1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)	WILLIAM F. LEE	
2	<u>hmcelhinny@mofo.com</u> MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)	william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING	
3	<u>mjacobs@mofo.com</u> JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)	HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street	
4	jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)	Boston, MA 02109	
5	atucher@mofo.com	Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000	
6	RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425) <u>rhung@mofo.com</u>		
7	JASÓŇ R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530) jasonbartlett@mofo.com	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180) mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com	
8	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP	
9	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304	
10	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and		
12	Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.		
12			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
16	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK	
17	Plaintiff,	APPLE'S SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT CONCERNING	
18	V.	SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL	
19	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	D. N. 1. 1. 2011	
20	Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	Date: November 1, 2011 Time: 3:00 p.m.	
21	York corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	Place: Courtroom 5 Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewal	
22	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,		
23	Defendants.		
23 24	Defendants.		
	Defendants.		
24	Defendants.		
24 25	Defendants.		
24 25 26	Defendants.		

On October 28, 2011, Samsung moved to compel production of certain physical models and photographs that it believed were in Apple's possession. The motion was argued on November 1. This Supplement updates the Court on recent events.

3 4

5

6

7

8

1

2

By the time the motion was filed, Apple had made 27 physical objects and models available to Samsung's counsel. After the motion was filed but before it was argued, Apple made 15 additional models available to Samsung's counsel. One issue regarding the models remained – whether one of them matched photographs submitted during prosecution of the United States Design Patent Number 504,889. As of the hearing, Apple was unable to answer that question.

Apple continued to work on that question during the remainder of the week. By Friday
morning, November 4, 2011, Apple had verified that one of the recently located models was the
item depicted in the photographs. That morning, Apple informed Samsung that it would stipulate
to this fact and has specifically identified the item using a model number. That same day, Apple
industrial designer Chris Stringer confirmed this identification in his deposition.

14 As for the photographs, Apple has produced the best images it has been able to locate. 15 Apple did so after searches of files and locations in Apple's control, of files and locations in the 16 control of Sterne Kessler, and of files and locations in the control of the Beyer law firm. (Apple 17 also obtained the best copies available from the Patent Office.) Collectively, these searches 18 reflect all the attorneys or individuals that were involved in the prosecution of the D'889 patent or 19 who had possession of the prosecution file. Apple does not know where else to look, but is, of 20 course, willing to search other locations that Samsung's attorneys reasonably believe would 21 contain the images.

2	2
_	4

24

25

26

27

28

Apple submits that the foregoing moots Samsung's motion.

23 Dated: November 7, 2011

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: <u>/s/ Michael A. Jacobs</u> MICHAEL A. JACOBS

Attorneys for Plaintiff APPLE INC

Apple's Supplemental Statement Concerning Samsung's Motion to Compel Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK pa-1495899