1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA	AN IIP
	Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)	IIV, LLI
2	charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor	
3	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
4	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603) victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com	
7	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139	
8	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
9	1 acsimic. (030) 601-3100	
10	Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)	
11	michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor	
12	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
13	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
14	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,	
15	LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA INC. and SAMSUNG	,
16	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
19		
20	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)
21	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF JOBY MARTIN IN
22	VS.	SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S OPPOSITION TO APPLE'S MOTION FOR RULE
23	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	37(b)(2) SANCTIONS FOR SAMSUNG'S
24	Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	ALLEGED VIOLATION OF JANUARY 27, 2012 DAMAGES DISCOVERY ORDER
25	York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	Date: April 3, 2012
26	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 5, 4th Floor
	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewal
27		I
28		

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

28

I, Joby Martin, declare as follows:

I am an associate in the law firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung"). I submit this declaration in support of Samsung's Opposition to Apple's Motion for Rule 37(b)(2) Sanctions For Samsung's Alleged Violation of January 27, 2012 Damages Discovery Order. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, except as otherwise noted, and, if called upon as a witness, I could and would testify to such facts under oath.

Samsung's Production to Date

- 2. Samsung has produced over 12,000 pages of financial information in this case and the concurrent ITC proceedings between the parties. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a chart prepared by our litigation staff that maps out the financial documents produced in this litigation and in the parallel ITC proceedings, as well as the dates each document was produced.
 - 3. On February 3, 2012, Samsung produced
 - On February 10, 2012, Samsung produced 4.
 - 5. On February 28, 2012, Samsung produced
 - - which is discussed in the Declaration of Timothy Sheppard starting at paragraph 7,
 - 6. In response to Apple's requests for more minute detail concerning
- Samsung on March 8, 2012. This 27

spreadsheet is discussed the Sheppard Declaration starting at paragraph 30.

1	7. Prior to February 3, 2012, Samsung produced numerous documents reflecting	
2		
3	Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and	
4	correct copy of an excerpt from one such document,	
5		
6	8. Prior to February 3, 2012, Samsung produced	
7		
8		
9		
10	Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is	
11	a true and correct copy of one such document.	
12	9. Samsung has produced	
13		
14	Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of one such	
15	document,	
16	10. Prior to February 3, 2012,	
17		
18	Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of one such document.	
19	11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of Samsung's response to	
20	Apple's Interrogatory No. 14,	
21		
22	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a letter from Apple's counsel to Samsung's	
23	counsel,	
24		
25	13. Samsung produced on March 8, 2012. Attached hereto as	
26	Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of one such document.	
27	14. In response to a letter from Apple dated March 5, 2012—a true and correct copy	
28	of which is attached as Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of John Gordon—	

1	
2	Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of one such document.
3	15. Samsung produced the majority of its before February 3,
4	2012. Samsung produced additional on March 8, 2012 when it
5	discovered that some were missing from prior productions.
6	The Parties' Meet and Confer Efforts
7	16. From November – December 2011, Apple sent several letters regarding its
8	requests for source code technical documents, including requests for quotations, qualification
9	documents, bills of materials, and functional testing results for the accused products'
0	touchscreens. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of one such letter, sent by
1	Apple's counsel to Samsung's counsel on November 28, 2011.
2	17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of a letter concerning
3	source code and technical documents sent by Apple's counsel to Samsung's counsel and dated
4	December 6, 2011. In this letter, Apple's counsel states that Apple's requests for bills of
5	materials, requests for quotations and qualification documents relating to touchscreens are
6	relevant to understanding the structure of the accused devices as they relate to U.S. Patent Nos.
17	7,663,607 and 7,920,129—Apple's touchscreen patents.
8	18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from
9	Apple's Sixth Set of Requests for Production ("RFP"). RFP No. 240 seeks "All Documents
20	concerning the design, manufacture, specifications and operation of the touch screens (including
21	the display and touch sensor panels) on the Products at Issue."
22	19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of a letter dated January
23	5, 2012, sent by Apple's counsel to Samsung's counsel, stating that Apple requested bills of
24	materials in satisfaction of RFP No. 240.
25	20. At the January 6, 2012 lead counsel meet and confer, which I attended, the only
26	discussion of bills of materials related to the touchscreens of the accused products, in the context
27	of Apple's requests for technical documents.

28

1	21. The parties held lead counsel meet and confer sessions on February 6, February	
2	14, and February 15 of this year. I attended each meeting.	
3		
4		
5	22. At the February 14, 2012 lead counsel meet and confer, the discussion of	
6	financial documents was brief, and it was clear that the parties had reached an impasse as to the	
7	requirements of the Court's January 27, 2012 Order. Apple did not file its motion until two	
8	weeks thereafter.	
9	23. Prior to filing its motion, Apple did not discuss with Samsung a stipulation for a	
10	briefing schedule that would have allowed its sanctions motion to be heard much sooner than	
11	April 3, 2012. In fact, Apple never even informed Samsung that it would file its motion.	
12		
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
14	foregoing is true and correct.	
15	Executed on March 12, 2012, at San Francisco, California.	
16		
17	<u>/s/ Joby Martin</u> Joby Martin	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		