Exhibit 1

```
Page 1
1
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
    APPLE INC., a California
    Corporation,
                                   §
5
                                   Ş
          Plaintiff,
                                   §
    Vs.
                                   §
                                          Case No.
7
                                   §
                                      11-CV-01846-LHK
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
    LTD., a Korean business
    entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS §
    AMERICA, INC., a New York
                                   Ş
10
    corporation; SAMSUNG
                                   S
     TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
                                   §
11
    LLC, a Delaware limited
                                   Ş
     liability company,
                                   Ş
12
                                   §
          Defendants.
13
14
                    HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
                UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
15
16
17
              DEPOSITION OF JUSTIN DENISON
                       Dallas, Texas
18
              Wednesday, September 21st, 2011
19
20
21
22
    Reported by:
23
    Daniel J. Skur, Notary Public and CSR
24
    JOB NO. 41964
25
```

Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape 1 in the 3 video deposition of Justin Denison. Today is Wednesday, September 21st, 2011. now on record at approximately 9:36 a.m. Will the attorneys please introduce 7 themselves for the record. MR. HUNG: Richard Hung of Morrison & Foerster on behalf of Apple, Inc. 10 me today is Diana Kruze, also of Morrison & 11 Foerster and also for Apple. 12 MS. MAROULIS: Victoria Maroulis 13 with Quinn Emanuel, counsel for Samsung, 14 and with me is Mark Tung of Quinn Emanuel, 15 Cindy Moreland of STA, and we have an 16 interpreter, Ann Park. 17 JUSTIN DENISON, 18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. HUNG: 21 Morning, Mr. Denison. 0. 22 Α. Morning. 23 MR. HUNG: Before we get started, I 24 did have a discussion with Ms. Maroulis, 25 and I just wanted to note a couple of

Page 33

- A. Outside of the name that I've
- already offered, I don't believe that there
- 3 are -- is anyone else other than Cindy Moreland
- 4 who is the general counsel of STA and is
- ⁵ present today. I've not referred to her
- directly, but the Quinn Emanuel attorney
- ⁷ Margaret --
- 8 MS. MAROULIS: Caruso.
- A. -- Caruso, thank you, and Quinn
- 10 Emanuel attorney Mark Tung, who is seated here
- 11 today as well.
- 12 BY MR. HUNG:
- Q. Did you have a separate meeting with
- the individuals to whom you just referred apart
- 15 from the conversations with the individuals
- listed on Exhibit 225?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. When did that meeting occur?
- A. I have had several meetings with the
- Quinn Emanuel attorneys to prepare for this
- deposition, the first of which occurred last
- week, and the most recent of which occurred
- yesterday, not counting this morning.
- Q. Again, I don't want to know what you
- discussed. I just want to know how long those

Page 34

- 1 meetings were. How long were those meetings in
- ² aggregate?
- 3 A. In aggregate, I would estimate that
- ⁴ I spent two to three full days in discussions
- 5 with the Quinn Emanuel attorneys.
- ⁶ Q. Is this separate and apart from the
- ⁷ 10 to 12 hours that you testified you spent
- 8 speaking with the individuals listed on Exhibit
- 9 225?
- MS. MAROULIS: Objection, vague.
- A. As I understand the question,
- outside of the teleconference time I spent with
- the individuals that are on this sheet of
- paper, yes, the time frame I gave you is
- ¹⁵ approximate.
- 16 BY MR. HUNG:
- Q. Okay. Did those meetings occur in
- 18 Dallas?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- 0. The Dallas area?
- A. The meetings -- the meetings with
- the Quinn Emanuel attorneys occurred in the
- ²³ Dallas area.
- MS. MAROULIS: I think he's talking
- about Richardson.

Page 135

- hypothetical, beyond the scope.
- 2 BY MR. HUNG:
- 3 Q. What steps does Samsung take, if
- any, to ensure that its employees do not copy
- 5 Apple's designs in developing its Android smart
- 6 phones?
- MS. MAROULIS: Objection, beyond the
- 8 scope to the extent it goes beyond products
- ⁹ at issue.
- 10 A. When conferring with the designers
- 11 for the products at issue, in all cases I
- specifically asked them if they had considered
- or studied or drawn direct comparisons or what
- have you versus the relevant Apple products,
- whether it be tablet or smart phone in either
- case, and in each case the designers said that
- 17 they had not.
- Q. Did you ask them whether they had
- used -- let's focus first on the Infuse 4G.
- Did you ask the designers on the Infuse 4G
- 21 whether they had used any Apple product as
- inspiration for the design of the Infuse 4G?
- MS. MAROULIS: Objection, vague,
- asked and answered.
- A. I specifically asked the engineers

```
Page 341
    CERTIFICATE
    STATE OF TEXAS
3
    COUNTY OF DALLAS
5
                I, Daniel J. Skur, a Notary Public
6
         within and for the State of Texas, do
         hereby certify:
                That JUSTIN DENISON, the witness
         whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth,
10
         was duly sworn by me and that such
11
         deposition is a true record of the
12
          testimony given by such witness.
13
                I further certify that I am not
14
          related to any of the parties to this
15
          action by blood or marriage; and that I am
16
          in no way interested in the outcome of this
17
         matter.
18
                IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19
          set my hand this 21st day of September,
20
          2011.
21
22
                Daniel J. Skur
23
                Notary Public, State of Texas.
                My Commission Expires 7/10/2014
24
25
```