1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781) hmcelhinny@mofo.com	WILLIAM F. LEE william.lee@wilmerhale.com
2	MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) mjacobs@mofo.com	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
3	RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421) rkrevans@mofo.com	60 State Street Boston, MA 02109
4	JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368) jtaylor@mofo.com	Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000
5	ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363) atucher@mofo.com	
6	RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425) rhung@mofo.com	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180) mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com
7	JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530) jasonbartlett@mofo.com	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
8	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304
9	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
10	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
16		
17	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
18	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF EXHIBIT G TO DECLARATION
19	V.	OF MICHEL MAHARBIZ IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S
20	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
21	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG	JUDGMENT UNDER L.R. 79-5(E)
22	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Notice of Withdrawal of Exhibit Under L.R. 79-5(e) Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK sf-3178462	

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e), Apple hereby withdraws Exhibit G to the 2 Declaration of Michel Maharbiz in Support of Apple's Opposition to Samsung's Motion for 3 Summary Judgment ("Exhibit G"). L.R. 79-5(e) specifies that if a motion to seal is denied, "the submitting party may retain 4 5 the document and not make it part of the record in the case[.]" See also Tessera, Inc. v. 6 Qualcomm, Inc., No. C 12-692 CW, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85669, at \*7 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 7 2012) (denying motion to seal and ordering party to file in public record or withdraw exhibit); 8 Centrify Corp. v. Quest Software, Inc., No. C 10-3873 CW, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 148061, at \*3 9 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 23, 2011) (same). Apple filed a motion to seal Exhibit G on June 1, 2012 (Dkt. 10 No. 1013), which the Court denied on July 17, 2012 with leave to file a renewed motion to seal 11 (Dkt. No. 1256.) Exhibit G is no longer relevant to the case, as it pertained to claims which have been voluntarily dismissed (Dkt. No. 1178.) Apple, as the submitting party, will retain the 12 13 document and not make it a part of the record in the case. MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP Dated: August 2, 2012 14 15 /s/ Jason R. Bartlett By: 16 JASON R. BARTLETT 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff APPLE INC. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28