Dockets.Justia.com

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK		
12	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,)v.)ORDER RE: INDEFINITENESS		
13	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,		
14	a Korean corporation;) SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,)		
15	a New York corporation;) SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS) AMERICA, LLC,)		
16	a Delaware limited liability company,		
17	Defendants and Counterclaimants.		
18	Indefiniteness is a legal issue for the Court. Telcordia Techs., Inc. v. Cisco Sys., 612 F.3d		
19	1365, 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2010); Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instruments Inc., 520		
20	F.3d 1367, 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2008). The Court will not seek an advisory verdict on indefiniteness		
21	from the jury. Accordingly, the Court will not issue Samsung's Proposed Final Jury Instruction		
22	No. 19.1: Utility Patents – Indefiniteness. Moreover, Samsung may not argue that claim 50 of the		
23	'163 Patent is indefinite. However, Samsung may argue that the meaning of "substantially		
24	centered" is relevant to non-infringement, lack of written description, and invalidity theories other		
25	than indefiniteness.		
26			
27			
28	1		
	Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK ORDER RE: INDEFINITENESS		

1	Apple seeks a curative jury instruction to disregard testimony as to indefiniteness. Such a		
2	curative instruction would lead the jury to disregard relevant evidence and thus prejudice Samsung.		
3	Accordingly, the Court denies Apple's request for a curative instruction.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	JIVI	
5	Dated: August 20, 2012	Jucy H. Koh	
6		LUCY HOROH United States District Judge	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK ORDER RE: INDEFINITENESS	2	

l