1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781) hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) mjacobs@mofo.com RACHEL KREVANS (CA SBN 116421) rkrevans@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368) jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363) atucher@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425) rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)	WILLIAM F. LEE william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000 MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180) mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
	jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road
8	425 Market Street	Palo Alto, California 94304
9 10	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
11		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.	
13	Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
17	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)
18	Plaintiff,	APPLE'S MOTION REGARDING SEALING ISSUES RELATED TO
19	V.	AUGUST 3 WITNESS EXAMINATIONS
20	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG	
21	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; and SAMSUNG	
22	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
27 28		

CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG)

Apple has two motions to seal pending before the Court: (1) a Motion to Seal Prior
Motions and Exhibits Thereto (Dkt. No. 1499) and (2) a Motion to Seal Confidential Trial
Exhibits (Dkt. No. 1495). These motions provide a particularized, document-by-document
showing of good cause and compelling reasons for sealing of Apple's most competitively
sensitive information. Along with these motions, Apple submitted declarations from several of its
executives attesting to the value of this information, the efforts Apple has undertaken to maintain
its secrecy, and the harm that Apple would suffer if it were publicly disclosed.

<u>Tomorrow</u>, August 3, Samsung intends to use four documents that are subject to Apple's
 Motion to Seal Confidential Trial Exhibits – PX102, PX103, DX617, and DX767 – during its
 cross-examination of Philip Schiller, Apple's Senior Vice President of Worldwide Marketing.¹ In
 addition, Samsung untimely disclosed (at 9:23 p.m. on August 2) four more Schiller cross examination exhibits (DX534 and DX774-76) which are similarly subject to Apple's pending
 motion. Apple objected to this late amendment to Samsung's list.

Without further action, these highly confidential exhibits will be publicly disclosed,
causing severe harm to Apple. Apple has sought to avoid that harm without further burdening the
Court and has been diligently negotiating a stipulation with Samsung regarding use of the parties'
confidential documents, including PX102, PX103, DX617, and DX767. Apple submitted a
detailed proposal to Samsung on July 26. Since that time, the parties have met and conferred
(including meetings in person) and have exchanged several drafts.

One part of Apple's proposal has been that, for certain sensitive exhibits, only the portions shown to the jury during examinations of witnesses would come into evidence. To illustrate this proposal, Apple sent Samsung excerpted versions of DX617 and DX767 containing only pages discussed in DX701, a Samsung summary exhibit pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 1006. By placing into the record only the few relevant pages of what are now exhibits containing hundreds of pages, Apple's proposal would lessen the burdens on the jury and the Court and would balance

26

28

27

¹ Appendix A to this Notice is a chart describing PX102, PX103, DX617, and DX767 and identifying the portions of Apple's motions to seal that relate to these four exhibits.

1	the public interest in access to court records and Apple's interest in maintaining secrecy of its	
2	valuable trade secrets. The parties have been unable to reach agreement, however.	
3	Apple therefore requests that the Court issue an order accepting Apple's proposed	
4	redactions of PX102 and PX103, which it lodged with the Court Tuesday morning, July 31, and	
5	directing Samsung to enter into evidence only those portions of DX617 and DX767 that it	
6	reasonably intends to use during its cross-examination of Mr. Schiller. ²	
7	In the event that the Court denies either or both of Apple's motions to seal, Apple asks	
8	that the Court stay any order requiring public filing of Apple's confidential exhibits for five days	
9	so that Apple may seek relief.	
10	Dated: August 2, 2012MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
11		
12	By: <u>/s/ Michael A. Jacobs</u>	
13	Michael A. Jacobs	
14	Attorneys for APPLE INC.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	² If the Court allows Samsung's untimely supplementation of its examination list to include DX534 and DX774-76, Apple requests that only excerpted portions of those documents	
27	be entered into evidence as well.	
28		

Apple's Motion Regarding Sealing Issues Related to August 3 Witness Examinations Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)