EXHIBIT 3

```
Page 1
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
    APPLE INC., a California
    corporation,
6
                 Plaintiff,
7
                                  CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
    VS.
8
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
    LTD., a Korean business
    entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
10
    AMERICA, INC., a New York
    corporation; SAMSUNG
11
    TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
    LLC, a Delaware limited
    liability company,
12
13
                 Defendants.
14
15
16
            HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
17
             OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY
18
19
            VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JONATHAN IVE
20
                 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
21
                 THURSDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2011
22
23
    BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, RPR, CCRR, CLR
24
    CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
25
    JOB NO. 43920
```

- 1 Q And in your view, is -- is that construction
- or design of the -- the bezel more important to the
- 3 design of the -- of the first iPhone than the home
- button in terms of an overall feeling and appearance
- ⁵ that the -- that the design creates?
- A Yeah, I'm not comfortable answering that
- question, because I think to -- to answer your
- ⁸ question properly, I would actually need to make
- 9 models and experiment with changing those. So I
- actually don't know.
- One element that you did mention as being
- important, in your view, was the display and it being
- centered.
- ¹⁴ A Right.
- 15 Q If you could please tell me what you mean by
- 16 that.
- A Well, the -- the actual display as a
- component is obviously important. It's -- one of the
- great innovations here, of course, was that it not
- only gave you video sort of output, but that it was
- 21 that you touched the display. So that was your
- primary means of -- of interaction.
- And so the display, as we thought about it
- from a design point of view, was an important
- component, and so its position, relative to the

- 1 perimeter of the product, was also important.
- So we have it centered with equal dimension
- on the -- I could -- if I call it the forehead, that's
- the area above the display; and also the chin is
- 5 another way that we would talk about the area below
- 6 the display. Those are the same size.
- 7 The vertical -- the -- the vertical columns
- on either side with the -- you know, the black mask
- ⁹ are also the same size, so it is symmetrically
- positioned within the product.
- And as a handheld product that you would use
- in this way, that seemed -- that seemed important to
- us.
- Q And you began your answer by saying that the
- display as a component is obviously important.
- If you could please tell me, what do you mean
- by that? Why was the display as a component
- important?
- A Well, if you didn't -- if you did not have
- that component, that element within the design, the
- phone wouldn't function very well, as it would be very
- difficult to use.
- And I described that what was particularly
- significant in the case of the first iPhone was that
- the display was combined with a multi-touch panel, a

- multi-touch sensing panel, and so that it was also
- your primary means of input, so that you would relate
- to the display in multiple ways: That you would look
- to see who is calling, that you could look at
- 5 photographs, you could look at video, you could look
- 6 at your address book, but then you could directly
- manipulate the information that you saw on the
- 8 display.
- 9 Q And did all that, that you've described about
- the display, have an influence on -- on the design of
- the -- the external appearance of the -- of the phone?
- A What that did was make it very clear in our
- minds that the display was important, and we wanted to
- develop a product that featured and deferred to the
- display.
- So we -- some of our early discussions about
- 17 the iPhone centered on this idea of, as I mentioned
- earlier, this -- you know, this infinity pool, this
- pond, where the display would sort of magically
- appear.
- 21 Q You mentioned that as part of this process,
- that it became clear that the product, namely the
- iPhone we're talking about here, would defer to the
- display.
- What do you mean by that phrase, "defer to

- the display"?
- A I think that's another way of saying that we
- did not want to develop a design. In any of the
- designs that we explored, none of them we explored did
- 5 anything to -- to undermine or detract or distract
- from the importance of the -- the display.
- ⁷ Q And was part of the reason for that that
- 8 the -- in the context of a -- of the iPhone, that the
- 9 display screen itself was the main way in which the
- user interacted with the device?
- 11 A No.
- When we are at these early stages in design,
- when we're trying to establish some of the -- you
- know, the primary goals -- often we'll talk about, you
- 15 know, the -- the story for the product -- we're
- talking about perception. We're talking about how you
- 17 feel about the product, not in a physical sense, but
- in a -- you know, in a perceptual sense.
- And so it's just -- it was very clear
- having -- just how important and in some ways magical
- this display and the -- the new user interface was,
- that we wanted to -- to, from a perceptual point of
- view, create a design and a design story, create an
- 24 appearance that would in some ways augment that.
- So I mentioned the way that when the display

- switch is on, that just feeling magical, feeling
- surprising, we're talking about a number of years ago.
- This may now seem -- we got used to products that do
- this. But at the earliest stages of this design, this
- 5 seemed -- this was very new, and it felt there was
- for real opportunity to develop a design story based on
- ⁷ those sorts of preoccupations.
- Q Regardless of the -- the design intention
- behind it, do you think, as a practical matter, the
- fact that the display is the primary way that a user
- interfaces with the -- the original iPhone means that
- having a design that defers to the displays that you
- mentioned means that there's less distractions for the
- user in actually using the screen?
- A Can you make that a shorter question?
- Q Sure. I can -- I can try that.
- In response to my question, I was asking you
- about the phrase that you've used, which is that you
- didn't want a design that undermined or distracted
- from the importance of the display, and you've also
- used another phrase, which was that you have a design
- that deferred to the display; do you recall generally
- talking about that?
- A Yes.
- Q And I had asked one question about sort of

- $^{
 m 1}$ design intention that you've now already answered.
- ² A Yes.
- Q Now I wanted to ask a slightly different
- 4 question --
- A Right.
- 6 Q -- which is: As a practical matter, do you
- ⁷ think the fact that the display screen itself is -- is
- 8 the main point of interaction that a user has with the
- 9 original iPhone means that having a design that defers
- to the display, as you've -- you've meant it or as
- you've mentioned, means that the user is less
- distracted from -- from that interaction with the
- screen, just as a practical matter?
- A I think what we've found, given that overall
- goal, was that there were -- there were many solutions
- that we explored that met that -- that goal of -- of
- elevating the display and creating that sort of
- hierarchy.
- And I think that the design that we chose,
- based upon its appearance, was one of many that --
- 21 that there would be less distractions during use --
- less visual distractions during use.
- 23 Q So if I understand you correctly, the -- the
- design solution that was actually chosen for the
- iPhone that you've described, as a practical matter,

- it does create less visual distractions for the user
- when interfacing with the display?
- A Yes. What I'm -- what I'm saying is that as
- a consequence -- not as a goal, but as a consequence,
- 5 amongst the many other alternatives that we looked at,
- 6 I think that would be true.
- ⁷ Q Have you read the -- the Walter Isaacson
- 8 biography of Steve Jobs?
- 9 A I've read a couple of pages, yes.
- Q Were you interviewed for that book?
- 11 A I was, yes.
- Q And do you recall about how many times you --
- you were interviewed by Mr. Isaacson?
- A I recall we had one -- one interview I would
- call a primary interview, and then we met on one other
- occasion; and I think we may have had one telephone
- conversation, but I'm not certain.
- 18 Q So your best recollection is it was
- ¹⁹ approximately three times?
- A We -- well, your question was "interview," so
- no. There was one time when we were -- we met in what
- you would, I think, probably characterize as an
- interview; we met on one time when I showed him the
- 24 design studio, and then possibly we had a short
- telephone conversation.

- O So in terms of the -- the number of times
- where you had some type of oral communication with
- Mr. Isaacson, whether in person or by phone, your best
- 4 recollection is that there were three of them?
- A No, my best recollection was there's two, and
- there's a possibility of the third.
- ⁷ Q And how certain do you feel about the -- the
- third? I mean, is it more than 50 percent? Less than
- 9 50 percent?
- 10 A I'd say it's 50/50.
- Q Right there on the edge.
- Did you read the portion of Mr. Isaacson's
- book where he talked about -- well, actually, let me
- step back for a second.
- There were terms or codes that were used for
- different iterations of the potential design for the
- very first iPhone that went something like P1, P2?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And do you recall that in one of those
- earlier iterations of the iPhone display -- or excuse
- me -- the iPhone design, that the front flat surface
- had a rim that ran around the -- the display screen?
- A I'm sorry. I'm slightly confused by -- so
- this is a question that's discrete from Walter
- 25 Isaacson's book?

- $^{
 m l}$ the -- the user to be able to pick up the -- the
- tablet with one hand from underneath?
- 3 A No, that wasn't a goal. We -- we made the
- observation that if that's something that we wanted to
- 5 enable, that meant that our exploration would be
- 6 concerned with the sorts of formal solutions that I
- mentioned. And there were -- there were -- there were
- 8 many of them.
- 9 Q Well, then, I guess setting aside, then,
- the -- the terminology about a goal -- maybe it's a
- 11 little easier that way.
- In terms of the -- the final design for
- the -- the first iPad, was there anything about the
- design that, as a consequence of the design, made it
- 15 easier for the user to pick up with one hand from a --
- from a flat table, for example?
- A Could you just clarify which iPad we're
- 18 talking about. Is it the iPad in the -- the patents
- 19 or the --
- Q The first iPad that actually went to market.
- 21 A That went to market.
- Yes, it was one of the -- the many that we
- explored that had the -- the rear surface developed
- ²⁴ up.
- In the case of the first iPad, the -- there

- $^{
 m l}$ was a vertical wall that ran around the perimeter of
- the product. But the bottom edge of that vertical
- wall was -- I don't know how many millimeters, but was
- a number of millimeters above a surface if you were to
- 5 rest it on a surface.
- Q Whether or not it was the -- the goal of the
- design of the second iPad, the iPad 2, was there
- 8 anything about the design in the iPad 2 that, as a
- 9 consequence of the design, made it easier for the user
- to pick it up with one hand from a flat surface, such
- 11 as a table?
- 12 A I'm sorry. Could you repeat that.
- Q Sure. It's basically the same question I was
- asking before about the first iPad that went to
- market.
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Now I'm asking about the iPad 2. So I'll
- just restate the whole question, but just so you have
- a sense of where I'm --
- A Yes.
- Q -- going with this.
- So setting aside whether it was the goal or
- not of the design --
- A Yes.
- Q -- as a consequence of the design that was

- actually used for the iPad 2, was there anything about
- that design that made it easier for the user to pick
- up the iPad 2 from a flat surface, such as a table?
- A Yes. I think the overall principle is
- similar to the first iPad, even though the formal --
- the -- the final definition is -- is different.
- But the -- it's the same principle, which is
- 8 there is an edge that is essentially raised from
- 9 the -- the desktop, and that your fingers can go
- underneath.
- Q Did you have any involvement in the -- the
- design or the creation of the application icons for
- the iPhone?
- A For the first iPhone?
- Q Right.
- A I recall Steve showing them to me during a
- number of stages in development, and we had
- discussions. I don't recall what the discussions
- 19 were, but I do recall seeing them.
- Q Did you make any comments or have any input
- into the -- the look of the icons or the -- the layout
- of the icons for the first iPhone?
- A I recall being shown the work. I recall
- discussions about it, but I couldn't describe that as
- input because I don't know. I can't recall whether --

- $^{
 m I}$ I can't recall what I said, and I can't recall if
- there was a consequence to anything that I said.
- Q Do you recall whether the shape of the icons
- 4 that you saw in the versions that Mr. Jobs showed you
- were in the form of a rectangle with rounded corners?
- A I think -- yes, I think I recall the shape of
- ⁷ the icons, and that they were square with -- with
- 8 radii on each of the four -- four corners.
- ⁹ Q And do you have any knowledge or information
- as to what the source of that shape was? In other
- words, where that shape came from?
- 12 A I -- I don't recall.
- Q Do you know whether that shape was based on
- prior icon shapes?
- A I don't recall.
- Q Do you have any knowledge or information as
- to the -- the source of the icon layout that was used
- 18 for the first iPhone; namely, that kind of grid
- 19 pattern?
- A No, I don't recall.
- I think my involvement was very much Steve
- showing me, This is the work that's being done, and --
- and then I would assume with being -- asking my
- opinion, but I don't recall that.
- Q Do you recall having any other communications

- three-dimensional designer, I find that very difficult
- ² to answer.
- MR. ZELLER: Q. And so when you say
- "difficult," does that mean you cannot answer it?
- 5 A I cannot feel comfortable with -- that I've
- 6 answered your question well.
- Q Well, I'm trying to find out: Are you saying
- it's impossible to answer my question?
- 9 MR. JACOBS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Could you ask me your -- your
- question again.
- MR. ZELLER: Q. Focusing your attention on
- the -- the design that's shown here in Exhibit 1178,
- all right, is there any interpretation of this design
- that you have that would mean that this design shown
- here in Exhibit 1178 is substantially the same as the
- design of the front face of the first iPhone?
- 18 A There is one interpretation that -- of -- of
- this limited information that would make this
- similar -- very similar to the first iPhone.
- Q I'm -- let's please mark as Exhibit 1179 a
- one-page document which is a comparison of -- of three
- phone designs.
- ²⁴ (Document marked Exhibit 1179
- for identification.)

- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. ZELLER: Q. Do you recognize the design
- 3 of the -- the phone that's shown here on the far
- 4 right?
- ⁵ A That could be a representation of the first
- ⁶ iPhone.
- ⁷ Q Do you recognize the design that's shown here
- in the middle on Exhibit 1179?
- A Again, that could be a representation, yes,
- of the front elevation -- an orthographic
- 11 representation of the first -- the first iPhone.
- Q Comparing the designs that are shown here in
- Exhibit 1179, do you believe that these three designs
- we have here on this page are -- are basically and
- essentially the same design to one another, or do you
- believe that they are different?
- 17 A I think there is an interpretation -- as we
- said earlier, one of many. But based on these lines
- here, there is an interpretation where there are many
- elements that could be interpreted in the same way
- between the phone that is on my left and then the two
- that are on the -- on the right.
- 23 Q And by that, do you mean that there are --
- there's an interpretation of these -- these designs,
- these drawings that we have here --

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q -- these views that, from your view, make
- them overall, in terms of their impression, to be
- similar rather than different designs?
- 5 A I'm sorry. Could you repeat that.
- Q Sure. I'm just trying to make sure I
- ⁷ understand your answer.
- When you -- when you -- in your answer, when
- 9 you're talking about these --
- ¹⁰ A Yes.
- 11 Q -- a comparison between these designs --
- 12 A Yes.
- Q -- overall, from their overall impression
- that you see, based on the information that you have
- here comparing these three designs, do you believe
- that they create the overall same impression of
- design, or would you consider them to be overall in
- their impression to be different designs?
- A What I'm saying is that with limited
- information, that there is an interpretation, based on
- the information I have in front of me, that these
- could feel like three very similar designs, or that
- this one is very similar to -- if I interpret the two
- on the right in the same way, that the one on the left
- could feel very similar to the ones on the right.

```
^{1} products that we're developing.
```

- Q As of the time that you began first working
- on the iPhone design -- this first iPhone design, what
- other manufacturer of mobile phones were you aware of?
- 5 A Can you -- it would help me if you could
- define what you mean by the word "aware of."
- Q Well, let me ask it this way: I take it as
- of the time when you started working on the -- the
- 9 design that resulted in the first iPhone design --
- 10 A Yes.
- Q -- you had seen other mobile phones --
- 12 A Yes.
- 0 -- as of that time?
- 14 A Yes, I had seen --
- Q Which ones do you remember seeing as of that
- 16 time?
- A I remember seeing a Motorola StarTAC. I
- remember a Sony Ericsson product. I'm afraid I can't
- remember the name -- you know, the model number of
- that. I remember a -- I remember a Nokia vaguely.
- I think I was using a -- I think I was
- personally using at the time a Motorola StarTAC.
- What -- I can't recall the name. It was developed
- 24 from the StarTAC.
- Q Was it the Razr?

- A Yes. I think that was the product that I was
- ² using.
- Q Anyone -- any others that you can remember
- 4 seeing as of that time?
- 5 A Golly, I can't remember. Yeah, this is five
- ⁶ years ago now.
- ⁷ Q Let me show you what was previously marked as
- 8 Exhibit 8, which is a copy of United States Design
- Patent 504,889. And please let me know when you've
- had a chance to take a look here at Exhibit 8.
- ¹¹ A Okay.
- Q First, do you recognize Exhibit 8 as a U.S.
- design patent that you're a named inventor on?
- 14 A I do.
- Q And did you actually contribute to this
- design?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q In your view as an inventor of this design
- shown here in the '889 design patent --
- 20 A Yes.
- Q -- what was new or original about it as
- compared to other designs that were in existence?
- MR. JACOBS: Objection; lacks foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Could you ask me that question
- more specifically, please.

- MR. ZELLER: Yeah, sure.
- 2 Q You understood, generally speaking, that to
- obtain a design patent --
- 4 A Yes.
- ⁵ Q -- you have to have something that's new or
- original about a design, compared to designs that are
- ⁷ already out there?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And in fact, you recall that when you -- you
- signed papers --
- 11 A Yes.
- Q -- as a design patent inventor, part of what
- you attest to to the patent office, in other words,
- what you swear to, is that -- that what you've created
- is a new and original design; do you generally recall
- 16 that?
- A I -- I recall that when I signed those
- papers, that I'm saying that I am not aware of
- anything previously that would render this not new.
- So yes, I remember that.
- 21 Q And so taking a look at the design that's
- depicted here in Exhibit 8 --
- ²³ A Yes.
- Q -- from your perspective as an inventor, what
- was different or new or original about this compared

- or different from existing designs as of the time that
- this design was created?
- 3 A I think that -- I think that covers it in
- 4 terms of the form.
- I think the -- the -- the construction, you
- 6 know, the -- this, you know, singular clear part and
- then this singular rear casing, just the simplicity
- of -- of how -- you know, that architecture, the
- 9 simplicity of that, I think, was, to me, seems --
- seems very new, given what the product is, which is a
- 11 handheld tablet device.
- Q Can I please have the '035 mockup.
- MR. JACOBS: Yeah.
- THE WITNESS: Have you finished with -- can I
- move these, or are you --
- MR. ZELLER: Sure.
- 17 Q I'm not promising to be finished with them,
- but -- but we can move them out of your way --
- A Let's move them out of my way.
- Q -- if it makes life easier for you.
- MR. JACOBS: You want to take a break while
- we -- because I think this is probably a good --
- MR. ZELLER: Yeah, this is a good time.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of
- Disc No. 4, Volume I.

Page 160 1 We are off the record at 4:02 p.m. 2 (Recess taken.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of Disc No. 5, Volume I. We are back on the record at 4:25 p.m. You may proceed. 7 MR. ZELLER: Q. What I'd like to show you is a three-dimensional mockup of a tablet that's been produced by Apple in this case, and it's generally 10 known as the 035 mockup or -- or prototype, and please take a look at that. 12 Have you seen the 035 mockup before? 13 А Yes, I have. 14 And when do you remember first seeing the 035 0 15 mockup? 16 My recollection of -- of first seeing it is 17 very hazy, but it was, I'm quessing, sometime between 18 2002 and 2004, some -- but it was -- I remember seeing this and -- and perhaps models similar to this when we 20 were first exploring tablet designs that ultimately 21 became the iPad. 22 Do you recognize the 035 mockup as a mockup 23 that the Apple model shop produced? 24 I actually don't know which model shop

made -- made this, but I recognize this as a model

25

- $^{
 m 1}$ that was produced during our exploration.
- 2 Q Do you recall if the -- the group that
- produced the actual physical model of this 035 mockup
- was an internal group or whether it was an outside
- ⁵ vendor?
- A I have no -- no recollection.
- 7 Q Is the 035 mockup a tablet design that you
- personally worked on?
- ⁹ A It was -- the best of my recollection, this
- was a design that was modeled as a consequence of the
- way that we work, which is as a team.
- Q Do you recognize the 03 mockup design as a
- design that you, among other people, invented?
- 14 A You said 03.
- 15 Q '5 mockup, the physical one that you have in
- 16 front of you?
- 17 A Yes, I recognize this as one of -- one of the
- models that we made as part of the design process, as
- part of the exploration.
- Where my recollection is hazy is trying to
- remember, you know, at what point in the exploration
- we made this, the circumstances around making it.
- But I certainly recognize it as a model that
- we made as part of that exploration.
- Q Is the design that's shown in the '889

- design patent, which is marked as Exhibit 8, the same
- design as the 035 mockup?
- 3 A I think that there are many similarities.
- 4 Q Apart from being able to say that there are
- many similarities, can you tell me with any certainty
- one way or another whether the design that's shown in
- the '889 design patent is the same design as the 035
- 8 mockup?
- MR. JACOBS: Objection; lacks foundation.
- THE WITNESS: I can say confidently that
- there are many, many elements that are represented in
- this model that I see in our patent.
- MR. ZELLER: Q. And can you tell me anything
- beyond that with any certainty in terms of whether
- that's the same design or not?
- A I think I -- I think I've done my best to
- answer the question, which is I think there are many
- elements that I see here that I see in our design
- patent.
- Q Are you aware of any three-dimensional
- 21 models --
- ²² A Yeah.
- Q -- of any tablet designs that were created
- 24 prior to March 17, 2004, that was closer in its
- appearance to the design that's shown in the

- 1 '889 design patent than the 035 mockup?
- A I'm not aware of any that are closer or less
- close. I recall this model; I, of course, recall this
- ⁴ patent, and I can see many similarities.
- ⁵ Q Is it your best understanding that the model
- that was created to reflect the design that's shown
- 7 here in the '889 design patent is the 035 mockup, or
- is it your impression that there's another mockup that
- was a rendition -- a three-dimensional rendition of
- 10 the '889 design?
- MR. JACOBS: Objection; lacks foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, and I'm afraid I also
- don't understand your question. You said is there
- 14 another model that reflects this.
- My sense is that we would make a model, and
- then from what we learned, we would then work on
- defining a patent, not the other way around.
- MR. ZELLER: And that's fair enough. So let
- 19 me -- let me rephrase it.
- Q You have in front of you the 035 mockup.
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And I'll tell you, that's -- that's the one
- that we have here.
- 24 A Yes.
- Q And so what I'm really trying to find out is:



