EXHIBIT C

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
Page 1
 1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                      SAN JOSE DIVISION
     APPLE INC., a California
 5
     corporation,
 6
                        Plaintiff,
 7
              VS.
 8
                                       ) No. 11-CV-01846LHK
     SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
 9
     a Korean entity; SAMSUNG
     ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a
10
     New York corporation; SAMSUNG
     TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,
11
     a Delaware limited liability
     Company,
12
                         Defendants.
13
14
15
16
17
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TONY GIVARGIS, PH.D.
18
                    Los Angeles, California
19
                   Tuesday, December 6, 2011
20
21
          HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
22
23
    Reported by:
24
     SUSAN A. SULLIVAN, CSR #3522, RPR, CRR
25
     JOB NO. 44330
```

Page 5 1 MR. SHAH: Ali Shah, WilmerHale, for the witness 2 and representing Apple. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. And will the reporter now swear or affirm 4 5 the witness. 6 7 TONY GIVARGIS, PH.D., 8 called as a witness, having been duly sworn by 9 the court reporter, was examined and testified 10 as follows: 11 12 EXAMINATION BY MS. MAROULIS: 13 Good morning, Mr. Givargis. How are you 14 Q 15 today? Good, thank you. 16 My name is Victoria Maroulis and I will be 17 18 asking you some questions today. Have you ever been deposed before? 19 20 Α No. 21 In that case let me briefly run you through 22 the rules of the deposition. 23 First of all, do you understand that you are testifying today like you would be in a court of 24 25 law under oath even though we're sitting in a

- 1 Q Is there any references in this patent to
- 2 system independent nature of applets?
- 3 A You mean operating-system dependent or just
- 4 system?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 A No, there is not.
- 7 Q If you recall, is there any reference to
- 8 Java in the context of applets in the prosecution
- 9 history?
- 10 MR. SHAH: If you need to review any documents,
- 11 you can ask for them.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I would appreciate it if I
- 13 had a copy of the prosecution history.
- MS. MAROULIS: I'm happy to mark the file
- 15 history. It is rather sizeable.
- 16 Let's mark this as Exhibit 5.
- 17 (Givargis Exhibit 5, a document, Bates Nos.
- 18 SAMNDCA00007840 to SAMNDCA00008459, marked
- for identification, as of this date.)
- 20 Q BY MS. MAROULIS: Sir, I'm placing before
- 21 you Exhibit 5. Do you recognize it as the
- 22 prosecution history of the '711 patent?
- 23 A I believe portions of it I have reviewed,
- 24 yes.
- 25 Q You don't remember reviewing the whole

- 1 thing?
- 2 A Not the entire thing, no.
- 3 Q How did you decide what portions of that
- 4 file history to review?
- 5 A The file history was provided to me by the
- 6 attorneys.
- 7 Q The file history that you see before you is
- 8 double-sided, so do you remember giving me documents
- 9 that is this thick or thicker or was it a smaller
- 10 document?
- 11 A I recall a smaller document.
- MS. MAROULIS: Counsel, I would appreciate
- 13 seeing the version of the file history that was
- 14 provided to the witness.
- MR. SHAH: I can represent that we provided the
- 16 certified file history.
- 17 MS. MAROULIS: The entire file history?
- 18 MR. SHAH: We did.
- 19 MS. MAROULIS. Via PDF file, not via paper.
- 20 Q BY MS. MAROULIS: This is not a memory
- 21 test, but do you recall any references to Java in
- 22 the context of applets in the file history?
- 23 A There was absolutely no reference to Java
- 24 in the file history that I reviewed.
- Q Was there any reference to applet being

- 1 operating-systems independent?
- 2 A I do not recall any reference to operating-
- 3 system-independent applets in the file history that
- 4 I reviewed.
- 5 Q Turning back to Exhibit 2 which is the
- 6 patent-in-suit, is it correct, sir, that there's
- 7 only one place where applets are mentioned in the
- 8 patent?
- 9 A There is only one place in the
- 10 specification that refers to patents.
- 11 Q Thank you.
- 12 A And to applet.
- 13 Q Thank you for correcting me. I did mean
- 14 specification.
- And is it correct, sir, that that place in
- 16 the specification is Column 3, Lines 8 through 14?
- 17 A Yes, that is correct.
- 18 Q This passage does not mention Java as well,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 Q And it does not mention operating-systems
- 22 independent.
- 23 A That is correct, yes.
- Q Why do you cite this passage to support
- 25 your definition in your declaration?

- 1 A Yes. The important element of this passage
- 2 is the part that says at least one applet within an
- 3 application model -- module or in each of the
- 4 application modules, and that relationship of an
- 5 applet within an application module or in the
- 6 context of an application module is relevant to my
- 7 understanding and definition of applets being
- 8 interpreted by a host application module.
- 9 Q Where do you see the word "within," sir?
- 10 A There is no "within" in this, in this
- 11 particular text.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A There's an association, yes.
- 14 Q Can you explain how you read this last
- 15 sentence to support your definition.
- 16 A "Application modules of the portable
- 17 terminal include at least one applet and each of the
- 18 application modules, that is each menu of the
- 19 portable terminal, independently performs multi-
- 20 tasking."
- 21 So as I interpret it, the applets run
- 22 within or execute within an application module or
- 23 execute in the context of an application module.
- Q Do you draw a distinction between
- 25 "application module" and "program"?

```
Page 120
      State of California
  1
                                SS.
      County of Los Angeles )
  2
                I, SUSAN A. SULLIVAN, CALIFORNIA CSR No.
  5
      3522, RPR, CRR, do hereby certify:
  6
                That prior to being examined TONY GIVARGIS,
  7
      PH.D., the witness named in the foregoing
      deposition, was, before the commencement of the
      deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance
10
     with C.C.P. Section 2094;
11
                That the said deposition was taken before
12
     me at the time and place therein set forth, and was
     taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter
13
14
     transcribed into typewriting under my direction and
15
     supervision; that the said deposition is a true and
16
     correct record of the testimony given by the
17
     witness;
18
                I further certify that I am neither counsel
     for, nor in any way related to any party to said
19
     action, nor in any way interested in the outcome
20
     thereof.
21
22
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my
23
     name on this 6th day of December, 2011.
24
                             Fran Dr. Australia
25
```