1		AN, LLP
2	Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com	
3	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111	
4	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)	
6	kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)	
7	victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor	
8	Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000	
9	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
10	Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417) michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com	
11	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017	
12	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
13	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS	
14	AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
19	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF TODD M. BRIGGS IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S REPLY
20	VS.	BRIEF TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND INFRINGEMENT
21	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	CONTENTIONS
22	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG	
23	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
02198.51845/4591166.1	BRIGGS DECLAR	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK ATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S REPLY BRIEF TO
		R LEAVE TO AMEND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS
		Dockets.Justia.com

1

I, Todd M. Briggs, declare:

2	1. I am a partner the law firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel	
3	for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung	
4	Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung"). Unless otherwise indicated, I	
5	have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called upon as a witness, I	
6	could and would testify as follows.	
7	2. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the October 13, 2011 order by	
9	the District Court for the Southern District of California granting Apple's motion under 28 U.S.C.	
10	§ 1782 to serve a subpoena on Qualcomm for use in foreign proceedings.	
11	3. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of e-mail correspondence between	
12	Samsung and Apple.	
13	4. On January 26, 2012, following the Court's order granting Samsung's motion to	
14	shorten time, counsel for Samsung sent an e-mail seeking to resume negotiations with Apple	
15		
16	regarding supplemental infringement contentions by the parties.	
17	5. On January 29, 2012, Apple responded, agreeing to resume negotiations and	
18	seeking to add seven products to its infringement contentions: the Galaxy Nexus, the Galaxy S II	
19	Skyrocket, Galaxy S II Epic 4G, Galaxy Tab 7.0 Plus, Galaxy Tab 8.9, Gravity Smart and	
20	Showcase i500. Over the next several days, the parties negotiated regarding the details of these	
21	additional contentions.	
22	6. During the week of January 30, 2012, Apple informed Samsung that it was seeking	
23 24	to include the additional Samsung products for all purposes, including Apple's design patent,	
25	trademark and trade dress claims. This was the first time Apple stated that its amendments	
26	extended beyond amendments to the utility patent infringement contentions. Nevertheless,	
27		
02198.51845/4591166.1	-2- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK	
20	BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S REPLY BRIEF TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS	

1	Samsung considered Apple's request in the interest of reaching a negotiated agreement and to		
2	avoid burdening the Court.		
3	7. On February 1, 2012, Apple introduced a provision that would restrict Samsung's		
4	ability to re-take depositions based on the addition of new products. This was the first time that		
5	Apple mentioned this restriction on re-taking depositions. Samsung ultimately proposed that		
6 7	depositions could be re-taken for good cause, while Apple proposed an affirmative bar on re-		
8	taking any depositions.		
9	8. On February 3, 2012, the parties determined that they were at an impasse regarding		
10	the restrictions on re-taking depositions, and Apple filed its opposition to Samsung's motion.		
11	and restrictions on re-maining or positions, and rappid inter its opposition to balloung a motion.		
12	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in		
13			
14	Redwood Shores, California on February 7, 2012.		
15	/s/ Todd M. Briggs		
16	Todd M. Briggs		
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
23 24			
24			
24 25 26 27			
24 25 26	-3- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S REPLY BRIEF TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS		
24 25 26 27 02198.51845/4591166.1	BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S REPLY BRIEF TO		

1	General Order 45 Attestation	
2	I, Victoria F. Maroulis, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this	
3	Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that Todd Briggs has	
4	concurred in this filing.	
5	/s/ Victoria Maroulis	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
02198.51845/4591166.1	-4- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK BRIGGS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S REPLY BRIEF TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS	