Exhibit 41 (Submitted Under Seal)

Dockets.Justia.com

In The Matter Of:

APPLE, INC., v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,

> JUN WON LEE - Vol. 1 March 5, 2012

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

179 Lincoln Street Suite 401 Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617.542.0039 Fax: 617.542.2119 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

---000---

APPLE, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 4:11-cv-01846-LHK

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

/

JUN WON LEE

Monday, March 05, 2012

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

REPORTED BY: RACHEL FERRIER, CSR 6948

(3 - 441636)

| 1 | THE INTERPRETER: So |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | MR. HEYISON: to resolve this so I can move |
| 3 | on here. |
| 4 | THE INTERPRETER: Okay. Boo sa jang is EVP and |
| _ | |
| Ū | jeon moo is SVP. |
| 6 | MR. HEYISON: Great. Okay. |
| 7 | THE INTERPRETER: So this person is SVP in this |
| 8 | context, I believe. |
| 9 | MR. HEYISON: Okay. Excellent. |
| 10:11:55 10 | Q Now, at the first meeting, who attended from |
| 11 | Apple? |
| 12 | A Jim Luton, patent counsel, and the general |
| 13 | counsel came too. I don't remember the name, though. |
| 14 | Q If I suggest to you Bruce Sewell, is that the |
| 10:12:27 15 | general counsel from Apple that attended the meeting? |
| 16 | A Apple's own general counsel, Bruce Sewell. |
| 17 | Q Where was the meeting? |
| 18 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Seoul. |
| 10:12:54 20 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 21 | Q Can you give me a month and a year? |
| 22 | A I don't remember the date. I'm not really good |
| 23 | at remembering the dates. I have to look at the record. |
| 24 | Q Okay. So did anybody make any written |
| 10:13:16 25 | presentations? |
| | |

30

| 1 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; beyond the scope. |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Probably Samsung did some kind of |
| 3 | presentation oh, Apple did |
| 4 | THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter's correction: |
| 10:14:17 5 | Apple probably Apple did some kind of presentation, |
| 6 | but Samsung did not make any presentation. |
| 7 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 8 | Q Okay. Please tell me in as much detail as you |
| 9 | can strike that. |
| 10:14:36 10 | Okay. How long did the meeting last? |
| 11 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: I don't remember those dates at |
| 13 | the time. I don't have all those things in my head. |
| 14 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 10:15:02 15 | Q Please tell me in as much detail as you can |
| 16 | what Apple said and what Samsung said. |
| 17 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Samsung mostly was listening to |
| 19 | what Apple said in the first meeting. Apple was talking |
| 10:15:52 20 | about Samsung's smartphone infringed Apple phone's |
| 21 | patents and design, so they were complaining about our |
| 22 | infringement about Apple's patent and design in their |
| 23 | phone. |
| 24 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 10:16:20 25 | Q Anything else that you recall Apple saying? |

F

| 1 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
|---------------------|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: I don't remember any specific |
| 3 | contents in detail. |
| 4 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 10:16:47 5 | Q And so what did Apple say in response? |
| 6 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
| 7 | MR. HEYISON: Samsung. Thank you. |
| 8 | Q What did Samsung say in response? |
| 9 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Same objection. |
| 10:17:06 10 | THE WITNESS: Samsung contended that there was |
| 11 | no such infringement and also requested the evidences |
| 12 | for the contention of the infringement. |
| 13 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 14 | Q Okay. At that first meeting you attended, |
| 10:17: 49 15 | Mr. Lee, did Samsung assert that Apple was infringing |
| 16 | any of Samsung's patents? |
| 17 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Samsung did not assert that any |
| 19 | specific Samsung's patent was infringed. |
| 10:18:32 20 | BY MR. HEYISON: |
| 21 | Q At that meeting, that first meeting, did |
| 22 | Samsung tell Apple that Samsung believed Apple was |
| 23 | infringing any Samsung patents? |
| 24 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection; outside the scope. |
| 10:19:10 25 | THE WITNESS: It is possible that Samsung might |
| | |

F

| And the second se | | |
|---|----|--|
| | | Page 16 |
| | 1 | MR. OLSON: But we can save some of that with |
| | 2 | me, actually, tomorrow morning saying closing that |
| | 3 | notice or closing that transcript or not. |
| | 4 | MR. HEYISON: Okay. |
| 19:32:25 | 5 | MR. WEINSTEIN: And the one request I would |
| | 6 | make is that for the specific documents where you made |
| | 7 | requests for production, if you could put that in |
| | 8 | writing. |
| | 9 | MR. HEYISON: We will do so. |
| 19:32:34 | 10 | MR. WEINSTEIN: Very good. |
| | 11 | MR. HEYISON: Thank you. |
| | 12 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of Tape |
| | 13 | No. 5 in the deposition of Jun Won Lee. |
| | 14 | Going off the record, the time is 7:32. |
| 19:32:48 | 15 | (Whereupon, the deposition was adjourned at |
| | 16 | 7:32 p.m.) |
| | 17 | 000 |
| | 18 | I declare under penalty of perjury that the |
| | 19 | foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at |
| | 20 | SF, California, this 24 day of |
| | 21 | Man, 2012. |
| | 22 | O |
| | 23 | July a |
| | 24 | Signature of the witness |
| | 25 | |

Merrill Corporation - Boston www.merrillcorp.com/law

617-542-0039

e5d97282-55e7-4358-a0f1-71abcdb1c12f

| i | |
|----|--|
| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 2 | I, RACHEL FERRIER, a Certified Shorthand |
| 3 | Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the |
| 4 | foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the |
| 5 | truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the |
| 6 | within-entitled cause; |
| 7 | That said deposition was taken down in |
| 8 | shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and |
| 9 | place therein stated, and that the testimony was |
| 10 | thereafter reduced to typewriting by computer under my |
| 11 | direction and supervision and is a true record of the |
| 12 | testimony given by the witness; |
| 13 | That before completion of the deposition, |
| 14 | review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. |
| 15 | If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and |
| 16 | provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are |
| 17 | appended hereto. |
| 18 | I further certify that I am not of counsel or |
| 19 | attorney for either or any of the parties to the said |
| 20 | deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of |
| 21 | this cause, and that I am not related to any of the |
| 22 | parties thereto. |
| 23 | DATED: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | RACHEL FERRIER, CSR No. 6948 |
| | |
| l | |

165

ERRATA SHEET

NAME OF CASE: Apple v. Samsung, No. 11-cv-1846-LHK (ND Cal)

NAME OF WITNESS: _____ Jun Won Lee

DATE OF DEPOSITION: March 5, 2012 (Vol. 1)

Reason Codes:

- To clarify the record. 1.
- 2. To conform to the facts.
- 3. To correct transcription errors.

| Page | Line | Now Reads | Should Read | Reason |
|-------|------|--|---|--------|
| 16 | 5 | entering a | entering into a | 1 |
| 16 | 18 | mobile phone | mobile phones | 1 |
| 22 | 22 | sineo | for a | 3 |
| 22 | 23 | ago so | SO | 1 |
| 22 | 25 | touch wheel issue, touch wheel | a touch wheel issue; a touch wheel | 1 |
| 28 | 6 | I met | we met | 1 |
| 32 | 19 | Samsung's | Samsung | 3 |
| 33 10 | | Samsung's | Samsung | 3 |
| 42 | 14 | I think I did. | I think I do. | 1 |
| 42 | 16 | make | made | 1 |
| 42 | 17 | such meeting, I don't need them anymore, so I discard | the meeting, I didn't need them anymore, so I discarded | 1 |
| 53 | 17 | none about | no mention of | 1 |
| 57 | 16 | a different terms and condition | different terms and conditions | 1 |
| 58 | 16 | the Apple's | Apple's | 1 |
| 58 | 22 | includes | include | 1 |
| 62 | 25 | Nothing. | No. | 1 |
| 63 | 15 | The next meeting after this. | Yes, the next meeting after that one. | 1 |
| 69 | 5 | Samsung have | Samsung has | 1 |
| 74 | 20 | royalty | royalties | 1 |
| 74 | 23 | royalty | royalties | 1 |
| 79 | 19 | base that | based that | 1 |
| 80 | 24 | Apple. | Apple's agreement. | 1 |
| 81 | 10 | license | licensed | 1 |
| 89 | 11 | the Microsoft | Microsoft | 1 |
| 90 | 3 | the Microsoft | Microsoft | 1 |
| 93 | 6 | May not be exact | It may not be exact | 1 |
| 97 | 8 | I rather like you | I rather pose | 1 |

02198.51855/4774955.2

Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

| 98 | 1 | experiences in licensing agreement | experience in licensing agreements | 1 |
|-----|----|--|--|---|
| 98 | 15 | cannot | do not | 1 |
| 98 | 17 | cannot | do not | 1 |
| 101 | 16 | standard's | standards | 3 |
| 101 | 17 | standard's | standards | 3 |
| 110 | 4 | the companies | companies | 1 |
| 113 | 11 | reviewed about the companies | reviewed the portfolios of companies | 1 |
| 114 | 5 | values valuation | valuation | 1 |
| 114 | 10 | there is no such thing | no | 1 |
| 118 | 21 | made or not | were made or not | 1 |
| 119 | 10 | I have not involved | I have not been involved | 1 |
| 122 | 23 | Apple nor | neither Apple nor | 1 |
| 133 | 15 | assertion where | assertion | 1 |
| 133 | 16 | the Ericsson conducted – Ericsson had an improper | that Ericsson conducted – Ericsson engaged in improper | 1 |
| 139 | 22 | it's | is | 1 |
| 140 | 13 | on a | on the | 1 |
| 140 | 23 | we have | rely | 1 |
| 143 | 15 | that | those | 1 |
| 145 | 9 | we had | did we | 1 |
| 145 | 10 | sought | seek a | 1 |
| 145 | 17 | demanded royalty | demanded royalties | 1 |
| 149 | 10 | Some Samsung | Samsung | 1 |
| 152 | 18 | applying patents | applying for patents | 1 |
| 154 | 4 | Apples | Apple | 3 |
| 157 | 10 | entered | entered into | 1 |

Signed: JUN WON LEE X

Date: Mary 24, 2012