1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)		
2	HMcElhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)		
3	MJacobs@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368) JTaylor@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)		
4			
5	JasonBartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
6	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482		
7	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff APPLE INC.		
9	AFFLE INC.		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
14	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No. 4:11-cv-01846-LHK	
15	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF GRANT L.	
16	V.	KIM IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG'S	
17	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., A	MOTION TO COMPEL EXPEDITED DISCOVERY	
18	Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York	Date: June 17, 2011	
19	corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a	Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom 4, 5th Floor	
20	Delaware limited liability company,	Judge: The Honorable Lucy H. Koh	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	I, Grant L. Kim, do hereby declare as follows:		
24	1. I am Of Counsel at the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, which is counsel for		
25	Plaintiff Apple Inc. ("Apple"). I am admitted to practice law in the State of California and before		
26	this Court. I submit this declaration in support of Apple's Opposition to Samsung's Motion to		
27	Compel Apple to Produce Reciprocal Expedited Discovery. I have personal knowledge of the		
28			
	DECLARATION OF GRANT L. KIM IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S OPPODISCOVERY sf-3004142	OSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL EXPEDITED	

facts stated in this declaration, and I could and would competently testify to them if called as a witness.

## The Parties' Efforts to Resolve this Discovery Dispute

- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the transcript of the May 12, 2011 hearing held by the Court in connection with Apple's Motion to Expedite Discovery.
- 3. During the May 12, 2011 hearing, counsel for the Samsung defendants ("Samsung") raised the question of "reciprocal" expedited discovery. Jason Barlett and I participated in a meet-and-confer call with Samsung's counsel on this subject on May 23, 2011. During that call, counsel for Samsung declined to discuss the discovery requests it had made during the May 12 hearing on the ground that the Court had already decided that expedited discovery should be of "a certain scope." Instead, counsel for Samsung argued that Apple should produce samples of future products because Samsung was entitled to "reciprocal" discovery. Counsel for Apple stated that it was prepared to engage in reasonable reciprocal discovery on relevant issues, but pointed out that Apple's future products were not relevant because any preliminary injunction motion would be based on Apple's current intellectual property rights and current products, and not on future products.
- 4. When Apple's counsel asked during the May 23 call why future Apple products are relevant to a preliminary injunction motion, counsel for Samsung advanced the new theory that Apple's future products are relevant because they supposedly bear on the "likelihood of expansion of product lines," which is one of the "likelihood of confusion" factors identified in *AMF Inc. v. Sleekcraft Boats*, 599 F.2d 341, 348-349 (9th Cir. 1979). Samsung's counsel asserted that if Apple removed certain trade dress features from future Apple products, this would be relevant to a preliminary injunction motion. Apple's counsel pointed out that even if such features were removed from future products, Apple could still assert trade dress claims based on Apple's current products. Counsel for Samsung admitted that Apple could assert such claims, but continued to insist that future Apple products were somehow relevant to claims based on current

Apple products. Apple's counsel stated that it would consider these comments and reply the next day.

- 5. Although counsel for Apple sent counsel for Samsung a letter on May 24, 2011 addressing the above issues, Samsung did not respond and instead filed its Motion to Compel on May 27. On May 31, 2011, counsel for Apple notified counsel for Samsung of several misstatements in Samsung's Motion to Compel and requested that Samsung withdraw or correct its motion. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of this letter from Jason R. Bartlett to Victoria F. Maroulis dated May 31, 2011. In that letter, counsel for Apple reiterated that it would not rely on future Apple products in any preliminary injunction motion and remained willing to consider reasonable requests for discovery relevant to such a motion.
- 6. On June 1, 2011, counsel for Samsung sent a letter confirming that Samsung is not currently seeking expedited discovery on the issues that it identified during the May 12 hearing, but stating that Samsung may seek such discovery in the future. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of this from Todd M. Briggs to Jason R. Bartlett dated June 1, 2011.

## **Apple's Product Announcement and On Sale Dates**

- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of an Apple press release dated June 8, 2009, <sup>1</sup> noting that the iPhone 3GS smartphone was unveiled on June 8, 2009, and scheduled to be released for sale on June 19, 2009.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibits 5 and 6 are true and correct copies of Apple press releases dated June 7, 2010 and June 28, 2010, noting that the iPhone 4 smartphone was unveiled on June 7, 2010, and released for sale on June 24, 2010.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of an Apple press release dated September 1, 2010,<sup>3</sup> noting that the current generation iPod Touch media player was

http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2009/06/08iphone.html, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2010/06/07iphone.html and http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2010/06/28iphone.html, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2010/09/01ipodtouch.html downloaded on June 7, 2011.

http://www.wired.com/gadgetlab/2010/10/verizon-iphone-5/, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

- 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of an Internet report titled "Apple Hints at Launch of Nehalem-based Xserve" citing an Apple spokesperson and noting that "Apple does not comment on future products."
- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of an Internet report titled "Live Blogging the iPad Product Announcement" noting: "After remaining mum during more than two years of rumors and thousands of speculative articles and blog posts, Apple is finally ready to unveil its 'latest creation' on Wednesday at the Yerba Buena Center for the Arts in San Francisco."

## **News Articles Referring to Coverage of Apple's Product Announcements**

- 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of a CNN article titled "Why Steve Jobs' keynotes matter," noting that
  - "[Steve Jobs] "delivers the goods in terms of new products with real impact," and "deliver[s] them with some drama and flair . . . as if they were Broadway shows"; and
  - "the four words that set the Street's hardened hearts aflutter -- and Apple's share price soaring nearly 2% in early morning trading -- were 'Steve Jobs' and 'keynote address.'"
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of an NPR article titled "Live Blog: Steve Jobs Introduces the iPhone 4," which notes that "it seems like the last year in particular has been more of a frenzied media circus than in the last decade. Apple is so clear[ly] the hot company right now. When Steve Jobs opens his mouth everyone seems to dwell on his every word."

http://www.pcworld.com/businesscenter/article/162549/apple\_hints\_at\_launch\_of\_nehalembased\_xserve.html, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/01/27/live-blogging-the-apple-product-announcement/, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

http://tech.fortune.cnn.com/2011/05/31/why-steve-jobs-keynotes-matter/, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

http://www.npr.org/blogs/alltechconsidered/2010/06/07/127530049/live-blogging-apple-s-developers-conference, downloaded on June 7, 2011.

# News Articles Referring to the Importance of Secrecy Regarding Apple's Product Launches

- 19. Attached as Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of a New York Times article titled "To Cut Online Chatter, Apple Goes to Court," noting that
  - "[Mr. Jobs] has at the same time become a master of orchestrating new product buzz," and that "[he] has long turned conventional product announcements into part of the Apple mystique . . . often unveil[ing] new hardware and software while sitting at a computer keyboard much like a performing concert pianist in front of cheering crowds";
  - "Steve might as well have invented the term "event marketing" . . . [because]

    You focus everything on a moment in time and then persuade everyone to
    anticipate that moment.' Apple's marketing wizard has deftly used
    speculation about his next commercial move as an essential component of
    each new product introduction";
  - "MacWorld exhibitions held in San Francisco in January remain a highly
    anticipated event for the Macintosh faithful," and that "[m]ystery, anticipation
    and surprise all lie at the heart of the events";
  - "Mr. Jobs will occasionally tease his audience, pretending to walk off stage, before returning and saying, "Oh! One more thing" and then unveiling some new product"; and
  - "It's a classic part of a Jobs keynote . . . It's a treat. It's not as much fun for people if they know what it is."

DECLARATION OF GRANT L. KIM IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL EXPEDITED DISCOVERY sf-3004142

http://www.nytimes.com/2005/03/21/technology/21apple.html?scp=1&sq=To%20Cut%20Online%20Chatter&st=cse, downloaded on June 7, 2011

### 1 **Websites Offering Apple Products for Sale** 2 20. Attached hereto as Exhibits 20 to 22 are true and correct copies of printouts from (Ex. 20) Apple's website<sup>14</sup>; (Ex. 21) Bestbuy's website<sup>15</sup>; and (Ex. 22) AT&T's website,<sup>16</sup> which 3 4 list the iPhone 3GS product for sale. 5 21. Attached hereto as Exhibits 23 and 24 are true and correct copies of printouts from Amazon.com's website, 17 which list new and refurbished iPhone 3G products for sale. 6 7 22. Attached hereto as Exhibits 25 and 26 are true and correct copies of printouts from AT&T's and Amazon.com's websites, 18 which listed the iPad tablet computer for sale. 8 9 **Images of Apple Products** 10 23. Attached hereto as Exhibits 27 and 28 are true and correct copies of side-by-side 11 images of various versions of the iPhone and iPad devices. 12 **Currently Available Samsung Products** 24. Attached hereto as Exhibits 29 and 30 are true and correct copies of printouts from 13 AT&T's and Verizon's websites, <sup>19</sup> which list the Samsung Infuse 4G and Droid Charge for sale. 14 15 16 http://store.apple.com/us/product/MC555?mco=MTM3NDk1NTI, downloaded on June 7, 2011. 17 http://www.bestbuy.com/site/Apple%26%23174%3B+-+iPhone+3GS+with+8GB+Memory+-+Black+(AT%26T)/1048998.p?id=1218212859929&skuId=1048998&contract desc=, 18 downloaded on June 7, 2011. 19 http://www.wireless.att.com/cell-phone-service/packages/packagesdetails.jsp?q package=sku4670287& requestid=173027, downloaded on June 7, 2011. 20 http://www.amazon.com/Apple-iPhone-3G-8GB-Unlocked/dp/B001UBB9GM/ref=sr $_111?ie=UTF8&gid=1307224288&sr=8-1, downloaded on June 7, 2011; and$ 21 http://www.amazon.com/Apple-iPhone-3G-8GB-Refurbished/dp/B0032SJGWK/ref=sr 1 3?ie=UTF8&qid=1307471365&sr=8-3, downloaded 22 on June 7, 2011. 23 http://www.wireless.att.com/cell-phone-service/cell-phone-details/?device=Apple%C2 % AE+iPad%C2% AE+with+Wi-Fi+%2B+3G+64GB+Black&q\_sku=sku5110232 24 #fbid=bfXv3jQBH1J, and http://www.amazon.com/Apple-first-generation-MB292LL-Tablet/dp/B002C7481G/ref=sr\_1\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1307224566&sr=8-1, both downloaded 25 on June 7, 2011. http://www.wireless.att.com/cell-phone-service/cell-phone-26 details/?q\_sku=sku5190290#fbid=bfXv3jQBH1J, and http://www.verizonwireless.com/b2c/store/controller?item=phoneFirst&action=viewPhoneDe 27 tail&selectedPhoneId=5642, both downloaded on June 7, 2011. 28

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the forgoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed this 7th day of June, 2011, at San Francisco, California. By: <u>/s/\_\_Grant L. Kim\_</u> GRANT L. KIM Dated: June 7, 2011 

DECLARATION OF GRANT L. KIM IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL EXPEDITED DISCOVERY sf-3004142

1	ECF ATTESTATION  I, JASON R. BARTLETT, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file the following document: DECLARATION OF GRANT L. KIM IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO COMPEL RECIPROCAL EXPEDITED DISCOVERY. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Jayna R. Whitt	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	has concurred in this filing.	
7		
8	Dated: June 7, 2011  JASON R. BARTLETT  MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
9	Dvv. /o/ Iooon D. Doutlott	
10	By: /s/ Jason R. Bartlett  JASON R. BARTLETT	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		