EXHIBIT F

```
Page 1
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                        SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
5
    APPLE INC., a California
    corporation,
6
7
               Plaintiff,
8
                                     Case No. 11-CV-01846-LHK
    vs.
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
    a Korean business entity;
10
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
    INC., a New York corporation;
11
    SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
    AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware
12
    limited liability company,
13
              Defendants.
      ----/
14
15
16
                          CONFIDENTIAL
17
                       ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
18
                         OUTSIDE COUNSEL
19
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SISSIE TWIGGS
                    Redwood Shores, California
20
                     Wednesday, July 27, 2011
21
22
23
24
               Reported by:
    LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR No. 10523, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
25
               JOB NO. 40670
```

```
Page 2
                  July 27, 2011
2
                    10:12 a.m.
3
    Videotaped Deposition of SISSIE
5
     TWIGGS, held at the offices of Quinn
6
     Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, 555
    Twin Dolphin Drive, Redwood Shores,
     California, before Lorrie L. Marchant,
    a Certified Shorthand Reporter,
10
     Registered Professional Reporter,
11
     Certified Realtime Reporter,
12
    California Certified Realtime Reporter
13
     and Certified LiveNote Reporter.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
1
                      APPEARANCES:
2
3
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF APPLE INC.:
4
          MORRISON & FOERSTER
          BY:
               JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR, ESQ.
5
               JULIA D. KRIPKE, ESQ.
          425 Market Street
6
          San Francisco, California 94105
8
9
10
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG:
11
          QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
          BY: VICTORIA F. MAROULIS, ESQ.
12
          555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
          Redwood Shores, California 94065
13
14
    and
15
          BY: ERIK OLSON, ESQ.
               ALEX BINDER, ESQ.
16
               KEVIN JOHNSON, ESQ.
          50 California Street
17
          San Francisco, California 94111
18
19
20
21
    ALSO PRESENT:
22
          Erica Tierney, Apple IP Litigation Counsel
23
          Sean McGrath, Videographer
24
                             ---000---
25
```

- ¹ pages in.
- A. This page, this document?
- O. Exhibit 2.
- 4 A. Here.
- ⁵ Q. Please look at Topic 11.
- 6 A. Yes.
- ⁷ Q. Is it your understanding that Apple designated
- you to be a corporate representative to testify on Topic
- 9 11?
- 10 A. Yes, they did.
- 11 Q. Are there any other topics in this Notice of
- Deposition that you are going to be testifying about as
- a representative of Apple?
- A. I need to go through each topic. I don't
- believe there's anything else for me here. I'll only be
- speaking to Topic 11.
- O. And as to Topic 11, which is Apple's contention
- that Apple will be irreparability harmed in the absence
- of a preliminary injunction, are you testifying as to
- full topic or some subset of that topic?
- A. I'll be speaking to the part that I'm
- responsible for that's within the scope of my
- responsibility, which is worldwide advertising. In this
- case, United States advertising.
- Q. Will you be speaking about market share and

- 1 sales?
- A. I will not be speaking about market share and
- 3 sales.
- Q. That will be covered by another witness?
- ⁵ A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the subject on
- which you're Apple's 30(b)(6) representative is the same
- 8 subject that's covered in your declaration?
- 9 A. Yes. It's the same subject in my declaration.
- Q. What have you done to prepare for today's
- deposition?
- 12 A. Yesterday I met with the three representatives
- 13 that are here.
- 0. Please don't tell me anything you discussed.
- Just logistics, who you met with.
- A. Okay. Jennifer, Julia and Erica Tierney.
- Q. How long did you meet for?
- A. It was about an hour. We met for about an
- hour, hour and a half.
- Q. Did you review any documents during that
- meeting?
- A. We reviewed my declaration.
- O. And attachments to the declaration?
- A. There were several attachments that were
- collected. I did not review each and every one of them.

- 1 refer to Exhibit 2 --
- A. Okay.
- O. -- we looked at it before.
- It states your -- and by "your" means Apple --
- 5 contention that Apple will be irreparably harmed in the
- 6 absence of a preliminary injunction.
- A. I'm sorry. Can you remind me where I'm looking
- 8 for this?
- 9 O. Sure.
- Let's take a look at Exhibit 2, which is a
- ¹¹ 30(b)(6) notice.
- 12 A. Okay. That's this.
- 13 O. Yeah. So it refers to the back of the document
- it says, Topics.
- A. It says, Topics.
- MS. TAYLOR: It says, Topics, here
- (indicating). She wants you looking at Topic 11.
- BY MS. MAROULIS:
- 19 O. So I believe we established earlier today that
- you were selected --
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. -- to cover Topic 11.
- So do you see that it says, Your contention
- 24 that Apple will be irreparably harmed in the absence of
- ²⁵ a preliminary injunction?

- What is Apple's contention as to why it will be
- harmed in the absence of an injunction?
- A. I want to make sure that I'm fully interpreting
- 4 that. So --
- MS. TAYLOR: With respect to that, you're only
- one of three witnesses who's been designated to testify
- on that. So you testified as to the areas where you
- have knowledge, not the full scope of the contentions.
- ⁹ There are two other witnesses.
- THE WITNESS: Right. So I've worked on
- 11 Apple advertising for a long time, certainly from the
- beginning of iPhone and iPad.
- What I'm aware of, the process, what the team
- does, the amount of money that's spent, the care and
- design throughout every stage of the process is a
- critical part to getting Apple's message out.
- What I have stated in my declaration is that
- important -- the key to that message is our product
- design.
- We spend, as I said, a lot of time and money
- and care and nights and weekends ensuring that we've
- done that to beyond the best of our abilities.
- BY MS. MAROULIS:
- Q. Do you have --
- ²⁵ A. If --

- $^{
 m 1}$ Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead and finish.
- A. No. Go ahead.
- Q. Do you have any specific knowledge of Apple
- being harmed by the sales of Samsung devices?
- ⁵ A. I do not have specific knowledge of that, but
- 6 what I can say is that it's -- it seems to be quite
- 7 obvious that if you have a product that is well known
- 8 and has certain -- a certain look and feel and function
- to it, and you've spent a lot of time, money and care
- 10 getting that product knowledge out in the field, and
- someone comes along and copies what you've done, that at
- some stage that will start to chip away at what you've
- ¹³ built.
- And I think the question of irreparable is a
- real one in consumer's minds. It's hard -- it's hard to
- get them to pay attention. It's easy to get them to
- diverge. It's hard to bring them back. It's not only
- expensive, it's hard. It's impossible in some cases.
- 19 You've lost them.
- MS. MAROULIS: Move to strike that as
- nonresponsive.
- BY MS. MAROULIS:
- Q. Do you have any evidence of Apple being harmed
- by the sale of Samsung devices?
- MS. TAYLOR: That's been asked and answered.

- THE WITNESS: Harmed by the sale of -- do I
- 2 have evidence that Apple has been harmed by the sale of
- 3 Samsung devices? I'm not sure I can answer that in the
- 4 way that you're looking for.
- 5 BY MS. MAROULIS:
- Q. Have you seen any evidence showing that Apple's
- ⁷ sales declined as a result of the sale of Samsung
- 8 devices?
- 9 MS. TAYLOR: That's actually outside the scope
- of her designation.
- But you can answer if you know.
- THE WITNESS: I -- I don't have our sales
- 13 figures. I believe somebody might speak to that.
- BY MS. MAROULIS:
- Q. But you yourself do not know of any evidence
- showing that the Apple sales declined because of Samsung
- devices; correct?
- A. I don't have those figures.
- 19 Q. Do you have any information whether Apple's
- market share declined as a result of the sale of Samsung
- 21 devices?
- MS. TAYLOR: Again, that's outside the scope of
- her designation.
- But you can answer if you know.
- THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.

- BY MS. MAROULIS:
- Q. Okay. Do you have any information on whether,
- as a result of Samsung devices, Apple's advertising
- became less effective?
- A. When there's confusion, when someone is coming
- in with something that looks very much like your
- product, that -- that causes some confusion.
- And I have to state that it's not something
- ⁹ that's easy to get back. Once you've derailed a
- conversation, it's hard to bring that back, if at all
- possible. So I don't know that you get those customers
- 12 back.
- Q. Do you believe you lost any customers? "You"
- meaning Apple?
- 15 A. There are probably -- there are probably a few
- 16 customers we lost.
- Q. Do you have any evidence of that or are you
- 18 just speculating?
- A. I don't have any evidence of that. My guess --
- 20 my guess is that we lost some customers.
- Q. That is your guess, not supported by any
- information; correct?
- A. I don't have the sales figures.
- MS. TAYLOR: Wait. Wait. She's not testifying
- on behalf of the company on this. 25

- But go ahead and answer in your personal
- ² knowledge.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't have the sales
- figures. Or the market share.
- 5 BY MS. MAROULIS:
- Q. Do you have any evidence of erosion of Apple's
- distinctiveness in customers' minds as a result of
- 8 Samsung's phones?
- A. I don't have evidence.
- Q. So you don't have evidence at all that Apple
- has been harmed in any way by the sale of Samsung's
- 12 phones; correct?
- 13 A. I focus on our advertising and what we're doing
- in our advertising and moving our advertising forward.
- 15 And I don't spend -- I don't have time to spend on
- 16 pulling data such as that. So I do not have that data.
- Does it exist? Probably.
- Q. You don't know whether it exists or not; right?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. So your testimony on this topic is limited to
- 21 amount of dollars that Apple spends on advertising; is
- that right?
- MS. TAYLOR: Mischaracterizes her testimony.
- 24 Move to strike -- you moved to strike her answer on the
- topic.

- THE WITNESS: I testified to some of our
- spending today, yes. And part of my declaration is
- ³ Apple's advertising spending.
- 4 BY MS. MAROULIS:
- ⁵ Q. Ms. Twiggs, did you collect any documents in
- 6 connection with this litigation?
- A. There was a document regarding our spending for
- ⁸ iPad and iPhone that was collected.
- Q. Any other documents?
- 10 A. The documents as part of my declaration?
- 11 Q. Let me clarify.
- In connection with the litigation parties
- 13 collective exchange of documents, besides the
- spreadsheet you testified before about, did you collect
- and give to counsel any additional documents?
- A. I have -- there's been nothing else that I've
- been asked to share or to collect.
- 18 O. And so the collection of the advertisements
- that were attached to your declaration did not come from
- your files or did it?
- MS. TAYLOR: That assumes facts not in evidence
- and possibly mischaracterizes her testimony.
- THE WITNESS: So the way that the ads were
- collected, we have sort of a central collection point.
- They were gathered together and shared with the legal

```
Page 214
1
     Sissie Twiggs. The time is 5:02 p.m., and we are off
     the record.
3
               (Time noted: 5:02 p.m.)
                               ---000---
5
6
7
                               SISSIE TWIGGS
8
          Subscribed and sworn to
          before me this
                                day
10
           of
                        2011.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 215
1
                            CERTIFICATE
2
         STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
3
                                 SS
         COUNTY OF SONOMA
5
          I, Lorrie L. Marchant, a Certified Shorthand
6
    Reporter, a Registered Professional Reporter, a
    Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Certified Realtime
    Professional within and for the State of California, do
    hereby certify:
10
          That SISSIE TWIGGS, the witness whose deposition is
11
    herein set forth, was duly sworn/affirmed by me and that
12
     such deposition is a true record of the testimony given
13
    by such witness.
14
          I further certify that I am not related to any of
15
     the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that
16
     I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
17
          In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
18
     this 27th day of July, 2011.
19
20
21
22
            LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR, RPR, CRR, CLR, CCRR
23
            CSR No. 10523
24
25
```