

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)
 2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 3 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
 4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)
 kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
 6 Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)
 victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com
 7 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor
 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 8 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

9 Edward J. DeFranco (Cal. Bar No.165596)
 10 eddefranco@quinnemanuel.com
 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
 11 New York, New York 10010
 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
 12 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

13 Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com
 14 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90017
 15 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

16 Attorneys for Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
 17 and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

20 APPLE INC., a California corporation,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
 24 Korean business entity; SAMSUNG
 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New
 25 York corporation; SAMSUNG
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
 26 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

27 Defendants.

CASE NO. 4:11-cv-01846-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SHORTEN
 TIME FOR BRIEFING AND HEARING
 ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
 EXPEDITE DISCOVERY**

Date: April 26, 2011
 Time:
 Courtroom 4, 5th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 Before the Court is Plaintiff Apple Inc.’s (“Apple”) Motion to Shorten Time for Briefing
2 and Hearing on Plaintiff’s Motion to Expedite Discovery (“Motion to Shorten Time”).

3 Having considered Apple’s Motion to Shorten Time, all declarations cited therein, and all
4 pleadings and other papers in support thereof; and the opposition filed by Samsung Electronics
5 America, Inc. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, all declarations cited therein, and
6 all pleadings and other papers in support thereof; the Court concludes that good cause does not
7 exist for Apple’s motion. The Court therefore DENIES Apple’s Motion to Shorten Time.

8 Pursuant to the Reassignment Order in this case (D.N. 19), Apple shall re-notice its Motion
9 to Expedite Discovery following the procedures set forth in the San Jose Judges’ Standing Order.
10 The briefing and hearing schedule for Apple’s Motion to Expedite Discovery shall proceed
11 thereafter according to the normal schedule prescribed by the Civil Local Rules of this Court.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April __, 2011

The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
United States District Court Judge