

EXHIBIT 1

1 The Court understands that Apple wishes to resolve the issue of preliminary relief as
 2 quickly as possible in order to prevent the irreparable harm that Apple alleges is caused by the
 3 continuing sale of Samsung's products. However, the Court agrees with Samsung that Apple's
 4 motion presents complex issues of patent infringement, validity, and construction, and seeks an
 5 extraordinary form of relief. As such, the Court is inclined to provide the parties an opportunity to
 6 brief the issues as fully as possible, thereby ensuring that the Court has sufficient information to
 7 make an informed determination of the motion. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the
 8 following briefing and hearing schedule for Apple's preliminary injunction motion:


Task	Deadline
Samsung's discovery requests	Served prior to July 8, 2011
Apple's objections to Samsung's discovery due	July 20, 2011
Document production due (produced on rolling basis)	July 27, 2011
Deadline for Samsung's discovery from Apple	August 8, 2011
Samsung's opposition brief due	August 22, 2011
Apple's discovery requests due	August 26, 2011
Samsung's objections to Apple's discovery due	August 31, 2011
Document production due (produced on rolling basis)	September 12, 2011
Deadline for Apple's discovery of Samsung	September 21, 2011
Apple's reply brief due	September 30, 2011
Hearing on motion for preliminary injunction	October 13, 2011

23 The discovery sought under this schedule must be relevant to the preliminary injunction motion
 24 and may include discovery related to prior art, depositions of declarants, and other discovery
 25 related to infringement and validity issues. The Court encourages the parties to make all efforts to
 26 keep discovery requests reasonable in scope and narrowly tailored to address the preliminary
 27 injunction motion. If disputes arise, the parties must make a good faith effort to reach a mutually
 28

1 agreeable compromise, and lead trial counsel must meet and confer in person, before bringing the
2 dispute before the Court.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: July 18, 2011

6 
7 _____
8 LUCY H. KOH
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California