1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE	E DIVISION
11	APPLE INC.,) Case No.: C 11-1846 LHK (PSG)
12	Plaintiff, v.	 FURTHER ORDER RE: ATTORNEY ADMISSION
13	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, a) (Re: Docket Nos. 1604, 1623)
14	Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York	
15	corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,))
16 17	Defendants.)))
18	The court has reviewed counsel's declaration	tion in response to the court's question about her
19	standing to practice in this court. This declaration	n makes clear that the court's records were in fact
20	accurate that counsel was not admitted in this dis	trict at the time she presented argument in support
21	of her client's motion for an adverse inference ju	ry instruction.
22	The court has previously alluded to the in	nportance of bar admission in this district. And yet
23	it is not lost on the court that sometimes even hig	hly-skilled advocates simply make mistakes
24	without any nefarious intent. Counsel's declaration	on, with its explanation and apology, persuades
25	the court that this is one of those times. Under su	ch circumstances, a further sanction would serve
26	no meaningful purpose. The court will simply trust that going forward compliance with the local	
27	rules of this court and especially the orders of the	e presiding judge will not be an issue.
28		
		1

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

> Case No.: 11-1846 LHK (PSG) FURTHER ORDER RE: ATTORNEY ADMISSION

1	We do pay attention to these things.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	August 16, 2012
4	/s/
5	PAUL S. GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2 Case No.: 11-1846 LHK (PSG) FURTHER ORDER RE: ATTORNEY ADMISSION

United States District Court For the Northern District of California