Exhibit Z

| 1 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 3 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 4 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| 5 | APPLE, INC.,) CV-11-1846-LHK | | |
| 6 | PLAINTIFF,) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA | | |
| 7 | VS. | | |
| 8 |) JANUARY 19, 2012 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO.,) | | |
| 9 | LTD., ET AL,) PAGES 1-276 | | |
| 10 | DEFENDANT.) | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL S. GREWAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: | | |
| 16 | FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP BY: MICHAEL JACOBS | | |
| 17 | JASON BARTLETT HAROLD MCELHINNY | | |
| 18 | MIA MAZZA 425 MARKET STREET, 34TH FL | | |
| 19 | SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 | | |
| 20 | FOR THE DEFENDANT: QUINN EMANUEL BY: DIANE HUTNYAN | | |
| 21 | 865 S. FIGUEROA ST., 10TH FL LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE) | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: SUMMER FISHER, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185 | | |
| | 1 | | |

| 1 | FOR THE PLAINTIFF: | WILMER HALE BY: MARK SELWYN |
|----|--------------------|---|
| 2 | | CALVIN WALDEN 950 PAGE MILL ROAD |
| 3 | | PALO ALTO, CA 94304 |
| 4 | FOR THE DEFENDANT: | QUINN EMANUEL BY: VICTORIA MAROULIS |
| 5 | | RACHEL KASSABIAN JOBY MARTIN |
| 6 | | KEN SUH SCOTT HALL |
| 7 | | 555 TWIN DOLPHIN DRIVE, 5TH FL REDWOOD SHORES, CA 94065 |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | ALSO PRESENT: | CHRISTOPHER KELLY |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | 2 |

| 1 | SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JANUARY 19, 2012 |
|----|---|
| 2 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 3 | (WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE |
| 4 | FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:) |
| 5 | THE COURT: MR. RIVERA, WOULD YOU CALL |
| 6 | THE NEXT MATTER ON THIS MORNING'S CALENDAR. |
| 7 | THE CLERK: YES, YOUR HONOR. |
| 8 | CALLING APPLE, INC. VERSUS SAMSUNG |
| 9 | ELECTRONICS. CASE NUMBER CV-11-1846. |
| 10 | MATTER ON FOR APPLE AND SAMSUNG DISCOVERY |
| 11 | MOTIONS. |
| 12 | COUNSEL, PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES. |
| 13 | MR. JACOBS: MICHAEL JACOBS FROM MORRISON |
| 14 | & FOERSTER, YOUR HONOR, FOR APPLE. |
| 15 | WITH ME FROM MORRISON & FOERSTER IS |
| 16 | JASON BARTLETT, HAROLD MCELHINNY AND MIA MAZZA AT |
| 17 | COUNSEL TABLE. |
| 18 | THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, COUNSEL. |
| 19 | MR. WALDEN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. |
| 20 | FROM WILMER HALE, MARK SELWYN. AND WITH |
| 21 | ME TODAY IS MY PARTNER CALVIN WALDEN ALSO OF WILMER |
| 22 | HALE. |
| 23 | THE COURT: GOOD MORNING AS WELL. |
| 24 | MS. MAROULIS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. |
| 25 | VICTORIA MAROULIS, WITH QUINN EMANUEL, |

ACCUSATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A VIOLATION OF
YOUR HONOR'S PRIOR ORDERS.

IT WAS MENTIONED IN THE BRIEF, IT WAS

MENTIONED JUST NOW BY MR. JACOBS SPECIFICALLY

REGARDING THE MERCY EXTENSION OF TIME THAT WE ASKED

FOR OVER THE CHRISTMAS HOLIDAY.

I WANT TO BE CRYSTAL CLEAR ABOUT THAT.

THE ORDER SAID ANY DOCUMENTS THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN PRODUCED PURSUANT TO THE SEPTEMBER 28TH ORDER MUST BE PRODUCED BY DECEMBER 31ST OR THERE MAY BE SANCTIONS.

WE -- ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE

ORDERED PRODUCED BY SEPTEMBER 28TH HAD ALREADY BEEN

PRODUCED PURSUANT TO THAT ORDER IN DECEMBER. THE

POINT OF THAT REQUEST WAS TWO FOLD, THE EXTENSION

REQUEST.

ONE, IT WAS BECAUSE AFTER THE PRODUCTION
HAD BEEN MADE PURSUANT TO THE SEPTEMBER 28TH ORDER,
SAMSUNG DISCOVERED A FEW ADDITIONAL CUSTODIANS ON
SURVEYS. AND SO DEPENDING ON HOW YOU READ THAT, IF
YOU'RE BEING VERY CONSERVATIVE, ONE COULD ARGUE
WELL, THOSE ARE SURVEY DOCUMENTS THAT FIT THE
DESCRIPTION OF THE ORDER SO YOU REALLY SHOULD GET
THOSE OUT BY --

THE COURT: THAT'S NOT REALLY A CLOSE

1 CALL, IS IT? IT'S NOT BEING CONSERVATIVE, THE 2 SURVEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN PRODUCED MONTHS BEFORE. 3 MS. KASSABIAN: RIGHT. BUT I'M SAYING THAT DESPITE OUR 4 5 INVESTIGATIONS WE FOUND NEW CUSTODIANS. 6 WE DIDN'T WITHHOLD ANYTHING, THERE WERE 7 JUST WITNESSES THAT CAME TO LIGHT MONTHS LATER. 8 REALIZED THESE PEOPLE HAD TO DO SURVEYS, SO WE 9 GATHERED THEIR DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE MASSIVE AND WE 10 BELIEVED THAT THAT WAS GOING TO MAYBE TRICKLE ON 11 PAST DECEMBER 31ST. 12 SO IT WASN'T THAT THEY WERE WITHHELD, IT 13 WASN'T THAT GOOD FAITH INVESTIGATION HADN'T HAPPENED, IT WAS THAT WHEN WE DISCOVERED A COUPLE 14 15 ADDITIONAL SURVEY CUSTODIANS, WE INTERPRETED YOUR 16 ORDER TO SAY THOSE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE OUT BY 17 DECEMBER 31ST. 18 IT TURNS OUT WE WERE ABLE TO DO THAT, YOU 19 KNOW, WITH SOME LATE NIGHTS WE WERE ABLE TO GET 20 THOSE DOCUMENTS OUT BY THE DECEMBER 22ND ORDER 21 DEADLINE WHICH WAS NEW YEARS EVE. SO THOSE DID GET 22 OUT, THERE WAS NO VIOLATION 23 THE COURT: SO YOU ARE STANDING HERE

THE COURT: SO YOU ARE STANDING HERE

REPRESENTING TO ME THAT EVERY DOCUMENT SUBJECT TO

MY SEPTEMBER 28TH AND DECEMBER 22ND ORDERS WAS

24

25

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT |
| 9 | REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR |
| 10 | THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH |
| 11 | FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY |
| 12 | CERTIFY: |
| 13 | THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, |
| 14 | CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND |
| 15 | CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS |
| 16 | SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS |
| 17 | HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED |
| 18 | TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | CHMMED A ETCHED CCD CDD |
| 23 | SUMMER A. FISHER, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |