# **EXHIBIT 1**

1 2	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	AN, LLP
3	San Francisco, California 94111	
4	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)	
7	victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
	Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139	
8	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
9	Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)	
10	michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com	
11	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017	
	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
12	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
13	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA	
14	INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
15	TELECOVINICIATIONS ANVIEWER, ELE	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18		
19	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
20	Plaintiff,	SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS TO APPLE'S NOTICE OF RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION
21	vs.	OF SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. RELATING TO APPLE'S MOTION
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
23	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	
24	York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
25		
26	Defendant.	
27		
2/		
, v I	1	

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJ. TO APPLE'S NOTICE OF RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION

In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Samsung") hereby serves its objections to the Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Samsung Relating to Apple's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction served by Apple Inc. ("Apple") on August 26, 2011.

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Samsung makes the following general responses and objections ("General Objections") to each deposition topic listed in Apple's notice of deposition. These General Objections are hereby incorporated into each specific response. The assertion of the same, similar, or additional objections or partial responses to the individual deposition topics does not waive any of Samsung's General Objections. Samsung reserves its right to object to any questions asked of any deponent during a deposition.

- 1. Samsung objects to the designation of Morrison & Foerster LLP's San Francisco, California, offices as the location for the deposition and to the deposition as "continu[ing] day-to-day until completed." Samsung will confer with Apple on mutually-agreeable date(s), location(s), and times for the deposition.
- 2. Samsung objects to the "Definitions" contained in Apple's Notice of Rule 30(B)(6) Deposition of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. Relating to Apple's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction to the extent they are inconsistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Samsung objects to Apple's Definition of "Samsung," "You," "Your," and "Defendants" as overly broad to the extent it requires Samsung to pursue information from individuals no longer employed by Samsung whose data is not currently in the possession of Samsung. Samsung further objects to Apple's Definition of "Samsung," "You," "Your," and "Defendants" as overly broad, vague, and ambiguous to the extent it does not define "affiliates," and also to the extent that it requires Samsung to potentially seek information from thousands of people. Samsung will respond to interrogatories based on a reasonable inquiry of individuals expected to possess the requested information.
  - 4. Samsung objects to Apple's definition of "Products at Issue" as overly broad and

neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, insofar as it seeks information about these products "as released anywhere in the world" and is not limited to products sold in the United States.

- 5. Samsung objects to Apple's definition of "Hardware Design" as overly broad, vague, and ambiguous insofar as it includes "all hardware, insignia or ornamentation thereon."
- 6. Samsung objects to Apple's definition of "Relating," and each and every interrogatory that uses the term "Relating," as overly broad, vague and ambiguous.
- 7. Samsung further objects to this notice as improperly delayed. Apple has known about the Court's discovery schedule relating to Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction since July 18, 2011. While Apple had the opportunity to serve this notice at an earlier time, it waited until the last possible date under the Court's Order to serve this deposition notice, along with interrogatories and over 60 additional document requests. This notice seeks information that Apple could have requested at a much earlier date. None of the topics below are dependent on any arguments raised in Samsung's Opposition to Apple's preliminary injunction. Therefore, Samsung objects to Apple's bad faith in delaying service of this deposition notice.

Subject to the foregoing qualifications and General Objections and the specific objections made below, Samsung objects and responds to Apple's Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Samsung Relating to Apple's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction as follows:

#### **TOPICS**

#### **TOPIC NO. 1:**

Samsung's imitation, copying, or emulation of any Apple product in developing, creating, or designing any of the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 1:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the topic as vague and ambiguous; for example, the terms "imitation, copying or

emulation" are vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to this topic as oppressive and harassing inasmuch as it improperly and without basis implies Samsung engaged in copying and other such activity. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks information from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion and relating only to the following "Apple product(s)": the Apple iPhone or iPad products.

## **TOPIC NO. 2**:

The development and/or design of the Hardware Design of the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 2:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the topic as vague and ambiguous; for example, the terms "Hardware Design" is vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to development or design activities relating to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion.

#### **TOPIC NO. 3:**

The identity of the individuals involved in the development and/or design of the Hardware Design of the Products at Issue and the roles and responsibilities of each.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 3:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the topic as vague and ambiguous; for example, the term "Hardware Design" is vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to activities relating to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion.

#### **TOPIC NO. 4:**

The identity of the individuals involved in marketing the Products at Issue and the roles and responsibilities of each.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 4:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13 **TOPIC NO. 5:** 

Products at Issue.

# 14

The development and/or design of features in the Products at Issue relating to (1) the functionality that allows for a list to be scrolled beyond its terminus or a document to be translated beyond its edge until the list or document is partially displayed; and (2) functionality that allows for a list that is scrolled beyond its terminus to scroll back or bounce back into place or for a document that is translated beyond its edge to translate back or bounce back so that the list or document returns to fill the screen.

attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the

common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further

objects to the topic as vague and ambiguous; for example, the term "marketing" is vague and

ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not

relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it

information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this

topic, limited to identifying the primary individuals responsible for activities relating to the

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any

seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 5:**

Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, or overly broad with regard to the terms "scrolled beyond its terminus" or "translated beyond its edge" or "translate back" or "functionality." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the

# 

discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to activities relating to the Products at Issue.

#### **TOPIC NO. 6:**

Aesthetic, functional, and cost considerations that affected, constrained, or altered the Hardware Design of the Galaxy S 4G, Infuse 4G, and Galaxy Tab 10.1.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 6:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the terms "aesthetic, functional, and cost considerations" or "affected, constrained, or altered" or "Hardware Design." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to activities relating to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion.

#### **TOPIC NO. 7:**

Alternative Hardware Designs considered by Samsung during the development of the Galaxy S 4G, Infuse 4G, and Galaxy Tab 10.1.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 7:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "Hardware Designs." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion.

#### **TOPIC NO. 8:**

Alternative user interfaces considered by Samsung during the development of the Galaxy S 4G, Infuse 4G, Droid Charge, and Galaxy Tab 10.1.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 8:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "user interfaces." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it

seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion.

#### **TOPIC NO. 9:**

Any reference to or consideration of an Apple product during the design of the Products at Issue.

### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 9:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the terms "reference to" or "consideration." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its

preliminary injunction motion and relating only to the following "Apple product(s)": the Apple iPhone or iPad products. 2

#### TOPIC NO. 10:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

Your awareness of any of the Patents at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 10:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the term "awareness." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will meet and confer with Apple regarding what testimony Apple seeks with this topic, and how that testimony is relevant to Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

#### TOPIC NO. 11:

Your analysis, review, consideration, or copying of, or comparison against, any Apple product or product feature with respect to any features of the Products at Issue, including (1) their Hardware Design; (2) the functionality that allows for a list to be scrolled beyond its terminus or a document to be translated beyond its edge until the list or document is partially displayed; and (3) functionality that allows for a list that is scrolled beyond its terminus to scroll back or bounce back into place or for a document that is translated beyond its edge to translate back or bounce back so that the list or document returns to fill the screen.

28

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 11:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the terms "analysis, review, consideration," "Hardware Design," "scrolled beyond its terminus," "translated beyond its edge," or "functionality." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to this topic as oppressive and harassing inasmuch as it implies Samsung engaged in copying and other such activity. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motionand relating only to the following "Apple product(s)": the Apple iPhone or iPad products.

#### **TOPIC NO. 12:**

Your communications with Apple relating to the Patents at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 12:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks information that are not relevant to the claims or

defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks communications equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks information from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic.

#### **TOPIC NO. 13:**

Your communications with Apple relating to the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 13:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks information that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information regarding products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks communications equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks information from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the features in the Products at Issue that Apple has accused of infringement in its preliminary injunction motion.

#### **TOPIC NO. 14:**

Any customer surveys, market studies, market analyses, or other investigations conducted by Samsung or on behalf of Samsung relating to the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 14:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the terms "market studies" or "market analyses" or "investigations." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will meet and confer with Apple regarding what testimony Apple seeks with this topic, and how that testimony is relevant to Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

#### **TOPIC NO. 15**:

Any reference to Apple or Apple products in advertising of the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 15:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will meet and confer with Apple regarding what testimony Apple seeks with this topic, and how that testimony is relevant to Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible

to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation.

Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time

period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a

preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it

seeks publicly available information equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung.

Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to

products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary

evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining

#### **TOPIC NO. 16:**

injunction.

Your identification or analysis of the market or markets to which Samsung intends to sell the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 16:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the terms "analysis" or "market or markets." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in

# **TOPIC NO. 17**:

topic.

Samsung's smartphones [sic] and tablet computer market share.

that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time

outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung

further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released

information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 17:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this topic, limited to the Products at Issue.

#### **TOPIC NO. 18:**

Any instances of consumer confusion in which Samsung was made aware that a person confused an Apple product for a Product at Issue, or a Product at Issue for an Apple product.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 18:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the term "customer confusion." Samsung further objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will meet and confer with Apple regarding what testimony Apple seeks with this topic, and how that testimony is relevant to Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.

### **TOPIC NO. 19:**

Marketing and promotion of the Products at Issue.

#### **RESPONSE TO TOPIC NO. 19:**

In addition to its General Objections, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this topic to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the

1	common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung objects to		
2	this Request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous or overly broad with regard to the terms		
3	"marketing" or "promotion." Samsung further objects to the topic to the extent it seeks		
4	information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably		
5	calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the topic as		
6	overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products not at issue in Apple's motion for a		
7	preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung further objects to the topic as overbroad in		
8	that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time		
9	periods not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction and/or this litigation. Samsung		
10	further objects to the topic as overbroad in that it seeks information pertaining to products released		
11	outside the U.S., which are not at issue in Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction.		
12	Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any		
13	information responsive to the topic exists, Samsung will designate one or more witnesses on this		
14	topic, limited to general marketing and promotion of the Products at Issue.		
15	DATED: August 31, 2011 Respectfully submitted,		
16	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &		
17	SULLIVAN, LLP		
18			
19	By /s/ Victoria Maroulis		
20	Charles K. Verhoeven Kevin P.B. Johnson		
21	Victoria F. Maroulis Michael T. Zeller		
22	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,		
23	INC. and SAMSUNG		
24	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC		
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I hereby certify that on August 31, 2011, I caused SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS TO		
3	APPLE'S NOTICE OF RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS		
4	CO., LTD. RELATING TO APPLE'S MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION to		
5	be electronically served on the following via email:		
6	ATTORNEYS FOR APPLE INC.		
7 8 9 10 11	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS mjacobs@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER atucher@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT		
13 14 15	jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522		
16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM F. LEE william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000  MARK D. SELWYN		
21   22   23   24	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100		
25 26	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in		
27   28	Redwood Shores, California on August 31, 2011.  /s/ Melissa N. Chan		

-1- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJ. TO APPLE'S NOTICE OF RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION