

1 motion on the same day. ECF No. 275. After considering the arguments of the parties, Apple's
2 request to increase the page limit on the reply brief by 15 pages is hereby GRANTED.

3 To the extent that Samsung wishes to object to Apple's use of non-rebuttal evidence in
4 Apple's reply brief, Samsung may file a brief, **no more than 3 pages by October 5**, objecting to
5 any use of non-rebuttal evidence in Apple's reply brief. The Court will disregard any substantive
6 sur-reply arguments raised by Samsung. The Court will not accept any further briefing from either
7 party.

8 II. Motions of Amicus Curiae

9 Cellco Partnership dba Verizon Wireless ("Verizon") filed a motion seeking leave to file an
10 amicus curiae brief on September 23, 2011. ECF No. 256. Apple filed an opposition to Verizon's
11 motion on September 27, 2011. ECF No. 262. Verizon filed a reply on September 29, 2011. ECF
12 No. 270. After considering the arguments of the parties, Verizon's motion for leave to file an
13 amicus curiae brief is hereby GRANTED. Verizon's brief, filed at ECF No. 257, is deemed
14 submitted.

15 On September 28, 2011, T-Mobile filed a motion seeking leave to file an amicus curiae
16 brief, and to appear and argue at the October 13, 2011 preliminary injunction hearing. ECF No.
17 263. Apple filed an opposition to T-Mobile's motion on September 27, 2011. ECF No. 262. After
18 considering the arguments of the parties, T-Mobile's motion for leave to file an amicus curiae brief
19 is hereby GRANTED, in part, and DENIED, in part.¹ T-Mobile's motion, to the extent that it seeks
20 leave to file an amicus brief, is GRANTED and deemed submitted. ECF No. 264. To the extent,
21 however, that T-Mobile seeks to appear and argue at the October 13, 2011 hearing, T-Mobile's
22 request is DENIED. T-Mobile is not a party to this litigation, and the attorneys for Samsung and
23 Apple are fully capable of arguing the issues without the assistance of third party counsel.

24 Apple's request to reply to the amicus curiae briefs is DENIED. The Court considers any
25 rebuttal argument on these issues to be duplicative and unnecessary at this time.

26
27
28 ¹ Because T-Mobile's brief is deemed submitted, and the Court does not grant Apple's request to
respond, the Court considers T-Mobile's motion to shorten time on the motion seeking leave to file
an amicus curiae brief to be moot.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2011



LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge