

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC.,)	Case No.: C 11-1846 LHK (PSG)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER RE: AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS
v.)	
)	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, a)	(Re: Docket Nos. 880, 906)
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG)	
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York)	
corporation; and SAMSUNG)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,)	
a Delaware limited liability company,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

In this patent infringement suit, Plaintiff Apple Inc. (“Apple”) has filed an accounting of attorney’s fees and costs to be paid by Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., LTD., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively “Samsung”). Apple’s filing follows from this court’s April 23, 2012 order (“April 23 Order”) granting-in-part Apple’s motion to compel Samsung to produce documents.¹ The April 23 Order granted-in-part Apple’s request for attorney’s fees and costs that Apple incurred in connection with bringing certain discovery motions.²

¹ See Docket No. 880 (Order).

² See *id.* at 9.

1 Apple claims \$116,669.00 in total fees and costs, charged by four partners, four associates,
2 one “of counsel,” and one paralegal, with a median partner billing rate of \$582.00, and a median
3 associate billing rate of \$398.00.³ Apple then divides \$116,669.00 by four—\$29,167.00—in light
4 of the court’s order stating that Apple is not entitled to its total fees and costs.⁴

5 Before the court can determine exactly how much money Samsung owes, it requires
6 additional documentation detailing each individual Morrison & Foerster attorney’s billing rate. The
7 court also requires a description or breakdown of the hours each attorney billed by task. Apple
8 shall provide this information no later than August 30, 2012.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: August 26, 2012

11 
12 PAUL S. GREWAL
13 United States Magistrate Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ³ See Docket No. 906.

28 ⁴ See Docket No. 880 (Order) at 9.