

EXHIBIT 3

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

1 (The following ROUGH TRANSCRIPT of the
2 deposition of MOON-SANG JEONG, taken on Thursday,
3 November 17, 2011, is not a certified transcript and
4 has not been edited by the court reporter;
5 therefore, any reference to page and line numbers
6 will not be accurate.)

7 --oOo--

08:21:25 8 (Whereupon the Officer complied with
09:11:51 9 Federal Rule 30B(5)(A) and made the required
09:11:51 10 statement, which information is noted herein.)

08:22:01 11 --oOo--

08:57:50 12 THE REPORTER: Good morning. My name is
08:57:50 13 Sherri Starr of Merrill Corporation. Today's date
08:57:50 14 is November 17, 2011. The time is 8:57 a.m., and
08:57:50 15 the location is The Renaissance Seoul Hotel, Seoul,
08:57:50 16 Korea.

08:57:50 17 Counsel, please voice-identify yourselves
08:57:50 18 and state whom you represent.

08:57:53 19 MR. BASSETT: Dave Basset from Wilmer Hale
08:57:53 20 on behalf of defendant Apple.

08:57:54 21 MS. MAROULIS: Victoria Maroulis with
08:57:56 22 Quinn Emanuel representing Samsung and the witness.
08:57:56 23 With me is Austin Tarango of Quinn Emanuel and
08:57:56 24 Mr. Hankil Kang, of Samsung legal department.

08:57:56 25 THE REPORTER: would all others present

1

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

08:57:56 1 please state your name for the record.

10:08:26 8 MR. BASSETT: Q. And you're also not the
10:08:27 9 inventor of cell phones with MP3 players; correct?
10:08:38 10 A. That is correct.
10:08:39 11 Q. Were you the first to invent music
10:08:41 12 background play objects?
10:08:48 13 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague.
10:08:56 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is my understanding
10:08:58 15 indeed that as of the time of my development I was
10:09:01 16 and am the first.
10:09:30 17 MR. BASSETT: Q. Applets were known to
10:09:31 18 programmers prior to 2005; correct?
10:09:35 19 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague.
10:09:47 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, within the Java field,
10:09:50 21 the word "applet" had been in use.
10:09:54 22 MR. BASSETT: Q. Had it been in use only
10:09:56 23 within the Java field?
10:09:59 24 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. vague. Calls
10:10:00 25 for speculation.

25

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

10:10:09 1 THE WITNESS: No, that was not the case.
10:10:26 2 MR. BASSETT: Q. What is your
10:10:27 3 understanding what an applet is?
10:10:31 4 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague. Calls
10:10:32 5 for a legal conclusion.
10:11:03 6 THE WITNESS: Again, speaking from an
10:11:04 7 engineer's perspective and with respect to the time
10:11:07 8 of my development work on this, my understanding as
10:11:10 9 to an applet was in reference to such things as
10:11:13 10 would comprise an application, such as: smaller

10:11:17 11 functionalities, smaller classes of things, even a
10:11:22 12 smaller unit of an application or of applications.
10:11:26 13 That's what was meant by an applet.
10:11:29 14 MR. BASSETT: Q. In your understanding of
10:11:30 15 the term "applet" as an engineer in 2005, was an
10:11:36 16 applet independent of the operating system?
10:11:40 17 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague. Calls
10:11:42 18 for a legal conclusion.
10:12:24 19 THE WITNESS: well, speaking as an
10:12:25 20 engineer, an applet can be viewed as being either OS
10:12:30 21 independent or OS dependent, and in the case of the
10:12:35 22 Java community, one could so understand things to
10:12:40 23 be, but elsewhere, I've otherwise seen the term
10:12:44 24 used, this term applet being used in even OS
10:12:49 25 dependent platforms.

26

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

10:12:54 1 MR. BASSETT: Q. And was that true in
10:12:55 2 2005?
10:12:57 3 MAIN INTERPRETER: One second.
10:12:59 4 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague.
10:12:59 5 (Discussion between the main interpreter
10:13:02 6 and the check interpreter.)
10:13:19 7 MAIN INTERPRETER: Move on. I'm sorry.
10:13:21 8 MS. MAROULIS: Laura, do you have
10:13:22 9 something to say?
10:13:23 10 CHECK INTERPRETER: Can the check
10:13:25 11 interpreter have a moment?
10:13:56 12 MS. MAROULIS: would counsel repeat the
10:13:57 13 question, please?

10:19:20 20 acoustics and otherwise. My good colleague in good
10:19:23 21 faith has not seemed to have heard the one operative
10:19:24 22 word -- the term.
10:19:27 23 CHECK INTERPRETER: May the check
10:19:29 24 interpreter have time to render?
10:19:31 25 MS. MAROULIS: Please render the

29

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

10:19:33 1 translation.
10:19:34 2 CHECK INTERPRETER: Thank you. "Speaking
10:19:35 3 as an engineer, an applet can be viewed as either
10:19:40 4 operating system independent or operating system
10:19:43 5 dependent. In the case of the Java, it can be
10:19:50 6 agreed to be the OS independent, however, I've also
10:19:55 7 seen applet being used in an OS dependent platform."
10:20:05 8 MS. MAROULIS: I think we can move on.
10:20:06 9 Thank you for your patience, counsel.

10:20:10 10 MR. BASSETT: Q. Was that true in 2005?
10:20:15 11 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Calls for
10:20:16 12 speculation.
10:20:27 13 THE WITNESS: You mean as to the word --
10:20:28 14 the fact that "applet" can be used with respect to
10:20:33 15 an OS dependent instance?
10:20:36 16 MR. BASSETT: Q. Correct.
10:20:38 17 A. Yes, it was so used.
10:20:44 18 Q. By whom?
10:20:47 19 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Calls for
10:20:48 20 speculation. Lacks foundation.
10:21:14 21 THE WITNESS: well, for instance, around
10:21:15 22 this 2005 time frame, I had, as an engineer, been

10:21:22 23 participating in developing the Qualcomm platform,
10:21:25 24 and when it comes to the Qualcomm platform, they use
10:21:29 25 only the Qualcomm chipsets. But within it and going

30

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

10:21:32 1 about developing applications, they also for
10:21:34 2 instance use the word "applet."
10:21:37 3 CHECK INTERPRETER: Check interpreter:
10:21:39 4 For instance, around the 2005 time frame, as an
10:21:45 5 engineer, at that time Qualcomm platform was used
10:21:50 6 and it comes to Qualcomm platform, they used
10:21:54 7 Qualcomm chipsets and in that chipset, applications
10:22:00 8 were developed and the word "applet" were used in
10:22:06 9 that instance.

10:22:07 10 MR. BASSETT: Q. And when the word
10:22:08 11 "applet" was used in that instance, it was your
10:22:12 12 understanding that it was referring to something
10:22:14 13 that was operating system dependent?

10:22:18 14 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Calls for
10:22:19 15 speculation.

10:23:05 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, from an engineer's
10:23:08 17 perspective, seeing as how the -- in the case of the
10:23:13 18 Qualcomm environment, the use of the term "applet"
10:23:17 19 in that case was something that applied with respect
10:23:20 20 to the Qualcomm platform only, so from that
10:23:22 21 perspective, the use of said word can be used also
10:23:26 22 in an OS dependent sense.

10:23:30 23 MR. BASSETT: Q. Are you aware of any
10:23:30 24 other instances in the 2005 time frame or earlier
10:23:35 25 where the word "applet" was used to mean something

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

10:23:39 1 that was OS dependent?

10:24:07 2 A. Other than the Qualcomm case?

10:24:10 3 Q. Correct.

10:24:20 4 A. Well, in terms of what I've seen, I've

10:24:21 5 seen it used in the Qualcomm instance and otherwise

10:24:24 6 in the Java community but nothing beyond that, I

10:24:27 7 don't think.

10:24:28 8 Q. And in the Java community, "applet" means

10:24:33 9 something that is OS independent; correct?

10:24:35 10 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague. Calls

10:24:36 11 for expert testimony.

10:25:01 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, speaking from an

10:25:03 13 engineer's perspective I do believe that to be the

10:25:05 14 sense in which Java employed it.

10:25:12 15 MR. BASSETT: Q. Sir, you will agree with

10:25:13 16 me that you were not the first to suggest using

10:25:16 17 applets for playing digital music files; correct?

10:25:19 18 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague. Calls

10:25:21 19 for speculation.

10:25:41 20 THE WITNESS: Correct.

10:25:44 21 MR. BASSETT: Q. Prior to 2005, did you

10:25:47 22 investigate any features that may be on Yamaha

10:25:56 23 mobile phones?

10:26:00 24 MS. MAROULIS: Objection. Vague.

10:26:10 25 THE WITNESS: By that, do you mean

♀

14:37:11 3 for this witness at this time. As I said earlier,
14:37:14 4 I'm not going to close the deposition just because
14:37:16 5 of the late production from our perspective of those
14:37:19 6 Korean language documents.

14:37:21 7 MS. MAROULIS: I understand your position,
14:37:22 8 counsel. We confirmed with California during the
14:37:24 9 lunch break and all the documents that you marked
14:37:27 10 were produced on November 11, which is more than
14:37:31 11 five days before the deposition, with the exception
14:37:33 12 of the patent which was produced much earlier in I
14:37:35 13 think the first invention disclosures which was also
14:37:39 14 produced earlier, so no documents marked today were
14:37:42 15 produced after November 11.

14:37:44 16 MR. BASSETT: Just for the purposes of the
14:37:45 17 record, I double checked myself with the email that
14:37:48 18 came from your law firm that included these
14:37:52 19 documents and we received it two days ago. So
14:37:56 20 that's...

14:38:02 21 MS. MAROULIS: Okay. Beyond that, I don't
14:38:32 22 have any questions for the witness. We reserve the
14:38:35 23 right to review the transcript and sign and would
14:38:37 24 like to put this transcript under the highest level
14:38:39 25 of confidentiality, "Outside Counsel Eyes Only"

97

♀

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY

14:38:45 1 under the protective order.

14:39:05 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One second, please.

14:39:07 3 This marks the end of Videotape 3 in the
14:39:11 4 deposition of Moon-Sang Jeong. Going off the
14:39:13 5 record. The time is 2:39.