## Exhibit E (Submitted Under Seal)

```
Page 1
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
2
                        SAN JONES DIVISION
3
       APPLE, INC., A CALIFORNIA
4
       CORPORATION,
5
          Plaintiff,
       VS.
                                 ) CASE
7
                                 ) NO.
                                ) 11-CV-01846-LHK(PSG)
       SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
       LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS
9
       ENTITY; SAMSUNG
       ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
10
       INC., A NEW YORK
       CORPORATION; SAMSUNG
11
       TELECOMMUNICATIONS
       AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE
12
       LIMITED LIABILITY
       COMPANY,
13
14
          Defendant.
15
     16
                 ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                        DAE IL "DALE" SOHN
17
                        Friday, April 20, 2012
             HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
18
     19
20
          ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAE IL "DALE" SOHN,
     produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff(s),
2.1
     and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered
     cause on Friday, April 20, 2012, from 9:33 a.m. to
22
     5:27 p.m., before Tamara K. Chapman, CSR in and for the
     State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at Regus,
23
     4515 Cole Avenue, Dallas, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
     Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or
24
     attached hereto.
25
    JOB NO: 48590
```

		Page 7
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the start of tape	
2	labeled No. 1 of the videotaped deposition of Dale Sohn in	
3	the matter of Apple, Inc., versus Samsung Electronics	
4	Company, Ltd., for the Northern District of California,	
5	San Jose Division, No. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG). This	
6	deposition is being held in Dallas, Texas, on April 20th,	
7	2012, at approximately 9:33 a.m. The court reporter is	
8	Tamara Chapman.	
9	Will counsel please introduce yourselves,	09:33
10	after which the court reporter will swear in the witness.	09:33
11	MR. OLSON: Erik Olson from Morrison &	09:33
12	Foerster on behalf of Apple, and with me is Emily	09:33
13	Sheffield from my office.	09:33
14	MS. MAROULIS: Victoria Maroulis with Quinn	09:33
15	Emanuel, counsel for Samsung and the witness, Mr. Dale	09:33
16	Sohn. With my is Cindi Moreland, general counsel of STA.	09:33
17	DAE IL "DALE" SOHN,	09:33
18	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	09:33
19	EXAMINATION	09:33
20	BY MR. OLSON:	09:33
21	Q. Mr. Sohn, state your full name for the record.	09:34
22	A. My Korean name is Dae Il Sohn.	09:34
23	THE INTERPRETER: D-A-E I-L S-O-H-N.	09:34
24	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) Do you go by a different name in	09:34
25	the United States?	09:34

		Page 8
1	A. The name that I use for the sake of the business	09:34
2	affairs in the United States is Dale Sohn.	09:34
3	Q. What's your residential address?	09:34
4	A. 5320 Catamaran Drive, Plano, Texas.	09:34
5	Q. And you are the president and CEO of Samsung	09:35
6	Telecommunications America's, LLC, correct?	09:35
7	A. Yes.	09:35
8	Q. And Samsung Telecommunications America, if I	09:35
9	refer to that as STA, would that make sense to you?	09:35
10	A. Yes.	09:35
11	Q. And isn't it the case that that's one of the ways	09:35
12	that people within Samsung refer to your subsidiary?	09:35
13	A. Correct.	09:35
14	Q. And Samsung Telecommunications America or STA	09:35
15	sells over 9 billion in products a year in the United	09:36
16	States, correct?	09:36
17	MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Calls for	09:36
18	speculation.	09:36
19	A. Our revenue is more or less than \$9 billion, but	09:36
20	when it comes to the accurate number, I don't have a clear	09:36
21	recollection.	09:36
22		09:36
23	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) You've been the president and CEO	09:36
24	of STA since May of 2006, correct?	09:36
25	A. Correct.	09:37

		Page 31
1	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; vague, calls for	10:52
2	speculation.	10:52
3	A. Correct.	10:52
4	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) In the postpaid market for	10:53
5	smartphones, isn't it correct that Apple is a primary	10:53
6	competitor of Samsung with respect to smartphones, and	10:53
7	I'll say for 2011 and 2012?	10:53
8	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; compound, vague.	10:53
9	A. That is correct.	10:53
10	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) And with respect to the Galaxy S	10:53
11	phones, Apple is a primary competitor for the customers	10:53
12	who would purchase those phones or, alternatively,	10:53
13	purchase an iPhone?	10:54
14	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; vague, calls for	10:54
15	speculation, assumes facts not in evidence.	10:54
16	A. The competition situation may differ depending on	10:55
17	carriers. When it comes to Verizon, there is a brand	10:55
18	named DROID. So actually competition with the DROID could	10:55
19	be fiercer. And when it comes to T-Mobile, it doesn't	10:55
20	handle iPhones at all.	10:56
21	So even in the postpaid market, we have fierce	10:56
22	composition with other vendors such as HTC and Motorola.	10:56
23	So it would be somewhat difficult to pinpoint iPhones as	10:56
24	our as the primary competitive product with regard to	10:56
25	the Galaxy S II product.	10:56

		Page 32
1	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) But Apple would be a competitor	10:56
2	of Samsung with respect to the Galaxy S and the Galaxy	10:56
3	S II product?	10:56
4	A. Yes.	10:56
5	Q. Has Samsung Korea or Samsung Telecommunications	10:56
6	America placed any particular focus on an effort to beat	10:57
7	Apple in 2010 or 2011 or 2012?	10:57
8	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; compound.	10:57
9	A. Yes.	10:57
10	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) And it's correct in fact that in	10:57
11	each of those three years it has been a particular goal of	10:57
12	STA to beat Apple in the United States?	10:57
13	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; compound, assumes	10:58
14	facts.	10:58
15	A. It is not true.	10:58
16	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) Is it true in 2012 that one of	10:58
17	your goals for the STA organization is to beat Apple?	10:58
18	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; vague.	10:58
19	A. That is correct.	10:58
20	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) And and it's the case that you	10:58
21	have been directed by superiors at SEC Korea to come up	10:58
22	with a strategy in 2012 to beat Apple?	10:59
23	MS. MAROULIS: Objection; vague.	10:59
24	A. No, that is not true.	10:59
25	Q. (BY MR. OLSON) Have you received any	10:59

```
Page 124
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                           SAN JONES DIVISION
 3
        APPLE, INC., A CALIFORNIA
        CORPORATION,
           Plaintiff,
6
        VS.
                                    ) CASE
7
                                    ) NO.
                                    ) 11-CV-01846-LHK(PSG)
        SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
        LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS
        ENTITY; SAMSUNG
        ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
10
        INC., A NEW YORK
        CORPORATION; SAMSUNG
11
        TELECOMMUNICATIONS
        AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE
12
        LIMITED LIABILITY
        COMPANY,
13
14
           Defendant.
15
                       REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
16
                    DEPOSITION OF DAE IL "DALE" SOHN
                             APRIL 20, 2012
17
18
           I, Tamara K. Chapman, Certified Shorthand Reporter in
19
      and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the
20
      following:
21
           That the witness, DAE IL "DALE" SOHN, was duly sworn
22
      by the officer and that the transcript of the oral
23
      deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the
24
     witness;
25
           That the deposition transcript was submitted on
```

Page 125 April 20th, 2012 to the witness or to the attorney for the witness for examination, signature and return to me by May 20th, 2012; That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows: Mr. Erik J. Olson - 6:01 Ms. Victoria F. Maroulis - 00:00 That pursuant to information given to the deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, the 10 following includes counsel for all parties of record: 11 Mr. Erik J. Olson - THE PLAINTIFF 12 Ms. Victoria F. Maroulis - THE DEFENDANT 13 That \$\_\_\_\_\_ is the deposition officer's charges to the Plaintiff(s) for preparing the original deposition 15 transcript and any copies of exhibits; 16 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, 17 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or 18 attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was 19 taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise 20 interested in the outcome of the action. 21 22 23 24

25

	Page 126
1	Certified to by me this 20th day of April 2012.
2	
3	
	Tamara K. Chapman, Texas CSR 7248
4	Expiration Date: 12/31/10
	TSG Reporting, Inc.
5	Firm Registration No. 615
	Nationwide - Worldwide
6	Phone: (877) 702-9580
	info@tsgreporting.com
7	www.tsgreporting.com
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	