDA VACCAREZZA, RPR, CLR, CRP, CSR. NO. 10201
25
    JOB NO. 42857
```

```
Page 2
1
2
3
                          October 18, 2011
5
                          10:11 a.m.
6
7
8
           Videotaped deposition of FREDDY
      ANZURES, held at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart
10
      & Sullivan, LLP, 555 Twin Dolphin
11
      Drive, Suite 500, Redwood Shores,
12
      California, pursuant to Subpoena before
13
      Linda Vaccarezza, a Certified Shorthand
14
      Reporter of the State of California.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
3	Attorneys for Defendants
4	865 South Figueroa Street
5	Los Angeles, California 90017
6	BY: MICHAEL T. ZELLER, ESQ.
7	BRETT ARNOLD, ESQ.
8	
9	
10	
11	MORRISON & FOERSTER
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	425 Market Street
14	San Francisco, California 94105
15	BY: ANDREW E. MONACH, ESQ.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Videographer: Jason Kocol
24	
25	

Page 4 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 2 start of tape labeled Number 1 of the videotaped deposition of Freddy Anzures in the matter of Apple, Incorporated versus Samsung Electronics Company, Limited, in the United States District 7 Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division. Case number 11-CV-01846-LHK. 10 This deposition is being held 11 at 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Redwood 12 Shores, California on October 18th, 2011 13 at approximately 10:11 a.m. 14 My name is Jason Kocol. I'm 15 the legal video specialist from TSG 16 Reporting Incorporated, headquartered at 17 747 Third Avenue, New York, New York. 18 The court reporter is Linda 19 Vaccarezza in association with TSG 20 Reporting. 21 Will counsel please introduce 22 yourselves for the record. 23 Mike Zeller for MR. ZELLER: 24 Samsung.

MR. MONACH: Andrew Monach for

25

```
Page 5
1
             Apple and the witness.
2
                                        Will the court
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
3
             reporter please swear in the witness.
5
    FREDDY ANZURES,
             called as a witness, having been duly
7
             sworn by the Certified Shorthand
8
             Reporter, was examined and testified as
             follows:
10
    EXAMINATION BY:
11
    MR. ZELLER:
12
                  Good morning.
             Q.
13
             Α.
                   Good morning.
14
                   If you could please tell us your
             Ο.
15
    full name for the record.
16
                   Freddy Anzures.
             Α.
17
             Q.
                   Have you ever gone by any other
18
    name?
19
                   No.
             Α.
20
             0.
                   Where do you currently reside?
21
             Α.
                    San Francisco.
22
                   And how long have you lived there?
             Ο.
23
                   Since 1999.
             Α.
24
                   Are you currently employed?
             Q.
25
             Α.
                    Yes.
```

- And with that understanding, to
- <sup>2</sup> create things for the products to kind of marry
- that familiarity in what they see in the real
- world into something that's on the product.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And your reference to the "real
- 6 world, "what you're referring to is is that
- you're -- in designing icons, one of your goals,
- your intention in that regard is to use a
- <sup>9</sup> familiar or obvious kind of real-world object
- that you can then represent in the icon, that
- people will instantly recognize what function is
- going to be launched by pressing on that icon?
- MR. MONACH: Object to the form of
- the question.
- THE WITNESS: Repeat your question
- again.
- Q. Sure. Maybe I can break it down a
- 18 little bit, too.
- In part of your answer -- I'll
- just try it this way.
- In part of your answer you
- referred to the "real world." What do you mean
- by the "real world"? How does that relate to the
- icon design?
- A. Physical world.

- Q. Is what you mean that as part of
- the icon design -- and we are talking about icons
- $^3$  that are used for these mobile devices -- that
- one source of the graphics or the pictures that
- <sup>5</sup> are used, are the real world or physical analogs?
- <sup>6</sup> A. Yes.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. So in other words, as depicted in
- Figure 1, there's a telephone receiver that's
- <sup>9</sup> used as the picture or the graphic for the
- telephone icon, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that an example of what
- you're referring to?
- A. This is an example of, you know,
- understanding a symbol in the physical world, and
- this being a representation of it on the phone,
- $^{17}$  yes.
- Q. And then let's use the phone icon
- as an example. What is it that the design of the
- 20 phone icon was intended to communicate to the
- <sup>21</sup> user?
- A. The phone functionality of the
- device.
- Q. And when you say "the phone
- functionality," do you mean that it was -- that

- $^{1}$  the icon communicates to the user that the result
- of pressing on the phone icon, that's
- $^{3}$  communicated by that picture or image, is -- that
- 4 the phone function will activate?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you believe, when you were
- designing the phone icon, that using a picture or
- graphic of a telephone receiver communicated that
- <sup>9</sup> information to the user in an effective way?
- MR. MONACH: Object to the form of
- the question.
- THE WITNESS: You mean this is a
- telephone receiver -- we could have used
- a number of different types of telephone
- receivers for this application, but we
- chose this one.
- Q. What I'm asking is: Why did you
- use a telephone receiver to communicate that
- 19 information?
- A. Because as designers, this is the
- 21 symbol that -- when we most think of phones, this
- is the most simple visual to communicate that
- <sup>23</sup> functionality.
- Q. Is it true that in designing the
- other icons, that are shown here in the '305

- $^{1}$  design patent, that you were attempting to
- <sup>2</sup> communicate to the users the particular
- functionality that would be activated by pressing
- 4 on that icon?
- $^5$  A. Yes.
- Q. And that's why you chose the
- particular graphics or pictures that you chose
- 8 for those icons?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And I take it that you chose the
- particular pictures or images that end up being
- used for the icons, that are shown here on the
- 13 '305 design patent, because you thought that they
- were effective in communicating that information
- to users, right?
- MR. MONACH: Objection. Compound,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Certainly in our
- opinion, yes, we think this is the most
- effective visual to communicate the
- functions on the phone.
- Q. Directing your attention to Figure
- 1 and Figure 2. Well, actually, let me narrow it
- down already.
- Directing your attention to Figure

- $^{1}$  1 of the '305 design patent, you'll see in the
- <sup>2</sup> upper left-hand corner there are the words
- <sup>3</sup> "AT&T"?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Is that part of the claim design
- 6 here?
- 7 MR. MONACH: Objection. Calls for
- a legal conclusion, lacks foundation.
- 9 Q. By your understanding?
- 10 A. I'm not a patent -- not familiar
- with patents, so I can't say.
- Q. I understand that. I'm asking for
- your understanding, though, as an inventor on
- this patent.
- So let me try it this way.
- By your understanding, does the
- 17 '305 design patent claim as part of the design
- $^{18}$  the words "AT&T," as they appear here on Figure
- <sup>19</sup> 1?
- MR. MONACH: Objection. Calls for
- a legal conclusion. Objection. Lack of
- foundation in light of the prior
- testimony.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- Q. Did Apple get AT&T's permission to

```
MR. MONACH: Objection. Vague,
```

- assumes facts not in evidence.
- THE WITNESS: Some keypads sure.
- Not all keypads.
- $^{5}$  Q. Many keypads that you've seen over
- 6 the years, right?
- A. Many keypads.
- $^{8}$  Q. And so my question is: Do you,
- <sup>9</sup> from your own experience, find something familiar
- or efficient to have the layout be done in this
- 11 grid-and-column form?
- MR. MONACH: Objection. Vague.
- THE WITNESS: Grid-and-column form
- is one way to present things from a
- visual design point of view.
- Q. You said "digital design"?
- A. Visual.
- Q. And do you have an understanding
- as to what the reasons are for that?
- MR. MONACH: Objection. Asked and
- answered, vague.
- Q. I'm moving beyond now just
- 23 keypads. I'm now asking in response to your last
- question about grid-and-column patterns.
- MR. MONACH: Now I'll object.

Page 136 1 It's even more abstract and vaque. 2 THE WITNESS: From my designer's 3 perspective, a grid-and-column pattern is one of a number of ways to present 5 information. Well, are there advantages to it Ο. 7 from a visual design perspective? MR. MONACH: Objection. Vaque as to the application and other 10 circumstances. 11 THE WITNESS: Based on my 12 knowledge, the advantages are to -- it's 13 an organizing principle. 14 Would you agree that having a Ο. 15 grid-and-column pattern, in addition to having 16 the advantage of being -- of creating 17 organization, also has advantages to users 18 because it's a familiar pattern to them? 19 MR. MONACH: Objection. Vaque, 20 incomplete hypothetical. 21 THE WITNESS: I can't say what's a 22 familiar pattern to users; all I know is 23 the design team made its best effort to 24 use paradigms like that, people are 25 familiar with.

```
1
                       We can't -- there's no real --
2
             we can't really understand what people
3
             find -- people's own opinions of what
             they see.
5
                   I'm not asking about individual
             Ο.
    people's opinions, but as a designer, which
7
    you're attempting to accomplish through the
    designs that you work on for mobile devices there
    at Apple, is that you are endeavoring to
10
    communicate in the most efficient and
    commonsensical and conventional way to the most
12
    users possible, right?
13
                   MR. MONACH: Object to the form of
14
             the question. It's vague and ambiguous.
15
             Ο.
                   Doesn't mean every single person
16
    understands it, but you're trying to get
17
    the largest group of people to understand
18
    it, right?
19
```

- MR. MONACH: Same objection.
- 20 THE WITNESS: We just design the
- 21 stuff, we don't -- we make our best guess
- 22 as to what that is. We don't know what
- 23 that is.
- 24 Well, whether you know what it is Ο.
- 25 or not, it's your intention that by using the

```
Page 207
     have.
             Thanks.
                               This marks the
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
     end of Tape Number 4 of 4 and concludes
     today's deposition of Freddy Anzures.
     The time is 5:14 p.m. We are off the
     record.
            (Time noted: 5:14 p.m.)
10
11
                           FREDDY ANZURES
12
13
14
      Subscribed and sworn to before me
            17 day of november, 2011.
     This
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```