EXHIBIT 42

CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

```
Page 1
 1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
                           --000--
 5
      APPLE INC., A CALIFORNIA )
      CORPORATION,
 7
                 PLAINTIFF, ) No. 11-CV-01846-LHK
 8
           VS.
      SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
10
      LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS
11
      ENTITY; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS )
      AMERICA, INC., A NEW YORK )
12
13
      CORPORATION; SAMSUNG
14
      TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, )
15
      LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED )
16
      LIABILITY COMPANY,
                 DEFENDANTS. )
17
18
19
        VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RICO ZORKENDORFER
20
         CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
21
                 Redwood Shores, California
22
                   Friday, October 21, 2011
23
24
    Reported By:
     KATHLEEN WILKINS, CSR #10068, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
25
     JOB NO. 42998
```

- 1 this transcript as highly confidential subject to
- 2 the protective order in force in this case.
- 3 Also, the witness will reserve the
- 4 opportunity to review the transcript to make
- 5 corrections at the conclusion of the deposition.
- 6 MR. ZELLER: Let's please mark as
- 7 Exhibit 841 a multipage document bearing Bates
- 8 Numbers APLPROS0000018778 through -18798.
- 9 (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 841 was
- 10 marked for identification.)
- 11 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 12 Q. And you're free to take a look here at
- 13 841, but I had some specific questions first for
- 14 you.
- 15 If you could look at the last page of
- 16 Exhibit 841. Do you know who that person is?
- And for the record, also, if you look
- 18 at -18789, which is also somewhat close to the end,
- 19 you'll see another image of this individual, if that
- 20 helps.
- 21 MR. BARTLETT: Talking about this page
- 22 (indicating).
- MR. ZELLER: Oh.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 25 MR. BARTLETT: -789.

- 1 THE WITNESS: I believe that's our patent
- 2 lawyer.
- 3 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 4 Q. That's Quin?
- 5 A. I think it's -- I think it's Quin.
- 6 MR. BARTLETT: One N.
- 7 THE WITNESS: But I can't be certain
- 8 because the picture quality is fairly bad.
- 9 MR. BARTLETT: I just want to make sure
- 10 this is clarified for the record. I think --
- 11 because the court reporter interpreted Quin as being
- 12 Quinn Emanuel, but Quin is the first name of an
- 13 individual, Q-U-I-N.
- MR. ZELLER: It would be startling if it
- 15 was us.
- MR. BARTLETT: It would, indeed.
- 17 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 18 Q. I'm going to show you what was previously
- 19 marked as Exhibit 8, which is United States Design
- 20 Patent 504,889.
- Let me know when you've had a chance to
- 22 look at the '889 design patent.
- Do you recognize the '889 design patent as
- 24 a patent that you're a named inventor on?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I take it at some point you became aware,
- 2 just generally speaking, that there was a dispute
- 3 between Samsung and Apple?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. At any time prior to the time when you
- 6 first became aware that there was a dispute between
- 7 the companies, had you actually seen the '889 design
- 8 patent?
- 9 A. I must have, yes.
- 10 Q. And you are named as an inventor here, as
- 11 we talked about?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it you invented that's depicted
- 14 here?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Vaque. Calls
- 16 for a legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I can't call out any -- any
- 18 specifics.
- 19 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Well, is there anything generally that you
- 21 can identify here that you were the inventor of?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 23 legal conclusion.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I can't call out any -- any
- 25 specifics.

- 1 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 2 Q. But were there any -- any general aspects
- 3 of this that you were the inventor of?
- 4 MR. BARTLETT: Calls for a legal
- 5 conclusion.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I can't call out any
- 7 specifics.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 9 Q. Well, you keep on saying "specifics," and
- 10 I -- that's what -- that's a red flag to a lawyer.
- And so I'm trying to find out, is there
- 12 anything -- even if you can't point out specifics,
- is there anything that you can generally identify
- 14 that you were the inventor of with respect to the
- design in the '889 design patent?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 17 legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: I can't call out any --
- 19 any -- any specifics on -- on that.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 21 Q. Is there anything that you can identify --
- 22 and I'm talking about anything at all, specifically
- 23 or generally, that you can identify that you were
- 24 the inventor of with respect to the '889 design
- 25 patent?

- 1 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 2 legal conclusion. Asked and answered.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Again, can't call out any --
- 4 any specifics.
- 5 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 6 Q. By your understanding, what was new or
- 7 original about the design that's shown here in the
- 8 '889 design patent?
- 9 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 10 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I can't comment on -- on
- 12 anything that's, yeah, specifically called out here.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Well, is there anything that you can
- 15 identify that was new or original about the design
- 16 that's shown here in the '889 design patent at the
- 17 time it was first thought of or put into some sort
- 18 of written form or fixed form?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 20 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Can you actually repeat that
- 22 question?
- 23 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 24 Q. Sure.
- Is there anything that you can identify

- 1 that was new or original about the design that's
- 2 shown here in the '889 design patent as of the time
- 3 it was first thought of or put down into some sort
- 4 of tangible form by you and -- and the others who
- 5 are named as inventors here?
- 6 MR. BARTLETT: Same objection.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Again, I -- I -- I can't
- 8 talk specifically to this document.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 10 Q. When was it that you and the other
- 11 inventors first came up with the idea for the design
- 12 that's shown here in the '889 design patent?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 14 legal conclusion.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't recall.
- 16 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 17 Q. I'll represent to you -- well, I'm sorry.
- 18 Let me ask another question.
- 19 What was the time period when you and the
- 20 other named inventors first put this design down
- 21 into some kind of tangible form, such as in a mockup
- 22 or a drawing or a CAD drawing?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 24 legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: I can't recall a specific

- 1 time line.
- 2 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 3 Q. I'll represent to you that in
- 4 interrogatory responses in this case, Apple has said
- 5 that the date when the design that's shown here in
- 6 the '889 design patent was first conceived of,
- 7 thought of, or reduced to practice is the legal
- 8 term, kind of put into some kind of fixed form, was
- 9 September 3rd, 2003.
- And so with that date in mind, can you
- 11 tell me one way or another that -- whether that is
- 12 consistent with your recollection?
- 13 A. I don't have any specific recollection of
- 14 that -- of that date.
- 15 Q. Do you recall if that's generally the time
- 16 period?
- 17 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for
- 18 speculation.
- 19 THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall any
- 20 specific time period.
- 21 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 22 Q. You just don't remember one way or
- 23 another?
- 24 A. I -- I don't remember. It's been eight
- 25 years.

- 1 Q. Focusing on the September 2003 time
- 2 period, was it new or original for a design -- for
- 3 an electronic device to have rounded corners?
- 4 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for
- 5 expert testimony. Vague.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I guess it depends what
- 7 context. When you say "electronic device," it's a
- 8 very general term.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 10 Q. Well, you'll see that this design patent,
- 11 the '889 design patent, is entitled "Electronic
- 12 Device."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So I'm talking about in the context of the
- 16 same category that the '889 design patent is in.
- And so my question is, is in the category
- of "electronic device" that this '889 design patent
- 19 identifies, was it new or original at that time that
- 20 an electronic device would have rounded corners?
- 21 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 22 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I -- I can't make a -- I
- 24 can't make a judgment on that.
- 25 BY MR. ZELLER:

- 1 Q. Focusing on the September 2003 time
- 2 period, was it new or original for an electronic
- 3 device to have an overall rectangular shape?
- 4 MR. BARTLETT: Calls for a legal
- 5 conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I can't -- I can't make a --
- 7 I can't make a judgment on that.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 9 Q. During the September or as of the
- 10 September 2003 time period, was it new or original
- 11 for the design of electronic devices to have four
- 12 evenly rounded corners?
- MR. BARTLETT: Same objection.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Again, I can't make -- I
- 15 can't make a judgment on that.
- 16 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 17 Q. As of the September 2003 time period, was
- 18 it new or original for an electronic device to have
- 19 a flat clear surface covering the front of the
- 20 device?
- 21 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Again, I can't make a
- 23 judgment on that.
- 24 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. As of September 2003, was it new or

- 1 original for the design of electronic devices to
- 2 have a front surface that was without ornamentation?
- 3 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Again, I can't make -- I
- 5 can't make a judgment on that.
- 6 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 7 Q. As of September of 2003, was it new or
- 8 original for the design of an electronic device to
- 9 have a thin rim surrounding the front surface?
- MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I can't make a judgment on
- 12 that.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. As of September of 2003, was it new or
- original for the design of electronic devices to
- 16 have a substantially flat back panel that rounds up
- 17 near the edges, to form a thin rim around the front
- 18 surface?
- MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: I can't make a judgment on
- 21 that.
- 22 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 23 Q. As of September of 2003, was it new or
- 24 original for the design of an electronic device to
- 25 have a thin form factor?

- 1 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Again, I can't make -- I
- 3 can't make a judgment on that.
- 4 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 5 Q. Focusing your attention on Figure 1 of the
- 6 '889 design patent, you'll see on the -- that's an
- 7 interior rectangular set of -- of lines that appear
- 8 to be somewhat broken.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A. Which one are you referring to?
- 11 Q. This is the rectangular --
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 O. -- set of lines that run on the interior
- of the front surface on Figure 1 that appears to be
- 15 somewhat broken, although it's hard to tell.
- A. Mh-hmm.
- 17 Q. Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Do you know what that is?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 21 legal conclusion.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I don't feel I have the
- 23 expertise to -- to comment on -- or to speak to the
- 24 drawing in front of me.
- 25 BY MR. ZELLER:

- 1 Q. Well, I'm not asking if you -- if you have
- 2 an expertise.
- 3 My question is, do you know or have an
- 4 understanding as to what this interior rectangular
- 5 line represents?
- 6 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I don't.
- 8 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 9 Q. Do you know if that interior rectangular
- 10 line that we're discussing shown here in Figure 1 is
- 11 part of the design that's depicted here in the
- 12 '889 design patent?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 14 legal conclusion. Calls for speculation.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know.
- 16 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 17 Q. Are those broken lines?
- 18 MR. BARTLETT: Same objection.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which lines
- 20 you're referring to.
- 21 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Again, we're talking about the same lines
- 23 that we've -- that I've been asking questions about,
- 24 which are the lines -- rectangular lines that run on
- 25 the inner portion of the -- of Figure 1.

- 1 MR. BARTLETT: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 3 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 4 Q. If you can please take a look at Figure 2
- of the '889 design patent. You'll see that there
- 6 are those diagonal lines in the interior of that
- 7 back surface.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And there are three sets of those diagonal
- 10 lines?
- A. Mh-hmm.
- 12 O. You see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Please take a look at Figure 4. You'll
- 15 see that there are no diagonal lines depicted in --
- 16 in Figure 4?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know why Figure 2 has those
- 19 diagonal lines but Figure 4 doesn't?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 22 MR. BARTLETT: Calls for -- I do want to
- 23 caution the witness to give me a chance to interpose
- 24 my objections before responding. Thanks.
- Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for

- 1 expert testimony.
- 2 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 3 Q. Do you have an understanding as to why
- 4 Figure 2 has those diagonal lines that represent a
- 5 flat surface, but Figure 2 -- excuse me -- Figure 4
- 6 does not?
- 7 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 8 THE WITNESS: No.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 10 Q. Does the '889 design patent show a design
- 11 that has a back surface that is -- that is flat --
- MR. BARTLETT: Same objection --
- 13 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 14 Q. -- meaning --
- MR. BARTLETT: I'm sorry. I didn't mean
- 16 to speak over your question, Counsel, I apologize.
- 17 Same objections.
- MR. ZELLER: Actually, I'll rephrase it.
- 19 Q. Does -- does -- by your understanding,
- 20 from everything you can see here in these drawings
- in the '889 design patent, does this design show a
- 22 substantially flat back panel?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 24 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I -- I can't make a judgment

- 1 based on these drawings.
- 2 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 3 Q. Directing your attention to Figure 6.
- 4 You'll see on the right portion of
- 5 Figure 6 -- and this is a profile of a device -- a
- 6 generally circular shape there.
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Mh-hmm. Yes.
- 9 Q. What's that depict?
- 10 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 11 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I can't -- I can't depict
- 13 that. I can't -- yeah. I don't know.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Directing your attention to Figure 9,
- 16 you'll see that Figure 9 depicts the side of the
- 17 device as well as part -- the part of the front
- 18 surface.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. But you'll see that that -- that circular
- 22 shape that's part of Figure 6 is not shown on the
- 23 side of Figure 9.
- Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes, I see that. Yeah.

- 1 Q. Do you have an explanation as to why that
- 2 is?
- 3 A. I don't know. Sorry.
- 4 MR. BARTLETT: It's okay.
- 5 Objection. Vague. Also calls for
- 6 speculation and calls for expert testimony. Calls
- 7 for a legal conclusion.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 10 Q. Is this circular shape we've been
- 11 discussing that's depicted in Figure 6 part of the
- 12 claim design of the '889 design patent?
- MR. BARTLETT: Calls for a legal
- 14 conclusion. Calls for expert testimony. Calls for
- 15 speculation.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 17 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 18 Q. Directing your attention to Figure 9,
- 19 you'll see that it shows the top portion of the
- 20 device, as it's being held by the individual who's
- 21 shown here, as somewhat of a wedge shape.
- Do you see that?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 24 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Wedge -- wedge

- 1 shape?
- 2 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 3 Q. Yes. Wedge, W-E-D-G-E. And I'm referring
- 4 here to the top portion of the device as -- from the
- 5 perspective of the individual holding it here in
- 6 Figure 9.
- 7 MR. BARTLETT: Same objection. Also
- 8 assumes facts.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 10 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 11 Q. Do you know whether or not the design
- 12 that's depicted here in the '889 design patent is
- 13 showing a wedge-shaped profile or a straight-shaped
- 14 profile?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 16 legal conclusion.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't.
- 18 MR. BARTLETT: Calls for expert testimony.
- One at a time. So I have to finish my
- 20 objection before you respond so that she can get
- 21 them down, one after the other.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- MR. ZELLER: And, I'm sorry, you got the
- 24 answer?
- THE REPORTER: (Nods head.)

- 1 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Directing your attention to Figure 2,
- 3 you'll also see that the -- one side shape of the
- 4 device that's shown here looks thicker in that
- 5 corner that's closest to us, and then it looks like
- 6 it goes into a wedge shape as you move to the -- the
- 7 right.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 10 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't see -- I can't -- I
- 12 can't say the shape -- I can't see the shape based
- 13 on these drawings.
- 14 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 15 Q. And when you say you can't see the shape
- 16 based on these drawings, you can't tell what shape
- is being depicted here for the profile of the
- 18 device --
- 19 MR. BARTLETT: Objection.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 21 O. -- is that true?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 23 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I -- I can't make a judgment
- 25 based on -- on this drawing.

- 1 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Can you make a judgment as to the shape of
- 3 the profile that's being depicted here in the '889
- 4 design patent based on all the drawings that are
- 5 available to you and the other information in the
- 6 '889 design patent?
- 7 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 8 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I can't make a judgment
- 10 based on this -- on these drawings.
- 11 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 12 Q. Directing your attention to Figure 1.
- Earlier we were talking about the interior
- 14 rectangular lines.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you recall that?
- 17 Is the interior of that -- that area
- 18 within the interior rectangular lines intended to
- 19 depict the active area of the display?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 21 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 23 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Is there kind of -- any kind of active
- area of a display screen that's depicted in the '889

- 1 design patent?
- 2 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I can't make that judgment.
- 4 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 5 Q. Does the '889 design patent depict any
- 6 bands or any kind of margin or area on the front
- 7 surface that's outside of an active display screen
- 8 area?
- 9 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections. Also
- 10 vague.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I can't -- I can't make that
- 12 judgment.
- 13 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. You can't tell based on the drawings
- 15 that -- and the other information that's here in the
- 16 '889 design patent?
- 17 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I -- I can't make that
- 19 judgment based on these -- these patent drawings.
- 20 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 21 Q. Directing your attention to Figure 1,
- 22 you'll see that there is a -- a line that runs
- 23 through part of the -- or around part of the
- 24 perimeter of the device that is thicker and darker
- 25 than the other lines.

- 1 You see that portion?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 4 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 5 Q. What does that represent?
- 6 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I can't make a judgment
- 8 based -- based on these drawings.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 10 Q. Do you have any knowledge or information
- 11 as to what that darker line running part of the
- 12 perimeter of Figure 1 represents?
- MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I -- I can't make a judgment
- 15 based on these drawings.
- 16 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 17 Q. Well, again, I'm now divorcing it from the
- 18 drawings.
- Do you have information as to that as --
- 20 from any source?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Vague.
- 22 THE WITNESS: So can you re- -- can you
- 23 ask that question again?
- 24 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 25 Q. Sure.

- 1 What I'm trying to find out is do you have
- 2 any knowledge or information from any source as to
- 3 what that darker line represents that runs part of
- 4 the perimeter of Figure 1?
- 5 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections. Calls for
- 6 expert testimony. Calls for a legal conclusion.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to that darker
- 8 line that you're referring to.
- 9 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 10 Q. Does that darker line that we're
- 11 discussing, that's shown here in Figure 1, depict an
- 12 area that has vents?
- MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 14 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony. And
- 15 also calls for speculation.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I can't make that -- I can't
- 17 make that judgment.
- 18 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 19 Q. Directing your attention to Figure 9,
- 20 you'll also see that there's an area where it has a
- 21 darker, thicker line that runs around the perimeter
- 22 of the front of the device.
- You see that --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 O. -- that portion there?

- 1 A. Mh-hmm.
- Q. Is -- do you know what that depicts?
- 3 MR. BARTLETT: Same objections.
- 4 THE WITNESS: No.
- 5 BY MR. ZELLER:
- 6 Q. Does that depict vents?
- 7 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Calls for a
- 8 legal conclusion. Calls for expert testimony.
- 9 Calls for speculation.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I can't make a judgment
- 11 based on that drawing.
- 12 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Well, setting aside, then, the '889 design
- 14 patent for a moment, you do recall that there was a
- 15 mockup of the tablet design that you worked on there
- 16 at Apple that had vents that ran part of or all of
- 17 the perimeter of the front of the device?
- 18 A. I don't recall.
- 19 Q. Well, let's -- let's perhaps see if a
- 20 mockup can help refresh your recollection.
- 21 MR. ZELLER: Is this one?
- MS. NEILL: It is, yeah.
- 23 MR. ZELLER: And for the record, Apple's
- 24 counsel was kind enough to bring the mockup. It's a
- 25 three-dimensional tangible item that I will show the

- 1 witness.
- 2 And also when we get to it, I have some
- 3 photographs too that we can -- we can use to make
- 4 sure we have a clear record as to what's being
- 5 discussed.
- 6 So for the record, I'm now handing you the
- 7 mockup.
- 8 Q. And my first question for you is, setting
- 9 aside this particular mockup for a moment, but do
- 10 you recall, generally speaking, seeing from time to
- 11 time one or more mockups of tablet designs back at
- 12 the time when you were working on the designs for a
- 13 tablet computer there at Apple?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you yourself personally participate in
- 16 the creation of the mockups?
- 17 MR. BARTLETT: Objection. Vague.
- 18 THE WITNESS: In the physical building of
- 19 the mockup?
- 20 BY MR. ZELLER:
- Q. Well, let's start there.
- 22 A. We -- well, we have a group of model
- 23 makers that would -- would build our designs that we
- 24 come up with.
- 25 Q. The model shop personnel were the ones who