

1 HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)
 hmcclhinny@mofo.com
 2 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
 mjacobs@mofo.com
 3 RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421)
 rkrevans@mofo.com
 4 JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)
 jtaylor@mofo.com
 5 ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)
 atucher@mofo.com
 6 RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)
 rhung@mofo.com
 7 JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)
 jasonbartlett@mofo.com
 8 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 425 Market Street
 9 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
 Telephone: (415) 268-7000
 10 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

WILLIAM F. LEE
 william.lee@wilmerhale.com
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP
 60 State Street
 Boston, MA 02109
 Telephone: (617) 526-6000
 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000

MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)
 mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP
 950 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, California 94304
 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

11
 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff and
 Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.

13
 14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 APPLE INC., a California corporation,
 19 Plaintiff,
 20 v.
 21 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
 Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
 22 AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; and
 SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
 23 AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability
 company,
 24 Defendants.
 25

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
**APPLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
 REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RENEWED
 MOTION TO SEAL**

26
 27
 28

1 In accordance with Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 7-11, Apple
2 respectfully moves the Court for leave to file a Reply in Support of its Renewed Motion to Seal.

3 Third party Reuters America LLC filed a response opposing both parties' renewed
4 motions to seal. (Dkt. No. 1330.) In light of the extremely sensitive nature of the documents at
5 issue and the implications on the rights of third parties, and to ensure completeness of the record
6 in advance of the July 27 hearing, Apple respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion so
7 that Apple may have a fair opportunity to respond to Reuters' contentions. A copy of the
8 proposed reply is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9
10 Dated: July 27, 2012

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

11
12 By: /s/ Michael A. Jacobs
13 Michael A. Jacobs
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 APPLE INC.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28