

Exhibit 8

September 29, 2011

Writer's Direct Contact
415.268.6096
WOverson@mofocom

Via Email kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com

Kevin Johnson
Victoria Maroulis
Quinn Emanuel
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Re: *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc., & Samsung Telecomm., LLC*
Case No. 11-cv-1846 LHK (N.D. Cal.)

Dear Kevin and Victoria:

In order to avoid future misunderstandings, we are writing to confirm the following items with respect to the Court's Order of September 28, 2011.

As to item 1), the Court ordered Samsung to produce documents from each of Samsung designers of Samsung's Galaxy S 4G and Infuse 4G, Droid Charge phones and Galaxy Tab 10.1 tablet computer identified in Samsung's Rule 26(a) disclosures or interrogatory responses. This encompasses at least the following individuals: Jinsoo Kim, Jung Min Yeo, Minhyouk Lee, Hyoung Shin Park, GiYoung Lee, Yongseok Bang, Bo-ra Kim, Yunjung Lee, Wookyun Kho, Kihyung Nam, Dooju Byun, Jaegwan Shin, Qi Ling, Jeeyeun Wang, SeungHun Yoo, Ahyoung Kim, Sun-young Yi, Min Kyung Kim, and Hyunho Shin. The Court further ordered production of "all documents referencing the Apple products alleged by Apple to embody one or more of the ornamental or utility features claimed in the patents." The Apple products embodying one or more of the features claimed in the patents are the iPhone, iPhone 3G, iPhone 3GS, iPhone 4, iPad, and iPad 2. Thus, at a minimum, Samsung should be producing all references to these products in the files of the above list of custodians.

As to item 2), the Court ordered Samsung to produce, "[f]rom the custodial files of Lee Don-Joo, all documents relating to the redesign of the Galaxy Tab 10.1 following Apple's announcement of the iPad 2 on or about March 2, 2011." (*Id.*) Please note that the Order is not limited to documents mentioning the iPad 2. It goes to all documents concerning the redesign after March 2, 2011.

Kevin Johnson
Victoria Maroulis
September 29, 2011
Page Two

As to item 3), the Court ordered Samsung to produce, “[f]rom any central files or the custodial files of any individuals with specific responsibility for surveying customers of Samsung’s Galaxy S 4G and Infuse 4G, Droid Charge phones and Galaxy Tab 10.1 table computer, all survey documents that reference the Apple products currently alleged by Apple to embody one or more the ornamental or utility features claimed in the patents.” (*Id.*) We are assuming that Samsung will conduct a diligent search for these documents in all central files, including those in the U.S. and Korea, as well as the relevant custodial files. Again, the Apple products embodying one or more of the features claimed in the patents are the iPhone, iPhone 3G, iPhone 3GS, iPhone 4, iPad, and iPad 2.

Finally, the Court also ordered Samsung to produce “all other relief requested by Apple” that was “unopposed by Samsung.” (*Id.*) This should include the requests pertaining to marketing documents and survey documents involving the Samsung products at issue. Samsung also made a number of representations on the record as to what it had already produced or was going to produce shortly. This includes the following materials, which should be produced in full (if Samsung has not done so already) at the latest by October 7:

- All CAD Design files. (*Id.* at p. 46.)
- All loose notes and presentations on the design of the products at issue (and sketch books if there are any). (*Id.* at p. 47.)
- All documents “regarding marketing analysis of Apple products including documents looking at the internals of the iPhones, [and] the tear downs.” (*Id.* at p. 47.)
- All “designer drawings,” “PowerPoints,” and “marketing documents with designs embedded.” (*Id.* at p. 59.)

The above description is not intended to limit the scope of that Order. Rather, it is intended to clarify our understanding so that there are no surprises on October 7. Please let us know by October 3 if you do not agree with the above understanding of the Order.

Sincerely,

/s/

Wesley Overson