

# EXHIBIT B

<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>OBJECTIONS</b>
FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE 401/402 (IRRELEVANT)	<b>402</b>
LACKS FOUNDATION	<b>lacks foundation</b>
CALLS FOR SPECULATION	<b>Speculation</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 602 (LACK OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE)	<b>602</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 802 (HEARSAY)	<b>802</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 403 (UNDULY PREJUDICIAL, CONFUSING OR WASTE OF TIME)	<b>403</b>
MISLEADING	<b>Misleading</b>
BEST EVIDENCE	<b>1002</b>
IMPROPER LAY OPINION / IMPROPER EXPERT TESTIMONY BY NON-EXPERT	<b>701</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 611(B) (BEYOND SCOPE OF DESIGNATIONS)	<b>beyond scope of designations</b>
NOT TESTIMONY	<b>not testimony</b>
DESIGNATION INCOMPLETE / INCOMPREHENSIBLE / INCOMPLETE QUESTION / ANSWER	<b>Incomplete</b>
BEYOND SCOPE OF 30(B)(6) NOTICE / QUESTIONS	<b>beyond scope of 30(B)(6)</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 105	<b>105</b>
VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS	<b>Ambiguous</b>
ASKED AND ANSWERED	<b>asked and answered</b>
ARGUMENTATIVE	<b>argumentative</b>
IMPROPER / INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL	<b>hypothetical</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 501 (PRIVILEGE)	<b>501</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 611(C) (LEADING)	<b>611c</b>
COMPOUND	<b>Compound</b>
MISCHARACTERIZATION	<b>mischaracterization</b>
CALLS FOR LEGAL CONCLUSION	<b>legal conclusion</b>
ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE	<b>assumes facts</b>
NON-RESPONSIVE	<b>non-responsive</b>
NARRATIVE	<b>Narrative</b>
OVERLY BROAD	<b>Overbroad</b>
MISSTATES TESTIMONY	<b>misstates testimony</b>
FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 408 (COMPROMISE OFFERS AND NEGOTIATIONS)	<b>408</b>
OBJECTION TO TRANSLATION	<b>translation</b>
LACK OF PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OR COMPETENCY	<b>602</b>
IMPROPER TESTIMONY BY EXPERT WITNESS	<b>702/703</b>

<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>OBJECTIONS</b>
IMPROPER INCLUSION OF MULTIPLE DOCUMENTS AS ONE EXHIBIT/ VIOLATES COURT'S LIMIT ON NUMBER OF EXHIBITS	<b>I</b>
MOTION # 1: EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT NOT TIED TO THE SPECIFIC IP RIGHTS CLAIMED BY APPLE IN THIS ACTION	<b>MIL 1</b>
MOTION #2: EXCLUDE OUT-OF-COURT THIRD-PARTY STATEMENTS ABOUT PURPORTED SIMILARITIES OR PURPORTED CONFUSION	<b>MIL 2</b>
MOTION #3: EXCLUDE ACCUSED DEVICES, CONTENTIONS, THEORIES, AND WITNESSES NOT TIMELY DISCLOSED IN INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS OR INTERROGATORY RESPONSES	<b>MIL 3</b>
MOTION #4: EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO FINDINGS OR RULINGS IN OTHER PROCEEDINGS NOT INVOLVING THE PATENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE	<b>MIL 4</b>
MOTION #5: EXCLUDE DISPUTES AND RULINGS IN THIS ACTION, INCLUDING DISCOVERY DISPUTES AND THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION RULING	<b>MIL 5</b>
MOTION #6: EXCLUDE GENERALIZATIONS REGARDING THE OPERATION OF ACCUSED SAMSUNG PRODUCTS	<b>MIL 6</b>
MOTION # 7: EXCLUDE RESIZED OR ALTERED PHOTOS OF SAMSUNG'S PRODUCTS IN SIDE-BY-SIDE PRODUCT COMPARISONS	<b>MIL 7</b>
MOTION # 8: EXCLUDE ANY EVIDENCE OF PRE-FILING NOTICE OTHER THAN IDENTIFIED IN APPLE'S INTERROGATORY RESPONSE AND PROVISIONALLY EXCLUDE MR. MUSIKA'S OPINIONS ON PRE-FILING DAMAGES UNLESS AND UNTIL APPLE MAKES A <i>PRIMA FACIE</i> SHOWING OF ENTITLEMENT TO SUCH DAMAGES	<b>MIL 8</b>
MOTION #9: EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF SAMSUNG'S OVERALL REVENUES, PROFITS, WEALTH AND VALUE AND EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT THAT SAMSUNG HAS PAID LOWER TAXES THAN IT SHOULD HAVE	<b>MIL 9</b>
UNOPPOSED MOTION# 10: EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT THAT APPLE IS PRESENTLY LICENSED TO THE DECLARED ESSENTIAL PATENTS-IN-SUIT	<b>MIL 10</b>