EXHIBIT 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) <u>charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com</u> 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) <u>kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com</u> Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603) <u>victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com</u> 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	N, LLP
10 11 12	Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417) <u>michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com</u> 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
13 14 15	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
18		
19	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
20	Plaintiff,	SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH
21	VS.	SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS (NOS.
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	156-187)
23	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG	
24	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
25	Defendant.	
26		
27		
28		
02198.51855/4372557.6	SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONS	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK ES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

1

OBJECTIONS COMMON TO ALL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

The following objections apply to each document request in Apple Inc.'s ("Apple's")
Fourth Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things, whether or not stated separately
in response to each particular document request.

5 1. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it requests documents and information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product 6 7 doctrine, community of interest doctrine, joint defense privilege, and/or any other applicable 8 privilege. Any such documents and information will not be provided, and an inadvertent 9 production of any document or information that Samsung believes is immune from discovery 10 pursuant to any applicable privilege shall not be deemed a waiver. Samsung may give written notice to Apple that the document or information inadvertently produced is privileged or otherwise 11 protected, and upon receipt of such written notice, Apple shall immediately comply with Federal 12 13 Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B) and the applicable provisions of any Protective Order entered in this action, including the Model Interim Protective Order. 14

Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it is vague,
 ambiguous, overly broad, oppressive, unduly burdensome, harassing, compound, fails to identify
 the documents and things sought with reasonable particularity, and seeks information that is
 neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Where
 a term is vague and ambiguous, Samsung will respond based on its understanding of the term.

3. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it is not reasonably
limited in time or geographic scope, and to the extent it pertains to technology that are is at issue
in this litigation.

4. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it seeks documents
that are not within its possession, custody or control. In making objections and/or responding to
any and all requests, Samsung does not indicate that responsive documents exist within the
ownership, possession, custody or control of Samsung.

5. Samsung objects to the definition of "Samsung," "You," "Your," and
"Defendants" as overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague, and as calling for documents or

information not in Samsung's possession, custody, or control to the extent that it defines Samsung
 to include "all predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest,
 subsidiaries, divisions, parents, and/or affiliates, past or present, any companies that have a
 controlling interest in Defendants, and any current or former employee, officer, director, principal,
 agent, consultant, representative, or attorney thereof, or anyone acting on their behalf."

6

6.

8.

Samsung objects to the definition of "Apple" as overly broad.

7 7. Samsung objects to the definition of "Defined Wireless Standards" as overly broad
8 and overly burdensome to the extent it asks Samsung to provide information relating to standards
9 and/or wireless standards to which the Samsung Patents-in-Suit have not been declared as
10 Essential or relating to standards and/or wireless standards upon which Samsung does not rely in
11 its infringement contentions.

12

Samsung objects to the definition of "Relating" as overly broad.

9. Samsung objects to Instruction Nos. 1 and 3 to the extent they ask for documents to
be produced "in their entirety." Where applicable, Samsung will redact from certain documents
any non-responsive, irrelevant or privileged information.

16 10. Samsung further objects to each document request to the extent it seeks highly
17 confidential documents containing Samsung's sensitive proprietary business information, the
18 disclosure of which could cause Samsung substantial competitive harm. Any such documents will
19 be appropriately designated under the applicable protective order and/or redacted to exclude non20 responsive, irrelevant or privileged information.

11. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent it seeks documents more
readily available to Apple than to Samsung, or equally available to Apple as to Samsung,
including documents and things that are publicly available.

24 12. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it seeks the
25 confidential, proprietary and/or trade secret information of third parties, and to the extent it seeks
26 information subject to non-disclosure or other agreements between Samsung and third parties.

27 13. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it seeks documents
28 protected from disclosure by the constitutional and/or statutory privacy rights of third persons.

1 14. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it seeks documents
 2 and things before Samsung is required to disclose such documents and things in accordance with
 3 any applicable law, such as the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules.

4 15. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it seeks a legal
5 conclusion.

6 16. Samsung objects to each document request to the extent that it seeks to impose any
7 requirement or discovery obligation greater or different than those imposed by the Federal Rules
8 of Civil Procedure.

9 17. Samsung's investigation and analysis of the facts and law pertaining to this lawsuit
10 is ongoing. Thus, Samsung's responses are made without prejudice to its right to subsequently
11 add, modify or otherwise change, correct, or amend these responses.

- 12
- 13

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

14 **REQUEST NO. 156**

All documents and communications with an expert witness who is expected to testify in this Litigation that (i) relate to compensation for the expert's work or testimony; (ii) identify facts or data that Samsung's attorneys provided and that the expert considered in forming any opinions to be expressed; and (iii) identify assumptions that Samsung's attorneys provided and the expert relied on in forming any opinions to be expressed.

20

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 156:

21 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 22 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 23 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 24 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 25 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 26 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 27 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "assumptions" is vague and ambiguous. 28 Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

1 further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, 2 custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request as premature to the extent 3 it seeks documents and things inconsistent with the applicable timeframes, such as those set forth 4 in the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules and any applicable scheduling order. 5 Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

6 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the 7 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

8 REQUEST NO. 157

9 All documents and things relating to the alleged nexus between any alleged commercial 10 success of products embodying any alleged invention claimed by the Samsung Patents-In-Suit and the alleged advantages of the invention, including without limitation any customer surveys 11 12 reflecting the bases for purchasing decisions.

13

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 157:

14 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 15 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 16 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 17 18 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 19 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it 20 21 seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. 22 Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the 23 claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of 24 admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that 25 are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the 26 request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to 27 Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are 28 publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request as premature to the extent it seeks

-5- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

documents and things inconsistent with the applicable timeframes, such as those set forth in the
 Northern District of California Patent Local Rules and any applicable scheduling order. Samsung
 further objects to the Request to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

6 **<u>REQUEST NO. 158</u>**

All documents relating to the *Georgia Pacific* factors as those factors relate to Samsung's
claim for damages arising from Apple's alleged infringement of the Samsung Patents-In-Suit.

9 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 158:

10 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 11 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 12 13 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 14 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 15 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 16 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "Georgia Pacifica factors" is vague and 17 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited 18 as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as 19 overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request as premature to the extent it seeks documents and things inconsistent with the applicable timeframes, 20 21 such as those set forth in the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules and any applicable scheduling order. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it calls for a legal 22 23 conclusion.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

26 **<u>REQUEST NO. 159</u>**

All documents and things relating to accolades and awards given to products that embody
any alleged invention claimed by the Samsung Patents-In-Suit.

1

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 159:

2 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 3 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 4 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 5 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 6 7 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 8 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "accolade" is vague and ambiguous. 9 Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the 10 scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks 11 12 documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further 13 objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple 14 than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents 15 are publicly available.

16 Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and 17 18 things in its possession, custody and control discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

19 **REQUEST NO. 160**

20 All documents and things evidencing Samsung's licensing program, including without 21 limitation documents sufficient to identify all licensing personnel, location of said personnel, and 22 duties of said personnel.

23 **OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 160:**

24 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 25 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 26 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 27 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 28 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly

1 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "licensing program" is vague and 2 3 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited 4 as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as 5 overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things 6 from time periods not at issue in this litigation. Samsung further objects to the request as 7 overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the 8 extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not 9 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to 10 the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents containing 11 12 confidential third party information, including information subject to a non-disclosure or other 13 agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

16 **REQUEST NO. 161**

All documents and things relating to Samsung's attempts to license to others the Samsung
Patents-In-Suit and any related patents which have not yet resulted in a license agreement,
including without limitation cease and desist letters, draft agreements, and other communications.

20 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 161:

21 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 22 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 23 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 24 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 25 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 26 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 27 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "any related patents" is vague and 28 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all"

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not
 relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the
 discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the Request as duplicative of
 Apple's Request No. 161.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any
responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and
things in its possession, custody and control discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

8 **<u>REQUEST NO. 162</u>**

9 Documents sufficient to identify any attempt by Samsung to enforce, either in the United
10 States or abroad, the Samsung Patents-In-Suit and any related patents.

11

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 162:

12 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 13 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 14 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 15 16 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 17 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 18 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the terms "attempt to enforce" and "any related 19 patents" are vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it 20 is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further 21 objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible 22 23 evidence. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are 24 publicly available.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any
responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and
things in its possession, custody and control discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

1

REQUEST NO. 163

All documents produced or made available to Samsung by any non-party or third-party in
this Litigation.

4 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 163:

5 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 6 7 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 8 9 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 10 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "made available" is vague and 11 12 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited 13 as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as 14 overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the 15 extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not 16 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is duplicative of others of Apple's Requests For Production. Samsung 17 18 further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, 19 custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the request to the extent it seeks 20 documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects 21 to the Request to the extent the requested documents are publicly available.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

24 || <u>**REQUEST NO. 164**</u>

All documents relating to any security interest in or lien against any of the Samsung
Patents-In-Suit or any related patents.

- 27
- 28

1

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 164:

2 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 3 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 4 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 5 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 6 7 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 8 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the terms "any related patents" and "security 9 interest" are vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it 10 is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects 11 12 to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of 13 any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. 14 Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about therelevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

17 **REQUEST NO. 165**

18 All documents relating to the ownership, title, transfer, or assignment of any of the19 Samsung Patents-In-Suit or any related patents.

20

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 165:

21 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 22 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 23 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 24 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 25 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 26 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 27 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "any related patents" is vague and 28 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all"

documents. Samsung further objects to the Request as duplicative of Apple's Request For
 Production No. 93. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it calls for a legal
 conclusion.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any
responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and
things in its possession, custody and control, discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

7 **<u>REQUEST NO. 166</u>**

8 All documents relating to Samsung's decision to mark or not to mark any product with the
9 numbers of any of the Samsung Patents-In-Suit.

10

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 166:

11 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 12 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 13 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 14 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 15 16 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "decision to mark or not to mark" is 17 18 vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not 19 reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to 20 the request as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks 21 documents and things from time periods not at issue in this litigation. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the 22 23 Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any 24 party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung 25 further objects to the Request as duplicative of Apple's Requests Nos. 167 and 168.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

1 **REQUEST NO. 167**

2 All documents and things related to any marking of any product with any of the numbers 3 of any of the Samsung Patents-in-Suit.

4 **OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 167:**

5 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 6 7 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 8 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 9 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 10 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it 11 12 seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. 13 Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of 14 admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the Request as duplicative of Apple's Requests 15 16 Nos. 166 and 168.

17 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the 18 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

19 **REQUEST NO. 168**

20 All documents and things evidencing Samsung's or any licensee's or any third party's 21 marking of any product with the numbers of any of the Samsung Patents-in-Suit.

22 **OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 168:**

23 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 24 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 25 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 26 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 27 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 28 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the

request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it
seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents.
Samsung further objects to the Request as duplicative of Apple's Requests Nos. 166 and 167.
Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the
possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the request to the extent it
seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further
objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are publicly available.

8 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
9 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

10 **REQUEST NO. 169**

All documents relating to any alleged damage or injury that Samsung has suffered or will
 suffer as a consequence of Apple allegedly using, manufacturing, employing, or selling any Apple
 Accused Product.

14

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 169:

15 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 16 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 17 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 18 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 19 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 20 21 request as vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects 22 23 to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the 24 Request as premature to the extent it seeks documents and things inconsistent with the applicable 25 timeframes, such as those set forth in the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules and any applicable scheduling order. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it calls for a 26 27 legal conclusion.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

3 **<u>REQUEST NO. 170</u>**

4 All documents relating to any sales that Samsung alleges it has lost, or believes it has lost,
5 to Apple.

6 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 170:

7 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 8 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 9 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 10 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 11 12 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 13 request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. 14 15 Samsung further objects to the Request as premature to the extent it seeks documents and things 16 inconsistent with the applicable timeframes, such as those set forth in the Northern District of 17 California Patent Local Rules and any applicable scheduling order. Samsung further objects to the 18 Request to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about therelevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

- 21 **REQUEST NO. 171**
- 22 All documents relating to budgets, projected revenues and expenses, projected sales,

23 projected profits, or other forecasts of operations concerning each Samsung Product you claim

24 embodies any invention claimed in any claim of any of the Samsung Patents-In-Suit.

25 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 171:

In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production,
which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it
seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney

-15- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 1 2 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 3 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 4 request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it 5 seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the 6 7 claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of 8 admissible evidence.

9 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
10 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

11 **REQUEST NO. 172**

All documents relating to Samsung's pricing, pricing practice or policies, and changes in
pricing with respect to each Samsung Product you claim embodies any invention claimed in any
claim of any of the Samsung Patents-In-Suit, including without limitation, documents concerning
price lists, pricing worksheets, marketing/pricing memoranda, sales correspondence, or price
quotations.

17

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 172:

18 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 19 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 20 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 21 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 22 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 23 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 24 request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it 25 seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. 26 Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the 27 claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of 28 admissible evidence.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

3 **<u>REQUEST NO. 173</u>**

All documents relating to Samsung's alleged capacity and ability to manufacture, sell,
and/or distribute each Samsung Product you claim embodies any invention claimed in any claim
of any of the Samsung Patents-In-Suit, including without limitation all documents and tangible
things concerning Samsung's sales, marketing and distribution system, sales force, and geographic
locations for manufacturing and warehousing operations.

9 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 173:

10 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 11 12 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 13 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 14 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 15 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 16 request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it 17 seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not limited to any 18 reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at issue in this 19 litigation. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" 20 documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not 21 relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the 22 discovery of admissible evidence.

- Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.
- 25 **REQUEST NO. 174**

All documents that list, describe, detail, or concern the market for each Samsung Product
you claim embodies any invention claimed in any claim of any of the Samsung Patents-In-Suit,

including without limitation documents concerning: (a) actual, projected, or potential market size
 or market shares; and (b) industry trends or developments.

3

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 174:

4 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 5 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 6 7 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 8 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 9 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 10 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the terms "market" and "industry trends" is vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" 11 12 documents.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

15 **REQUEST NO. 175**

All documents concerning transport channel processing technology, including but not
limited to segmentation, multiplexing, channel coding and interleaving that, prior to July 7, 1999,
was known, patented, conceived, described, used, made, created, analyzed, tested, standardized,
developed, or in development.

20

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 175:

21 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 22 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 23 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 24 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 25 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 26 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 27 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the terms "multiplexing" and "segmentation" are 28 vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

1 reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. For instance, it is not limited 2 to one specific standard. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for 3 "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the 4 5 discovery of admissible evidence. For instance, the Request refers to technology not included in the patents-in-suit. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that 6 7 are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the 8 request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to 9 Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are 10 publicly available.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

13 **<u>REQUEST NO. 176</u>**

All documents concerning transport channel processing technology developed in whole or
part by Samsung, whether or not implemented in a commercial product or standard. This
includes, but is not limited to, all documents and things concerning the conception, creation,
development, testing, analysis, implementation, negotiation, standardization, or selection of
transport channel processing technology, including without limitation all documents constituting
or concerning:

20	(a) Samsung's participation therein
21	(b) channel coding
22	(c) radio frame segmentation
23	(d) radio frame equalization
24	(e) transport channel multiplexing
25	(f) physical channel segmentation
26	(g) any draft or version of any specification ultimately standardized as TS 25.212, and any follow-on draft or version of TS 25.212
27	
28	
	-19- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK
	SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

1 2	(h) proposals and submissions to GSM/ETSI/3GPP regarding transport channel processing technology from any party, including without limitation Samsung	
3	(i) selection of features and technologies for transport channel processing technology	
4 5	(j) any feature or technology considered, proposed, analyzed, or tested for inclusion in TS 25.212	
6	(k) all of Samsung's internal documentation, work, research, analysis, and testing concerning transport channel processing technology	
7 8	(1) all of Samsung's IPR declarations concerning or relating to transport channel processing technology	
9 10	(m) all of Samsung's internal documentation concerning its IPR declarations concerning or relating to transport channel processing technology, including for example discussion or analysis about what	
11	patents to declare essential (or not declare essential) and when	
12	OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 176:	
13	In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production,	
14	which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it	
15	seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney	
16	work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other	
17	applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly	
18	burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the	
19	request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it	
20	seeks. For instance, it is not limited to one standard. Samsung further objects to the request as	
21	overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the	
22	extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not	
23	reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. For instance, the Request	
24	relates to IPR not included in the patents-in-suit. Samsung further objects to the Request as	
25	duplicative of Apple's Request For Production No. 174. Samsung further objects to the Request	
26	to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung.	
27	Samsung further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily	
28	available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the	
	-20- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)	
	STUDDING 5 OBJECTIONS AND RESIDNES TO ATTLE 5 FOURTH SET OF REFS (1003, 130-10/)	

requested documents are publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent
 it seeks documents containing confidential third party information, including information subject
 to a non-disclosure or other agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

6 **<u>REQUEST NO. 177</u>**

All documents, including without limitation documents constituting or concerning
communications within Samsung, between Samsung and any third party, constituting or
concerning transport channel processing technology proposed, considered for proposal, conceived,
created, developed, tested, analyzed, or selected for inclusion in any telecommunication standard,
including without limitation 3GPP TS 25.212 and TSG RAN Working Group 1.

12 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 177:

13 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 14 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 15 16 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 17 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 18 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 19 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "transport channel" is vague and 20 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited 21 as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. For instance, it is not limited to one standard. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung 22 23 further objects to the Request as duplicative of Apple's Request No. 174. Samsung further objects 24 to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or 25 control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the 26 27 Request to the extent the requested documents are publicly available.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any 1 responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and 2 3 things in its possession, custody and control discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

REQUEST NO. 178 4

5 All documents concerning data packet construction, including but not limited to segmentation, concatenation, padding, length indicator optimization, pre-defined length indicator 6 7 values, header extension bits, and RLC-SDU alignment that, prior to May 4, 2005, was known, 8 patented, conceived, described, used, made, created, analyzed, tested, standardized, developed, or 9 in development.

10

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 178:

11 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 12 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 13 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 14 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 15 16 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the terms "concatenation," "header extension bits" 17 18 and "padding" are vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in 19 that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. For instance, it is 20 not limited to one standard. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad because it calls 21 for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that 22 are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the 23 request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are 24 25 publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents containing confidential third party information, including information subject to a non-disclosure 26 27 or other agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order.

1Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any2responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and

3 things in its possession, custody and control discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

4 **<u>REQUEST NO. 179</u>**

5	All documents concerning data packet construction developed in whole or part by	
6	Samsung, whether or not implemented in a commercial product or standard. This includes, but is	
7	not limited to, all documents and things concerning the conception, creation, development, testing,	
8	analysis, implementation, negotiation, standardization, or selection of data packet construction,	
9	including without limitation all documents constituting or concerning:	
10	(a) Samsung's participation therein;	
11	(b) data packet segmentation;	
12	(c) data packet concatenation;	
13	(d) data packet padding;	
14	(f) length indicator optimization;	
15	(g) pre-defined length indicator values;	
16	(h) alternative header extension bits;	
17	(i) RLC-SDU alignment;	
18 19	(j) any draft or version of any specification ultimately standardized as TS 25.322 and/or TS 25.306, and any follow-on draft or version of TS 25.322 and/or TS 25.306;	
20 21	(k) proposals and submissions to GSM/ETSI/3GPP regarding data packet construction from any party, including without limitation Samsung;	
21	(1) selection of features and technologies for data packet construction;	
22	(m) any feature or technology considered, proposed, analyzed, or tested for inclusion in TS 25.322 and/or TS 25.306;	
24	(n) all of Samsung's internal documentation, work, research, analysis, and testing concerning data packet construction;	
25	(o) all of Samsung's IPR declarations concerning or relating to data packet	
26	construction; and	
27 28	(p) all of Samsung's internal documentation concerning its IPR declarations concerning or relating to data packet construction, including	
	-23- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK	
	SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)	

1

for example discussion or analysis about what patents to declare essential (or not declare essential) and when.

2 3

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 179:

4 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 5 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 6 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 7 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 8 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 9 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 10 request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it 11 seeks. For instance, it is not limited to one specific standard. Samsung further objects to the 12 request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request 13 to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or 14 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. For instance, the 15 Request relates to IPR not included in the patents-in-suit. Samsung further objects to the Request 16 as duplicative of Apple's Request No. 178. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it 17 seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung 18 further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to 19 Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested 20documents are publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks 21 documents containing confidential third party information, including information subject to a non-22 disclosure or other agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

25 || <u>REQUEST NO. 180</u>

All documents, including without limitation documents constituting or concerning
 communications within Samsung, between Samsung and any third party, constituting or
 concerning data packet construction proposed, considered for proposal, conceived, created,

developed, tested, analyzed, or selected for inclusion in any telecommunication standard,
 including without limitation 3GPP TS 25.322 and 3GPP TS 25.306.

3

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 180:

4 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 5 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 6 7 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 8 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 9 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 10 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "data packet construction" is vague and 11 ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited 12 as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as 13 overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request as duplicative of Apple's Request No. 178. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it 14 15 seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung 16 further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to 17 Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested 18 documents are publicly available.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about therelevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

21 **REQUEST NO. 181**

All documents concerning uplink transmission power control technology, including but not
limited to enhanced uplink service, HARQ, control of transmit power or transmit power factor,
and power factor scaling that, prior to June 9, 2004, was known, patented, conceived, described,
used, made, created, analyzed, tested, standardized, developed, or in development.

26 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 181:

In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production,
which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it

-25- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 1 2 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 3 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 4 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 5 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, following terms are vague and ambiguous: "enhanced uplink service," "control of transmit power," "transmit power factor," and "power 6 7 factor scaling." Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably 8 limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as 9 overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the 10 extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily 11 12 available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the 13 requested documents are publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent 14 it seeks documents containing confidential third party information, including information subject 15 to a non-disclosure or other agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and without representing that any
responsive documents exist, Samsung will produce responsive, non-privileged documents and
things in its possession, custody and control discovered as a result of a reasonably diligent search.

19 **REQUEST NO. 182**

All documents concerning uplink transmission power control developed in whole or part
by Samsung, whether or not implemented in a commercial product or standard. This includes, but
is not limited to, all documents and things concerning the conception, creation, development,
testing, analysis, implementation, negotiation, standardization, or selection of data packet
construction, including without limitation all documents constituting or concerning:

- 25 (a) Samsung's participation therein;
- 26 (b) HARQ;

- 27 (c) retransmission of packets;
 - (d) enhanced uplink dedicated channel (E-DCH);

1	(f) enhanced uplink dedicated physical data channel (E-DPDCH);
2	(g) enhanced uplink dedicated physical control channel (E-DPCCH);
3	(h) power factor scaling;
4 5	(i) any draft or version of any specification ultimately standardized as TS 25.214 and/or TS 25.213, and any follow-on draft or version of TS 25.214 and/or TS 25.213;
6 7	(j) proposals and submissions to GSM/ETSI/3GPP regarding uplink transmission power control from any party, including without limitation Samsung;
8 9	(k) selection of features and technologies for uplink transmission power control;
10	(l) any feature or technology considered, proposed, analyzed, or tested for inclusion in TS 25.214 and/or TS 25.213;
11 12	(n) all of Samsung's internal documentation, work, research, analysis, and testing concerning uplink transmission power control;
13	(o) all of Samsung's IPR declarations concerning or relating to uplink transmission power control; and
14 15 16	(p) all of Samsung's internal documentation concerning its IPR declarations concerning or relating to uplink transmission power control, including for example discussion or analysis about what patents to declare essential (or not declare essential) and when.
17	OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 182:
18	In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production,
19	which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it
20	seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney
21	work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other
22	applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly
23	burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the
24	request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "power factor scaling" is vague and
25	ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not reasonably limited
26	as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to the request as
27	overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the Request to the
28	extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not
	-27- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. For instance, the Request 1 relates to IPR not included in the patents-in-suit. Samsung further objects to the Request as 2 3 duplicative of Apple's Request No. 181. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it 4 seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung 5 further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested 6 7 documents are publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks 8 documents containing confidential third party information, including information subject to a non-9 disclosure or other agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

12 **REQUEST NO. 183**

All documents, including without limitation documents constituting or concerning
communications within Samsung, between Samsung and any third party, constituting or
concerning uplink transmission power control proposed, considered for proposal, conceived,
created, developed, tested, analyzed, or selected for inclusion in any telecommunication standard,
including without limitation 3GPP TS 25.214 and 3GPP TS 25.213.

18 || **O**

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 183:

19 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 20 21 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 22 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 23 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 24 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 25 request as vague and ambiguous. For example, the term "uplink transmission power control" is 26 vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the request as overbroad in that it is not 27 reasonably limited as to the scope of documents and things it seeks. Samsung further objects to 28 the request as overbroad because it calls for "all" documents. Samsung further objects to the

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

1 Request as duplicative of Apple's Request No. 181. Samsung further objects to the Request to the 2 extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. 3 Samsung further objects to the request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily 4 available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the 5 requested documents are publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents containing confidential third party information, including information subject 6 7 to a non-disclosure or other agreement between Samsung and a third party, or a protective order. 8 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the 9 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

10 **REQUEST NO. 184**

To the extent not duplicative of other requests, all documents relating to actual or potential
litigation or arbitration threatened or filed by or against Samsung, including by not limited to
litigation or arbitration outside of the United States, regarding the licensing of any IPR related to
the Defined Wireless Standards, including without limitation any and all expert reports and court
filings, and transcripts of any deposition, hearing, or other recorded or transcribed proceeding in
the arbitrations or litigations.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 184:

17

18 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 19 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 20 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 21 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 22 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 23 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects the Request 24 as vague and ambiguous. Samsung further objects to the Request as overbroad in that it is not 25 limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents and things from time periods not at 26 issue in this litigation. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents 27 that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or not reasonably calculated to lead 28 to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to the Request as overly

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

burdensome for its use of the overly broad definition of "Defined Wireless Standard." Samsung
further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that are not within the possession,
custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks
documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to Samsung. Samsung further objects
to the Request to the extent the requested documents are publicly available. Samsung further
objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents subject to a protective order or under seal.

Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

9 **<u>REQUEST NO. 185</u>**

To the extent not duplicative of other requests, all documents relating to or containing any claims or statements by Samsung in any litigation or judicial proceeding, including by not limited to litigation or proceedings outside of the United States, regarding the licensing of IPR that is claimed Essential to any Defined Wireless Standard, the determination of a FRAND royalty rate for any IPR that is claimed Essential to any Defined Wireless Standard, and the propriety of injunctive relief for the infringement of IPR claimed to be Essential to any Defined Wireless Standard.

17

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 185:

18 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, 19 which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 20 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 21 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 22 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 23 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 24 Request as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents 25 and things from time periods not at issue in this litigation. Samsung further objects to the Request 26 to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or 27 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further 28 objects to the Request as overly burdensome for its use of the overly broad definition of "Defined Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

Wireless Standard." Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that 1 2 are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the 3 Request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to 4 Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are 5 publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents subject to a protective order or under seal. 6

7 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the 8 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

9 **REQUEST NO. 186**

10 To the extent not duplicative of other requests, all transcripts of depositions or other documents containing any testimony and/or statements by Samsung, current or former Samsung 11 12 affiliates or employees, or experts retained by Samsung or counsel to Samsung, relating to any 13 litigation or judicial proceeding, including by not limited to litigation or proceedings outside of the 14 United States, concerning IPR claimed Essential to any Defined Wireless Standard, the determination of a FRAND royalty rate for any IPR allegedly Essential to a Defined Wireless 15 Standard, and the propriety of injunctive relief for the infringement of IPR claimed to be Essential 16 17 to any Defined Wireless Standard.

18

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 186:

19 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 20 21 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 22 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 23 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 24 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 25 Request as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents 26 and things from time periods not at issue in this litigation. Samsung further objects to the Request 27 to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or 28 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

objects to the Request as overly burdensome for its use of the overly broad definition of "Defined
Wireless Standard." Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that
are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the
Request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to
Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are
publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents
subject to a protective order or under seal.

8 Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the
9 relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.

10 **REQUEST NO. 187**

To the extent not duplicative of other requests, all documents prepared by any expert,
including any technical, economic, marketing or licensing experts, retained by Samsung or by
counsel to Samsung for any litigation or judicial proceeding, including by not limited to litigation
or proceedings outside of the United States, concerning IPR claimed Essential to any Defined
Wireless Standard, the determination of a FRAND royalty rate for any IPR allegedly Essential to a
Defined Wireless Standard, and the propriety of injunctive relief for the infringement of IPR
claimed to be Essential to any Defined Wireless Standard.

18 ||

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 187:

19 In addition to its Objections and Responses Common to All Requests for Production, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this Request to the extent that it 20 21 seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney 22 work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other 23 applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it is unduly 24 burdensome, and/or would require undue expense to answer. Samsung further objects to the 25 Request as overbroad in that it is not limited to any reasonable time period and seeks documents 26 and things from time periods not at issue in this litigation. Samsung further objects to the Request 27 to the extent it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and/or 28 not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further

SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)

1	objects to the Request as overly burdensome for its use of the overly broad definition of "Defined	
2	Wireless Standard." Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents that	
3	are not within the possession, custody, or control of Samsung. Samsung further objects to the	
4	Request to the extent it seeks documents equally or more readily available to Apple than to	
5	Samsung. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent the requested documents are	
6	publicly available. Samsung further objects to the Request to the extent it seeks documents	
7	subject to a protective order or under seal.	
8	Subject to these objections, Samsung is willing to meet and confer with Apple about the	
9	relevance and scope of the information sought by this request.	
10		
11		
12	DATED:October 31, 2011 Respectfully submitted,	
13	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
14	SULLIVAN, LLP	
15		
16	By <u>/s/ Todd Briggs</u> Charles K. Verhoeven	
17	Kevin P.B. Johnson Victoria F. Maroulis	
18	Michael T. Zeller	
19	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,	
20	INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27 28		
20		
	-33- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on Oct. 31, 2011, I caused SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND
3	RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF
4	DOCUMENTS AND THINGS (NOS. 156-187) to be electronically served on the following via
5	email:
6	ATTORNEYS FOR APPLE INC.
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS mjacobs@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER atucher@mofo.com RICHARD S.J. HUNG rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
14 15	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522
 16 17 18 19 20 	WILLIAM F. LEE william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000 MARK D. SELWYN
 21 22 23 24 	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
25 26	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in
27	Redwood Shores, California on Oct. 31, 2011.
28	/s/ Alex Binder
	-34- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK SAMSUNG'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE'S FOURTH SET OF RFPs (NOS. 156-187)