1 2 3 4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIV Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	AN, LLP
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603) victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com	
7	555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5 th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065	
8	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
9	Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)	
10	michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor	
11	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
12	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
13	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS	
14		
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
19	Plaintiff,	SAMSUNG'S PROFFER REGARDING
20	VS.	DOCUMENTS DEMONSTRATING INDEPENDENT CREATION AND THAT
21	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	REBUT ALLEGATIONS OF COPYING
22	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG	
23	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
02198.51855/4881324.9		Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
		CUMENTS DEMONSTRATING INDEPENDENT D THAT REBUT ALLEGATIONS OF COPYING

1 In its Order denying Apple's Motion *In Limine* No. 3, this Court held that the very 2 documents in Samsung Opening slides 11-19 – images of Samsung's internal 2006 phone and 3 internal designs contained in trial exhibits 526, 522, and 625 -- are admissible for purposes other than as invalidating prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102, including to "rebut an allegation of copying." 4 5 Dkt. 1267, at 3. The Court should again hold that this very same evidence may be used for purposes of showing lack of copying and lack of willfulness. Samsung has argued since the very 6 7 beginning of this case that its story showing its independent creation of its own designs is highly 8 relevant to rebut allegations of copying and willfulness. In opposing Apple's PI Motion, 9 Samsung argued that in December 2006, the month before the iPhone was first announced, 10 Samsung filed a design patent application in Korea for a phone with the same type of design features Apple asserts here. See Dkt. 172, ¶ 103; TX 1086. Samsung discussed the design of 11 the F700 in its PI Opposition as evidence of Samsung's independent creation. Dkt. 181a, at 4. 12 13 Additional images of the F700 and Samsung's related internal models for that design were timely 14 produced to Apple on February 3, 2012, and Apple deposed the F700's principal designer, Hyoung Shin Park, on February 29, 2012. Apple questioned Ms. Park at length about the 15 16 development of the F700 design, including the time period in which F700 was developed, the 17 nature of the project, the inspiration for the phone designs, and the additional designs that were 18 created during the project. As this Court has recognized, Samsung's evidence is relevant to 19 establish independent creation and to rebut allegations of copying: purposes that are separate from any non-infringement theory.¹ Samsung opening Slides 11-19 are only offered for purposes 20 21 of proving its long-disclosed theories of independent creation, lack of copying and lack of 22 willfulness, and not for section 102 invalidity. 23 24 25 See, e.g., Goodyear Tire v. Hercules Tire, 162 F.3d 1113, 1121 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 26 ("Although Hercules does not deny that it intended to appropriate the general appearance of the Goodyear tire, Hercules argues that it made changes sufficient to avoid infringement. The district 27 court agreed."). 28 02198.51855/4881304.1 Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S PROFFER REGARDING DOCUMENTS DEMONSTRATING INDEPENDENT

1		INN EMANUEL URQUHART & LLIVAN, LLP
2		
3	E	By <u>/s/ Victoria F. Maroulis</u> Charles K. Verhoeven
4		Victoria F. Maroulis Kevin P.B. Johnson
5		Michael T. Zeller
6		Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
7		AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
02198.51855/4881304.1		-2- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK IG DOCUMENTS DEMONSTRATING INDEPENDENT
	CREATIO	ON AND THAT REBUT ALLEGATIONS OF COPYING