| 1  | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP<br>Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)       |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | <u>charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com</u> 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | San Francisco, California 94111   |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Telephone: (415) 875-6600<br>Facsimile: (415) 875-6700                                |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com<br>Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)                |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor       |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139<br>Telephone: (650) 801-5000                    |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Facsimile: (650) 801-5100   |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com<br>865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor                     |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Facsimile: (213) 443-3100   |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC   |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | LIMITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT  |  |  |  |  |
|    | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | APPLE INC., a California corporation,   | CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK                                     |  |  |  |
| 21 | Plaintiff,  | DECLARATION OF TODD BRIGGS IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO |  |  |  |
| 22 | VS.   | SHORTEN TIME FOR BRIEFING AND HEARING                        |  |  |  |
| 23 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG                      | HEARING  |  |  |  |
| 24 | ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New<br>York corporation; SAMSUNG                         |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Defendant.  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Defendant.  |  |  |  |  |

28 02198.51855/4571401.1

27

## I, Todd M. Briggs, declare:

- 1. I am a partner at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung"). I am licensed to practice law in the State of California. I submit this declaration in support of Samsung's Motion to Shorten Time for Briefing and Hearing. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called upon as a witness, I could and would testify to the following facts.
- 2. The relief requested in Samsung's Motion to Shorten Time is necessary in order to allow the Court to decide Samsung's Motion to Supplement Invalidity Contentions before the close of fact discovery on March 8, 2012.
- 3. Samsung contacted Apple on January 26, 2012, requesting that Apple agree to brief and hear this motion on shortened timeframe. Apple failed to respond to Samsung's request.
- 4. If the Court does not grant briefing and hearing on shortened time, the motion to supplement invalidity contentions would not be briefed until late February, 2012, and likely would not be heard until May 2012 (based on Samsung's understanding of the Court's calendar). By this time, the fact discovery deadline and likely the expert report and expert discovery deadlines would have passed.
- 5. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-3(a)(5), previous time modifications in this case, whether by stipulation or Court order, include the following:
  - A. On April 26, 2011, the Court granted Apple's motion to shorten time for briefing and hearing on its motion to expedite discovery. (Dkt No. 26.)
  - B. On May 9, 2011, Apple and Samsung stipulated and agreed that the time for Samsung to serve responsive pleadings pursuant to Rule 12(a) shall be 75 days after April 21, 2011. On May 10, 2011, the Court signed the Stipulation and Order regarding an extension of time for Samsung to serve responsive pleadings. (Dkt No. 40.)

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

| 1  | C. | On June 1, 2011, the Court granted in part Samsung's request to shorten       |
|----|----|---|
| 2  |    | time for hearing and briefing on Samsung's Motion to Compel Reciprocal        |
| 3  |    | Expedited Discovery. (Dkt No. 59.)  |
| 4  | D. | On July 18, 2011 the Court ordered a briefing schedule related to expedited   |
| 5  |    | discovery and Apple's motion for a preliminary injunction, setting dates      |
| 6  |    | from July 2011 through the October 13, 2011 hearing on Apple's Motion         |
| 7  |    | for Preliminary Injunction. (Dkt No. 115.)                                    |
| 8  | E. | On July 21, 2011, the Court granted the parties' stipulation to extend the    |
| 9  |    | time for briefing Samsung's Motion to Disqualify Counsel Bridges &            |
| 10 |    | Mavrakakis, LLP. (Dkt No. 125.)   |
| 11 | F. | On September 1, 2011 the Court granted Samsung's stipulated motion to         |
| 12 |    | expedite briefing on Samsung's Motion to Compel Apple to Produce              |
| 13 |    | Documents and Things. (Dkt No. 199)   |
| 14 | G. | On September 6, 2011 the Court granted Apple's stipulated motion to           |
| 15 |    | extend time for Apple to respond to Samsung's Motion to Exclude the           |
| 16 |    | Ordinary Observer Opinions of Apple Expert Cooper Woodring. (Dkt No.          |
| 17 |    | 210.)   |
| 18 | Н. | On September 20, 2011, the Court granted Samsung's unopposed motion to        |
| 19 |    | change the hearing date on its motion to dismiss. (Dkt No. 244.)              |
| 20 | I. | On September 23, 2011, the Court granted Apple's motion to shorten time       |
| 21 |    | to expedite briefing on Apple's motion to compel. (Dkt No. 255.)              |
| 22 | J. | On October 3, 2011, the Court granted-in-part Samsung's motion to shorten     |
| 23 |    | the briefing and hearing schedule on Samsung's motion to compel. (Dkt         |
| 24 |    | No. 287.)   |
| 25 | K. | On October 27, 2011, the Court granted Apple's motion to shorten time for     |
| 26 |    | the briefing and hearing schedule for its motion for a protective order. (Dkt |
| 27 |    | No. 332.)   |
| 28 |    | Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHI  |

| 1  |   | L.    | On October 31, 2011, the Court granted Samsung's motion to shorten the      |
|----|---|-------|---|
| 2  |   |       | briefing and hearing schedule on Samsung's motion to compel. (Dkt No.       |
| 3  |   |       | 350.)   |
| 4  |   | M.    | On December 9, 2011, the Court granted Apple's motion to shorten time for   |
| 5  |   |       | briefing and hearing on Apple's motion to compel. (Dkt No. 477.)            |
| 6  |   | N.    | On December 13, 2011, the Court granted Samsung's motion to shorten         |
| 7  |   |       | time for briefing and hearing on Samsung's motion to compel. (Dkt. No.      |
| 8  |   |       | 499).   |
| 9  |   | O.    | On December 22, 2011, the Court granted Apple's motion to shorten time      |
| 10 |   |       | for briefing and hearing on Apple's motion to strike. (Dkt. No. 538).       |
| 11 |   | P.    | On December 30, 2011, the Court granted Samsung's motion to shorten         |
| 12 |   |       | time for briefing and hearing on its motion to extend time for compliance.  |
| 13 |   |       | (Dkt. No. 566).   |
| 14 |   | Q.    | On January 11, 2012, the Court granted the parties' stipulated motion to    |
| 15 |   |       | shorten time for briefing and hearing on their discovery motions. (Dkt. No. |
| 16 |   |       | 610).   |
| 17 |   | R.    | On January 17, 2012, the Court granted the parties' stipulated motion to    |
| 18 |   |       | enlarge time for briefing discovery motions. (Dkt. No. 635).                |
| 19 |   | S.    | On January 24, 2012, the Court granted Samsung's motion to shorten time     |
| 20 |   |       | for briefing Samsung's motion to supplement its infringement contentions    |
| 21 |   |       | (Dkt. No. 665).   |
| 22 |   |       |   |
| 23 | 6.  | The p | resent request to shorten the briefing and hearing schedule on Samsung's    |
| 24 | Motion for Leave to Supplement Its Infringement Contentions will not affect the schedule of the |       |   |
| 25 | case.   |       |   |
| 26 |   |       |   |
| 27 |   |       |   |
| 28 |   |       | Case No. 11-cy-01846-LHK  |

3

| 1  | I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | Redwood Shores, California on January 26, 2012.  |  |  |
| 3  | /s/ Todd Briggs  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |
| 8  |  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 | Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S MOTION             |  |  |
|    | BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S MOTION<br>TO SHORTEN TIME                   |  |  |

## **General Order Attestation** I, Victoria F. Maroulis, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that Todd M. Briggs has concurred in this filing. /s/ Victoria Maroulis Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S MOTION

TO SHORTEN TIME