EXHIBIT 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781) hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) mjacobs@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425) rhung@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522 Attorneys for Plaintiff APPLE INC.	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180) mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100 WILLIAM F. LEE (pro hac vice anticipated) william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000	
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
15	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK	
16	Plaintiff,	APPLE INC.'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	
17	v.	AND THINGS RELATING TO APPLE'S MOTION FOR A	
18	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean corporation; SAMSUNG	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION – SET TWO	
19	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,		
20	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,		
21	Defendants.		
22		I	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		v. a. m	
	APPLE INC.'S RFPS RELATING TO APPLE'S MOTION FOR P CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK sf-3037687	I – SET TWO	

"Document(s)" has the broadest possible meaning permitted by Federal Rules of

28

7.

REQUEST NO. 157:

All Documents relating to the "rectangular-shaped phone[s] with rounded corners, a dominant display screen with narrow borders, a horizontally oriented and centered rounded speaker slot, and minimal or non-existent physical navigation buttons" that existed before January 2007, as described in your Opposition.

REQUEST NO. 158:

All Documents relating to the design, development, or implementation of the following features of the Products at Issue: (1) their Hardware Design; (2) the functionality that allows for a list to be scrolled beyond its terminus or a document to be translated beyond its edge until the list or document is partially displayed; and (3) functionality that allows for a list that is scrolled beyond its terminus to scroll back or bounce back into place or for a document that is translated beyond its edge to translate back or bounce back so that the list or document returns to fill the screen.

REQUEST NO. 159:

Documents sufficient to identify the individuals who contributed to the design, development, or implementation of the following features of the Products at Issue: (1) their Hardware Design; (2) the functionality that allows for a list to be scrolled beyond its terminus or a document to be translated beyond its edge until the list or document is partially displayed; and (3) functionality that allows for a list that is scrolled beyond its terminus to scroll back or bounce back into place or for a document that is translated beyond its edge to translate back or bounce back so that the list or document returns to fill the screen.

REQUEST NO. 160:

Documents sufficient to identify the date of the first design of the following features of the Products at Issue: (1) their Hardware Design; (2) the functionality that allows for a list to be scrolled beyond its terminus or a document to be translated beyond its edge until the list or document is partially displayed; and (3) functionality that allows for a list that is scrolled beyond its terminus to scroll back or bounce back into place or for a document that is translated beyond its edge to translate back or bounce back so that the list or document returns to fill the screen.

1 **REQUEST NO. 161:** 2 All Documents and things relating to the design of the Hardware Design of the Products at 3 Issue, including for example, CAD images or files, emails, notebooks, photographs, sketches, 4 design specifications, models, mock-ups, and other design documents. 5 REQUEST NO. 162: 6 Documents sufficient to show alternative Hardware Designs considered by Samsung 7 during the development of the Products at Issue. 8 REQUEST NO. 163: 9 All Documents relating to functional and cost considerations that constrained or altered 10 the Hardware Design of the Products at Issue. 11 REQUEST NO. 164: 12 All Documents relating to aesthetic considerations relating to the Hardware Design of the 13 Products at Issue. 14 REQUEST NO. 165: 15 All Documents relating to the redesign of the Galaxy Tab 10.1 following Apple's 16 announcement of the iPad 2 on or about March 2, 2011. 17 REQUEST NO. 166: 18 All Documents to or from Lee Don-Joo relating to the redesign of the Galaxy Tab 10.1 19 following Apple's announcement of the iPad 2 on or about March 2, 2011. 20 REQUEST NO. 167: 21 All Documents to the design of the user interface for each of the Products at Issue. 22 REQUEST NO. 168: 23 All Documents relied on by Benjamin B. Bederson in his declaration submitted in support 24 of your Opposition. 25 REQUEST NO. 169: 26 All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Benjamin B. Bederson in other

28 **REQUEST NO. 170:**

27

litigation involving mobile devices or user interfaces.

1 All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Benjamin B. Bederson 2 testified about mobile devices or user interfaces. 3 REQUEST NO. 171: 4 All Documents relied on by Roger Fidler in his declaration submitted in support of your 5 Opposition. 6 **REQUEST NO. 172:** 7 All Documents relating to Roger Fidler's assertion that "Apple personnel were exposed to 8 my tablet ideas and prototypes" in his declaration submitted in support of your Opposition. 9 REQUEST NO. 173: 10 All documents relating to Roger Fidler's assertion that he presented the 1990 Video 11 attached as Exhibit G to his declaration to "a group of executives at Knight-Ridder and to Alan 12 Kay [of Apple]" in the fall of 1990. 13 REQUEST NO. 174: 14 All documents relating to Roger Fidler's assertion that "[m]ore than 200 copies of the 15 1994 video [attached as Exhibit L to his declaration] were distributed to various newspaper 16 organizations and media outlets." 17 REQUEST NO. 175: 18 All documents relating to Roger Fidler's claim that starting in 1994, he provided to 19 Toshiba "specifications that they could use to create a working electronic tablet." 20 REQUEST NO. 176: 21 All non-disclosure agreements between Apple and Information Design Lab executed 22 before 1995. 23 **REQUEST NO. 177:** 24 All non-disclosure agreements executed between Roger Fidler and Toshiba in connection 25 with Mr. Fidler's alleged provision to Toshiba of "specifications that they could use to create a 26 working electronic tablet." REQUEST NO. 178: 27 28 All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Roger Fidler in other litigation

APPLE INC.'S RFPS RELATING TO APPLE'S MOTION FOR PI – SET TWO CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK sf-3037687

1	involving the "1981 Tablet," the "1990 Tablet," the "1994 Tablet," and the "1996 Toshiba		
2	Tablet," as described in his declaration submitted in support of your Opposition.		
3	REQUEST NO. 179:		
4	All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Roger Fidler testified		
5	about the "1981 Tablet," the "1990 Tablet," the "1994 Tablet," and the "1996 Toshiba Tablet," as		
6	described in his declaration submitted in support of your Opposition.		
7	REQUEST NO. 180:		
8	All Documents relied on by Nicholas P. Godici in his declaration submitted in support of		
9	your Opposition.		
10	REQUEST NO. 181:		
11	All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Nicholas P. Godici in other		
12	litigation involving patent prosecution.		
13	REQUEST NO. 182:		
14	All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Nicholas P. Godici was		
15	an expert.		
16	REQUEST NO. 183:		
17	All Documents relied on by Andries Van Dam in his declaration submitted in support of		
18	your Opposition.		
19	REQUEST NO. 184:		
20	All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Andries Van Dam in other litigation		
21	involving utility patents.		
22	REQUEST NO. 185:		
23	All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Andries Van Dam was an		
24	expert.		
25	REQUEST NO. 186:		
26	All Documents relied on by Itay Sherman in his declaration submitted in support of your		
27	Opposition.		
28	REQUEST NO. 187:		

1 All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Itay Sherman in other litigation 2 involving design patents. 3 REQUEST NO. 188: 4 All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Itay Sherman was an 5 expert. 6 **REQUEST NO. 189:** 7 All Documents relied on by Michael J. Wagner in his declaration submitted in support of 8 your Opposition. 9 REQUEST NO. 190: 10 To the extent not attached as an exhibit, hard copies of all Documents cited by Michael J. 11 Wagner in his declaration submitted in support of your Opposition. Documents responsive to this 12 Request include, but are not limited to, hard copies of all references cited in the footnotes of Mr. 13 Wagner's declaration. 14 REQUEST NO. 191: 15 All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Michael J. Wagner in other 16 litigation involving damages. 17 REQUEST NO. 192: 18 All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Michael J. Wagner was 19 an expert. 20 **REQUEST NO. 193:** 21 All Documents relied on by Jeffrey Johnson in his declaration submitted in support of 22 your Opposition. 23 **REQUEST NO. 194:** 24 All prior expert reports and declarations submitted by Jeffrey Johnson in other litigation 25 involving utility patents. 26 REQUEST NO. 195: 27 All trial and deposition transcripts from other litigation in which Jeffrey Johnson was an 28 expert.

APPLE INC.'S RFPS RELATING TO APPLE'S MOTION FOR PI – SET TWO CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK sf-3037687

REQUEST NO. 196:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All native photographs of the Products at Issue, prior art, and Apple products taken in support of your Opposition, regardless of whether the photographs were referenced, inserted, or relied upon in your Opposition.

REQUEST NO. 197:

All Documents, including source code, relating to any art that Samsung alleges is relevant to the validity of U.S. Patent No. 7,469,381, including LaunchTile and XNav.

REQUEST NO. 198:

All Documents relating to any instructions, manuals, guides, or other documentation for LaunchTile and XNav.

REQUEST NO. 199:

A device that runs LaunchTile.

REQUEST NO. 200:

Source code for the Gallery, Contacts, and Browser applications on the Products at Issue.

REQUEST NO. 201:

Source code relating to features of the Products at Issue that Apple has alleged infringe U.S. Patent No. 7,469,381.

REQUEST NO. 202:

Source code for any instructions relating to not illuminating part of the screens on the Products at Issue while they are powered on.

REQUEST NO. 203:

Documents sufficient to show the operation and functionality of the AMOLED screens of the Products at Issue.

REQUEST NO. 204:

All Documents relating to your analysis, review, consideration, or copying of, or comparison against, any Apple product or product feature, including (1) their Hardware Design; (2) the functionality that allows for a list to be scrolled beyond its terminus or a document to be translated beyond its edge until the list or document is partially displayed; and (3) functionality

1 that allows for a list that is scrolled beyond its terminus to scroll back or bounce back into place 2 or for a document that is translated beyond its edge to translate back or bounce back so that the 3 list or document returns to fill the screen. 4 **REQUEST NO. 205:** 5 All Documents relating to any statements made by you regarding Apple and the Products 6 at Issue. 7 REQUEST NO. 206: 8 All Documents relating to any customer surveys, studies, analyses or investigations 9 regarding the Products at Issue. 10 REQUEST NO. 207: 11 All Documents identifying or analyzing the market or markets to which Samsung intends 12 to sell the Products at Issue. 13 REQUEST NO. 208: 14 All Documents created within the last five years relating to Samsung's actual or projected 15 smartphone market share. 16 **REQUEST NO. 209:** 17 All Documents created within the last five years relating to Samsung's actual or projected 18 tablet computer market share. 19 REQUEST NO. 210: 20 All Documents created between 2008 and the present relating to Samsung's expansion of 21 its U.S. market share for smartphones and tablet computers. 22 REQUEST NO. 211: 23 All Documents relating to the development of the Products at Issue that mention or refer 24 to Apple or Apple products, including communications among or with your personnel that discuss 25 whether or how to copy any design, feature, or function of an Apple product. Documents 26 responsive to this Request include, but are not limited to, Documents related to the redesign of the 27 Products at Issue in light of Apple products.

28

REQUEST NO. 212:

All physical samples of Apple products in your possession (excluding only samples, if any, 2 which may have been purchased exclusively for purposes related to this litigation by or at the 3 direction of counsel) together with all documents relating to when the samples were obtained, for 4 what purpose, and how you used them. 5 REQUEST NO. 213: 6 All Documents relating to your inspection of Apple products. Documents responsive to 7 this Request include, but are not limited to, photographs of Apple products and tear-downs of 8 Apple products, notes and memoranda that you made relating to Apple products, and email 9 communications relating to any such inspection. 10 REQUEST NO. 214: 11 All Documents relating to marketing of any Products at Issue that discuss or refer directly 12 or indirectly to Apple or Apple products, including copies of all advertisements or other 13 promotional materials, marketing plans, market surveys, focus group studies, or other documents 14 related to testing of advertisements or advertisement messaging. Documents responsive to this 15 Request include, but are not limited to, your "Hello" marketing campaign relating to the Galaxy S, 16 your "See Flash Run" marketing campaign for the Galaxy Tab, and your "Appelmos"

REQUEST NO. 215:

All Documents relating to any instances of consumer confusion in which Samsung was made aware that a person confused an Apple product for a Product at Issue, or a Product at Issue for an Apple product.

REQUEST NO. 216:

All Documents relating to your decision to give away a free Galaxy Tab 10.1 with the purchase of certain Samsung televisions at Best Buy stores.

REQUEST NO. 217:

All Documents relating to any promotions, actual or considered, related to any of the Products at Issue.

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

("Applesauce") marketing campaign relating to the Galaxy S II.

1	D . 1 . 4	MODDIGON & FOUNDER
2	Dated: August 26, 2011	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ Richard S.J. Hung RICHARD S.J. HUNG
5		Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
6		ATTEL INC.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Apple Inc.'s RFPs Relating to Apple's Motion for PI – Set Two Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK sf-3037687