

EXHIBIT J

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2
3 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are now on the video
4 record. The time is 9:16 a.m. on Thursday, the
5 20th day of October, 2011. We're here for the
6 videotape deposition of Joshua Strickon taken at
7 701 Brickell Ave., Suite 1650, Miami, Florida.
8 And it's taken in Case Number 11 CV 01846 LHK,
9 Apple, Inc. vs. Samsung Electronics Company,
10 Ltd., et al.

11 The case is filed in the United States
12 District Court, Northern District of California,
13 San Jose Division.

14 The court reporter is Darline West. The
15 videographer is Sean Maguire, both with TSG
16 Reporting.

17 Will counsel and all present please
18 introduce yourself, and the court reporter will
19 swear the witness.

20 MS. DUCCA: Marissa Ducca, Quinn
21 Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan in Washington,
22 D.C., for Samsung.

23 MR. BARQUIST: Charles Barquist,
24 Morrison & Foerster for Dr. Strickon and
25 Apple.

1 THEREUPON,

2 JOSHUA STRICKON, PH.D.,

3 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants
4 herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined
5 and testified as follows:

6 THE WITNESS: I do.

7 DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MS. DUCCA:

9 Q. Good morning, Dr. Strickon. How are you
10 today?

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. Will you please state your full name on
13 address for the record.

14 A. Joshua Andrew Strickon. 901 Brickell Key
15 Boulevard, Apartment 805, Miami, Florida, 33131.

16 Q. Dr. Strickon, have you been deposed before?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. How many times?

19 A. Once.

20 Q. When was that?

21 A. This past summer.

22 Q. Do you recall what case that was in?

23 A. Motorola and Apple.

24 MS. DUCCA: I'm going to mark as

25 Exhibit 780 a document with Bates numbers

1 plastics?

2 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Calls for a
3 legal conclusion.

4 THE WITNESS: Repeat your question.

5 BY MS. DUCCA:

6 Q. Does the glass member include PET and other
7 plastics?

8 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Calls for a
9 legal conclusion.

10 THE WITNESS: I believe in the
11 investigation and development of this
12 this product, that, yes, the glass member
13 would include PET and other plastics.

14 BY MS. DUCCA:

15 Q. Are glass and plastic interchangeable?

16 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Objection to
17 form.

18 THE WITNESS: In what capacity?

19 BY MS. DUCCA:

20 Q. Can when you're building a prototype,
21 for example, would it would there be reasons why
22 you would choose glass and plastic aside from, say,
23 cost, or can you use either interchangeably?

24 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Calls for
25 speculation. Calls for expert opinion

1 testimony. Incomplete hypothetical.

2 THE WITNESS: Glass and plastic have
3 different physical properties.

4 BY MS. DUCCA:

5 Q. Okay. So let's say you're building you
6 were building a prototype and let's say you had a
7 piece of glass and a piece of plastic in front of
8 you, equal sizes. Equal would fit in your prototype.
9 Is there a reason you would choose the glass over the
10 plastic?

11 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Calls for
12 expert opinion testimony. Incomplete
13 hypothetical.

14 THE WITNESS: There may be, yes.

15 BY MS. DUCCA:

16 Q. What are the benefits of using glass over
17 plastic?

18 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Calls for
19 expert opinion testimony. Incomplete
20 hypothetical.

21 THE WITNESS: In my experience in using
22 these materials, glass can have better
23 chemical and scratch resistance than than
24 similar types of plastic.
25

1 this was one of the -- one of your contributions to
2 the patent, correct?

3 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Misstates
4 the testimony.

5 THE WITNESS: Is there a question?

6 BY MS. DUCCA:

7 Q. I believe earlier you testified that this
8 was one of your contributions to the patent, correct?

9 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Misstates
10 the testimony. Calls for a legal
11 conclusion.

12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That was one of
13 the ideas that I contributed to this work.

14 BY MS. DUCCA:

15 Q. What are dummy features, as that term is
16 used in the '607 patent?

17 MR. BARQUIST: Objection. Calls for a
18 legal conclusion.

19 THE WITNESS: In my work of what I
20 worked on internally at Apple, we referred
21 to dummy features as electrically isolated
22 segments that -- of uniform material that
23 was of the same material that the conductive
24 lines, rows and columns of the areas of -- I
25 don't recall. Let me restate that.