

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)
 2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 3 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
 4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)
 kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
 6 Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)
 victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com
 7 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor
 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 8 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

9 Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
 10 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com
 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
 11 Los Angeles, California 90017
 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 12 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

13 Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
 Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung
 14 Telecommunications America, LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 APPLE INC., a California corporation,
 18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
 21 Korean business entity; SAMSUNG
 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a
 22 New York corporation; SAMSUNG
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS
 23 AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability
 company,
 24 Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK

**SAMSUNG'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
 EXCEED PAGE LIMIT IN SAMSUNG'S
 OPPOSITION TO APPLE'S MOTION
 FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Date: October 13, 2011
 Time: 1:30 pm
 Place: Courtroom 8, 4th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 In accordance with Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 7-11, Samsung
2 Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications
3 America, LLC (collectively “Samsung”) respectfully move the Court for leave to exceed the page
4 limit under Local Rule 7-3(a) for Samsung’s Opposition to Apple’s Motion for a Preliminary
5 Injunction (“Motion”). Apple does not oppose Samsung’s request to exceed the page limit by 15
6 pages, as reflected in Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Granting Samsung Leave to Exceed Page
7 Limit in Samsung’s Opposition to Apple’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, filed August 22,
8 2011.

9 To demonstrate that Apple is not entitled to a preliminary injunction, Samsung’s
10 opposition brief includes numerous arguments on the invalidity and non-infringement of Apple’s
11 patents that have been asserted in Apple’s complaint in this action and in Apple’s Motion.
12 Samsung’s opposition brief also includes various demonstrative images regarding products
13 relevant to those arguments. Samsung requires additional pages to be able to fully address
14 Apple’s Motion and to include these demonstrative images.

15 Samsung therefore respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to exceed the page limit
16 for its opposition brief by 15 pages.

17

18 DATED: August 22, 2011

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

19

20

21

By /s/ Victoria F. Maroulis
Charles K. Verhoeven
Kevin P.B. Johnson
Victoria F. Maroulis
Michael T. Zeller
Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC

22

23

24

25

26

27

28