circumstances and I make my declaration based on such knowledge.

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. In December 2003, Siemens AG and Samsung Electronics Co. LTD. ("Samsung") entered into a written Patent License Agreement ("the Agreement"). (A true and correct redacted copy of the Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 3. While the fact that the parties entered into the Agreement is not confidential, the Agreement contains confidentiality obligations wherein each party's ability to disclose the terms of the Agreement is restricted, absent the other party's consent. [Exh. A, Sect. 7.]
- 4. I understand that trial counsel for Samsung intends to introduce into evidence both the Agreement and a summary of its key terms as Trial Exhibit 77. (A true and correct copy of a redacted version of the summary of key terms is attached hereto as Exhibit B.) Such an introduction would necessarily involve the disclosure of confidential terms of the Agreement.
- I also understand that Trial Exhibit 77 will disclose at least the term or expiration of the Agreement, the monetary consideration paid for the license rights granted, as well as the technological scope of the license. Siemens AG considers this type of information to be highly confidential, and amongst its most sensitive business information.
- 6. Siemens AG owns one of the largest and most important patent portfolios in the world. I understand from the Siemens AG website that Siemens AG holds approximately 58,000 patents worldwide. [http://www.siemens.com/corporate-technology/en/patents-andstandards/index.htm.] The protection, utilization, and expansion of Siemens AG's intellectual property rights are vital to its success. *Id.*
- 7. Siemens AG is in constant negotiations with multiple companies regarding patent licenses, including relating to the technology at issue in the Agreement (as I understand it). Siemens AG's ability to negotiate these licenses on competitive terms would be severely hampered if the confidential terms of its existing license agreements were made public. This is especially true where, as in the Agreement here, the confidential terms relate to the term of the license, unexpired patents or patents for which there remains an enforceable term, the monetary consideration paid for the license rights granted, and/or the technological scope of the license.

1

2

3

4

5

- 8. Given the extreme importance and sensitivity of this information, I believe that Siemens AG would be severely harmed should the information contained in Trial Exhibit 77 become public without redactions.
- 9. Redacted versions of the Agreement and the summary of its key terms are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively. Siemens AG has no objection to these redacted versions being displayed publicly, so long as the redacted information is only submitted under seal.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

July 30, 2012.

Frank J. Nuzzi* Frank J. Nuzzi

*Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, John P. Bovich hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.