

1 HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)
 hmcclhinny@mofo.com
 2 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
 mjacobs@mofo.com
 3 RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)
 rhung@mofo.com
 4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 425 Market Street
 5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
 Telephone: (415) 268-7000
 6 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

WILLIAM F. LEE (*pro hac vice*)
 william.lee@wilmerhale.com
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP
 60 State Street
 Boston, Massachusetts 02109
 Telephone: (617) 526-6000
 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000

MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)
 mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP
 950 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, California 94304
 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

Attorneys for Plaintiff and
 Counterclaim-Defendant Apple Inc.

12 **United States District Court**
 13 **Northern District of California**
 14 **San Jose Division**

14 APPLE INC., a California corporation,
 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
 Korean business entity, SAMSUNG
 17 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New
 York corporation, and SAMSUNG
 18 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

19 Defendants.

21 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
 Korean business entity, SAMSUNG
 22 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New
 York corporation, and SAMSUNG
 23 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

24 Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 APPLE INC., a California corporation,

27 Counterclaim Defendant.
 28

Civil Action No. 11-CV-01846-LHK

**DECLARATION OF SAMUEL J.
 MASELLI IN SUPPORT OF APPLE
 INC.'S OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG'S
 MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY**

Date: January 19, 2012

Time: 10:00am

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

1 I, Samuel J. Maselli, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP,
3 counsel for Apple Inc. (“Apple”) in the above-referenced litigation. I am licensed to practice law
4 in the State of California, and am admitted to practice before the U.S. District Court for the
5 Northern District of California. I am familiar with the facts set forth herein, and, if called as a
6 witness, I could and would testify competently to those facts under oath.
7

8 2. The parties have exchanged various correspondence regarding the production of
9 source code for the accused Apple products. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of
10 a letter from me to Melissa Chan (counsel for Samsung) dated December 6, 2011. Attached as
11 Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of a letter from Diane Hutnyan (counsel for Samsung) to Mia
12 Mazza (counsel for Apple) dated January 2, 2012. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct
13 copy of an email from Peter Kolovos (counsel for Apple) to Diane Hutnyan dated January 3,
14 2012. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of a letter from Mia Mazza to Charles
15 Verhoeven (counsel for Samsung) dated January 7, 2012.
16
17

18 3. We understand that counsel for Samsung and Intel have been in communication
19 since at least December 22, 2011 about the production of Intel’s baseband source code for
20 Apple’s accused products. Attached as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a letter from
21 Christopher Kelly (counsel for Intel) to Todd Briggs (counsel for Samsung) sent on January 13,
22 2012.
23

24 4. With its patent local rule disclosures, Apple produced detailed schematics,
25 schematic board diagrams, and programming guides for the accused Apple products. These
26 documents show circuit schematics, pin layouts, and subcomponents of the accused products,
27 and include a comprehensive guide on programming in iOS. Apple’s production of technical
28

1 documents related to the accused Apple products has continued both in this action and a related
2 action between the parties -- to date, Apple's total production across both United States cases
3 includes over four million pages of documents relevant to this action from the files of Apple
4 engineers whose work relates to the accused Apple products. These materials include additional
5 schematics, bills of material, specifications, testing data, certification documentation, project
6 build status information and data, and email correspondence concerning accused product
7 technical issues.
8

9
10 5. With respect to technical documents relating to the Intel baseband processor chips
11 used in the accused Apple products, on January 11, 2012, Intel provided Apple consent to
12 produce to Samsung those Intel documents that Apple has located thus far (as reflected in
13 Exhibit E). Apple will be producing over 21,000 pages of these documents to Samsung this
14 week. These documents reflect [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]

- 18 • [REDACTED]
- 19 [REDACTED]
- 20 • [REDACTED]
- 21 [REDACTED]
- 22 • [REDACTED]
- 23 [REDACTED]
- 24 • [REDACTED]
- 25 [REDACTED]
- 26 • [REDACTED]

1 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my
2 knowledge. Executed on this 17th day of January, 2012, in Palo Alto, California.
3
4

5 Dated: January 17, 2012

/s/ Samuel J. Maselli
Samuel J. Maselli

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE

I, Richard S.J. Hung, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Samuel J. Maselli has concurred in this filing.

Dated: January 17, 2012

/s/ Richard S.J. Hung
Richard S.J. Hung