02198.51855/4577498.1

27

28

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

1

10

11

13

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Specifically, Samsung requests that: 1. Apple's opposition to the Motion to Permit be filed by Friday, February 3, 2012 2. Samsung's reply be filed by Monday, February 6, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.

3. The hearing be set for Tuesday, February 7, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.

Review Materials Designated Under the Protective Order (the "Motion to Permit").

Defendants Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and

Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") bring this motion to

shorten time for briefing and hearing Samsung's Motion to Permit Its Expert Samuel Lucente to

The shortened schedule is necessary because if it were set according to the local rules, the hearing on Samsung's Motion to Permit would not occur until March 6, 2012. The parties' initial expert reports are due by March 22, 2012, however, and Samsung needs to begin showing Apple's highly confidential documents, including inventor deposition transcripts, to Mr. Lucente well before March 6. Apple has even agreed to the above schedule, with the exception that it would not stipulate to Samsung's having a chance to reply. (See Arnold Decl., Exs. A & B.) Moreover, the Court will already be hearing argument from the parties on Tuesday morning, February 7, regarding Apple's pending motion to compel, which also was set on shortened time. (See Dkt No. 688.)

Finally, Samsung notes that it would normally waive any right to a reply when a matter is set on shortened time, but this is a unique situation. Despite two lead counsel meet and confers and numerous letters sent to Apple on this issue, Samsung still does not know the reasons behind Apple's objection to Mr. Lucente based on patents that Mr. Lucente invented in the 1990s. Thus, no matter what argument Apple raises in its opposition to explain how Apple's business will suffer serious harm by disclosure of its allegedly highly confidential documents to Mr. Lucente, it will be the first time Samsung sees such an explanation. Samsung therefore respectfully requests permission to file a short reply before the issue is submitted to the Court for oral argument. Indeed, Samsung may need to provide a declaration from Mr. Lucente to clarify certain issues for the Court depending on Apple's arguments.

02198.51855/4577498.1

1	This shortened schedule would not affect any other deadlines in the case.	
2		
3	SULLIVA	EMANUEL URQUHART & AN, LLP
4		
5		
6		/ Michael T. Zeller rles K. Verhoeven
7		in P.B. Johnson
8	· II	toria F. Maroulis hael T. Zeller
9	Page	hel Herrick Kassabian
	Atto	orneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., D., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
10	INC	., and SAMSUNG
11	TEL	LECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
02198.51855/4577498.1		Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
	SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO SHORTE	N TIME FOR BRIEFING AND HEARING

General Order 45 Attestation I, Victoria F. Maroulis, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to e-file this Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that Michael Zeller has concurred in this filing. /s/ Victoria Maroulis

02198.51855/4577498.1